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AGENDA
I. Pledge of Allegiance
II. Roll Call of Members
III. Awards, Board Correspondence, Meetings and Appointments

- District Cited for Project Lead the Way Involvement
IV. Stocker Elementary School Presentation
V. Administrative and Supervisory Appointments
VI. Introduction and Welcome of Student Ambassador
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| A. Consent/Approve | Recommendations <br> Concerning Appointments, <br> Leaves of Absence, <br> Retirements and Resignations ...............Page 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
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| Sessions, 12/18/07 |  |
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| 1/8/08 Special Meeting ................... Pages 2-10 |  |
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B. Discussion/Action 2008-09 Capital Project Plan ...............................Pages 27-35
C. Discussion/Action Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction Request To Submit $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Centers Program Grant Application..............Page 36-40
D. Discussion/Action Science Curriculum Adoption Proposal...........................Page 41-53
E. Discussion/Action $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dimensions of Learning } \\ & \text { Payoff } \quad \text {............................... Pages 54-61 }\end{aligned}$
F. Discussion/Action $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006-07 Annual Report } \\ & \text { Card } \quad . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ P a g e s ~ 62-65 ~\end{aligned}$
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XV. Other Business as Permitted by Law Tentative Schedule of Reports, Events and Legal Deadlines For School Board (January-February) Page 66
XVI. Predetermined Time and Date of Adjourned Meeting, If Necessary

# Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 

Kenosha, Wisconsin
Human Resources recommendations concerning the following actions
January 22, 2008

| Action | Board Date | code | Staff | Employee Last Name | Employee First Name | School/Dept | Position | Effective Date | Salary or Hourly Rate | Reason | Step / Level | Letter or Contract |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leave of Absence | 1/8/08 |  | Instructional | Goins | Christine | Lincoln Middle School | English | 01/12/08 | 38,000.00 | Child Rearing $\text { Yr. } 1$ | B Step 6 | Contract |
| Resignation | 1/8/08 |  | Educ. Assistant | Howard | Nicholas | Mahone Middle School | Special Education | 12/01/07 | 12.13 | Resignation |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/8/08 |  | Educ. Assistant | Scalise | Sandra | Durkee Elementary School | Ed Asst. <br> Classroom <br> Title I | 01/02/08 | 12.72 | New Hire |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/8/08 |  | Instructional | Graham | Terri | Frank Elementary | Title 1 Reading | 01/02/08 | 16,380.70 | New Hire | B Step 3 | Letter |
| Appointment | 1/8/08 |  | Instructional | Naylor | Tonya | Special Education | Speech Language Therapist | 01/02/08 | 51,619.00 | New Hire | M24 Step 9 | Letter |
| Appointment | 1/8/08 |  | Secretarial | Jankowski | Cheryl | Library Clerical Assistant | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Lance } \\ \text { Middle } \\ \text { School } \end{array}$ | 12/17/07 | 11.26 | New Hire |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/22/08 | ** | Educ. Assistant | Mathe | Rosa | Mahone Middle School | Educational Assistant | 01/02/08 | 12.13 | New Hire |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/22/08 | ** | Educ. Assistant | Sundstrom | Joy | Mahone Middle School | Educational Assistant | 01/03/08 | 11.13 | New Hire |  | Contact |
| Resignation | 1/22/08 | ** | Secretarial | Fortier | Gabriel | ECS / <br> Superintendent <br> Office | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Secretary II } \\ & 12 \text { mo } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 01/04/08 | 15.92 | Resignation |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/22/08 | ** | Instructional | Hein | Mary | Mahone Middle School | Guidance Counselor | 01/16/08 | 65,767.00 | Resignation | M24 Step 15 | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/22/08 | ** | Educ. Assistant | Benn | Lisa | John Bullen Middle School | Classroom Educational Assistant | 01/04/08 | 11.72 | New Hire |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/22/08 | ** | Educ. Assistant | Heaney | Ellen | Mahone Middle School | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Educational } \\ \text { Assistant } \\ \text { IDEA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 01/07/08 | 12.13 | New Hire |  | Contract |
| Appointment | 1/22/08 | ** | Secretarial | Fortier | Gabriel | ECS / <br> Superintendent Office | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Secretary II } \\ 12 \text { mo } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 01/08/08 | 15.65 | New Hire |  | Contract |
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## SPECIAL MEETING \& EXECUTIVE SESSION <br> OF THE KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL BOARD HELD DECEMBER 18, 2007

A special meeting of the Kenosha Unified School Board was held on Tuesday, December 18, 2007, in the Small Board Room at the Educational Support Center. The purpose of this meeting was to vote on holding an executive session to follow immediately.

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 P.M. with the following members present: Mrs. P. Stevens, Mr. Hujik, Mr. Olson and Mr. Fountain. Dr. Mangi was also present. Mr. Englund, Mrs. R. Stevens and Mr. Ostman arrived later.

Mr. Fountain, President, opened the meeting by announcing that this was a special meeting of the School Board of the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1. Notice of this special meeting was given to the public by forwarding a copy of the notice to all requesting radio stations and newspapers.

Mr. Fountain announced that an executive session had been scheduled to follow this special meeting for the purpose of Reviewing Findings/Orders of Independent Hearing Officers; Litigation; Personnel: Employment Relationship; Personnel: Position Assignments; Personnel: Compensation and/or Contracts; Property: Lease/Rental and Collective Bargaining Deliberations not subject to S.S. 19.85(3).

Mr. Olson moved that this executive session be held. Mr. Hujik seconded the motion.

Roll call vote. Ayes: Mrs. P. Stevens, Mr. Hujik, Mr. Olson and Mr. Fountain. Noes: None. Unanimously approved.

## 1. Lease/Rental

Mr. Finnemore arrived at 5:31 P.M. and provided Board members with information relating to a possible property lease.

Mr. Englund arrived at 5:34 P.M.
Mrs. R. Stevens and Mr. Ostman arrived at 5:43 P.M.
Mr. Finnemore was excused at 5:55 P.M.

## 2. Review Findings/Orders by the Independent Hearing Officers

Mr. Jones arrived at 5:55 P.M. and provided Board members with information regarding five expulsions.

Dr. Mangi and Mr. Jones were excused at 6:10 P.M.

Mr. Olson moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the first expulsion. Mr. Hujik seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Olson moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the second expulsion. Mr. Hujik seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hujik moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the third expulsion. Mr. Englund seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Ostman moved to extend the length of the fourth expulsion through the end of the 2008-2009 school year. Mrs. P. Stevens seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Olson moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the fifth expulsion. Mr. Englund seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Dr. Mangi returned to the meeting at 6:12 P.M.

## 3. Litigation

Dr. Mangi provided Board members with information regarding two litigation claims.

Mr. Hujik moved to disallow the first litigation claim. Mrs. P. Stevens seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Olson moved to disallow the second litigation claim. Mr. Ostman seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

## 4. Personnel: Employment Relationship; Position Assignments and Compensation and/or Contracts

Dr. Mangi updated Board members on a mediation agreement between the City of Kenosha, Kenosha Police Department, Kenosha Unified, LULAC and NAACP.

Dr. Mangi provided Board members with information on a proposed Secretary III position and a discussion followed.

Mrs. P. Stevens moved to approve the new Secretary III position. Mr. Ostman seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Dr. Mangi informed Board members of a pending personnel issue.
Mr. Fountain gave an update on the pending Superintendent search.
5. Collective Bargaining Deliberations not subject to S.S. 19.85(3)

Dr. Mangi gave an update on collective bargaining relating to Educational Interpreters.

Meeting adjourned at 7:00 P.M.
Stacy Schroeder Busby School Board Secretary

## REGULAR MEETING OF <br> THE KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL BOARD <br> HELD DECEMBER 18, 2007

A regular meeting of the Kenosha Unified School Board was held on Tuesday, December 18, 2007, at 7:00 P.M. in the Board Room of the Educational Support Center. Mr. Fountain, President, presided.

The meeting was called to order at 7:03 P.M. with the following Board members present: Mr. Englund, Mrs. P. Stevens, Mrs. R. Stevens, Mr. Hujik, Mr. Olson, Mr. Ostman and Mr. Fountain. Dr. Mangi was also present.

Mr. Fountain, President, opened the meeting by announcing that this was a regular meeting of the School Board of Kenosha Unified School District No. 1. Notice of this regular meeting was given to the public by forwarding the complete agenda to all requesting radio stations and newspapers. Copies of the complete agenda are available for inspection at all public schools and at the Superintendent's office. Anyone desiring information as to forthcoming meetings should contact the Superintendent's office.

There were no Administrative and/or Supervisory Appointments.
Mr. Johnston gave the Legislative Report.
Views and comments were expressed by members of the public and Board members made their responses and/or comments.

Dr. Mangi introduced the Student Ambassador, Katie Mahoney, from Tremper High School and she made her comments.

Dr. Mangi gave his Superintendent's report.
The Board then considered the following Consent-Approve items:
Consent-Approve item XI-A - Recommendations Concerning Appointments, Leave of Absence, Retirements and Resignations as presented in the agenda.

Consent-Approve item XI-B - Minutes of 11/27/07 Special Meeting and Executive Session and 11/27/07 Regular Meeting as presented in the agenda.

Consent-Approve item XI-C - Summary of Receipts, Wire Transfers and Check Registers submitted by Mr. William L. Johnston, Director of Finance; Ms. Eileen Coss, Accounting Manager; and Dr. Mangi and excerpts follow:
"It is recommended that receipt numbers CR028907 through CR029318 that total $\$ 334,496.31$ be approved.

Check numbers 4020609 through 404361 totaling $\$ 9,379,924.23$ are recommended for approval as the payments made are within budgeted allocations for the respective programs and projects.

It is recommended that wire transfers to First National Bank of Chicago and Nations Bank dated October 30, November 8, 16, 23 and 30, 2007 totaling $\$ 2,854,026.73$ to US Bank of Milwaukee dated November 15, and 30, 2007 totaling $\$ 310,327.42$ and to the Wisconsin Retirement System dated November 30, 2007 totaling \$1,066,227.39 be approved."

Consent-Approve item XI-D - Donations to the District as presented in the agenda.

Mr. Olson moved to approve the consent agenda as presented. Mrs. P. Stevens seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Dr. Mangi presented the Policy 3000 Series - Business Operations submitted by Mr. Johnston; Mrs. Kathleen Barca, Executive Director of School Leadership; and Dr. Mangi, excerpts follow:
"The Kenosha Unified School District mission defines the District as an educational system, which values our multi-cultural heritage. Our mission is to empower all students to reach their unique capabilities, contribute to our community, and compete in a global society by providing diverse and challenging opportunities to learn through the collaborative efforts of students, families, community and staff.

Strategy 6: We will celebrate and embrace the rich cultural diversity of the student body and community in order to achieve our mission and objectives was developed to align with this mission. The action plan addresses incorporation of cultural diversity into administrative and School Board policies and strategies. Suggested changes were received from WASB along with updated legal cross references and administrative regulations, which were added. Departments that execute and monitor the implementation of these policies also reviewed them and made changes as needed.

As a result of title change, the Director of Finance has been changed to the Executive Director of Business and the Accounting Manager will assume the Director of Finance role in Rule 3122. Administrative Regulation 3520 has been changed to read "the food service department" and delete building principals' role because all applications are now submitted to the food service department. The title also aligns with the policy and rule.

The Personnel and Policy Committee and the Audit, Budget and Finance Committee reviewed and approved Policy Series 3000 on November 13, 2007, and recommended it be brought to a full Board on November 27, 2007, for a first reading.

The Board asked questions regarding the following policies: (1) Policy 3270 Rental and Sale of District Owned Real Estate - The Board approves any purchase for vacant land and rental agreements are approved by the Superintendent which are minimal amounts; (2) Policy 3520 - School Nutrition Programs - The 3\% verification is
what the federal guidelines request; and (3) Policy 3523 - Vending Machines for Food Items - The U.S. Congress is considering a change in the definition of "foods of nutritional value" per the Wisconsin Association of School Boards (WASB), so the specific examples were deleted.

Administration recommends that the full Board approve Policy Series 3000 for a second reading on December 18, 2007."

Mr. Olson moved to approve Policy 3000 Series for a second reading. Mr . Ostman seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Dr. Mangi presented the WASB Resolutions submitted by Mr. Johnston and Dr. Mangi, excerpts follow:
"The Wisconsin Association of School Boards (WASB) has developed positions on key policy issues that are forwarded to the State Legislature annually. These positions are developed through a continuous review process coordinated by the WASB Policy and Resolutions Committee.

During the fall of each year, the Committee solicits input on significant policy issues from many sources, including those submitted by school district boards and at the fall regional meetings. In November each year, the Committee reviews the material and begins the selection process to formalize the policy positions for the current year.

These WASB positions on policy issues are presented and generally decided by the WASB Delegate Assembly, which meets annually in Milwaukee at the time of the WASB-WASDA-WASBO State Education Convention. The WASB Delegate Assembly is comprised of one (1) representative from each WASB member school board. Those positions that are approved at the Delegate Assembly are added to the overall WASB resolution document presented to the State Legislature at the beginning of each legislative session.

Administration has reviewed the attached resolutions and does not have any reason why the Board of Education should not support these positions.

Administration recommends that the Board of Education review the attached resolutions and authorize the Board's delegate to the WASB Delegate Assembly to vote in favor of those resolutions."

Mrs. P. Stevens moved to accept the WASB resolutions as presented. Mr. Olson seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Meeting adjourned at 7:40 P.M.
Stacy Schroeder Busby
School Board Secretary

## SPECIAL MEETING \& EXECUTIVE SESSION <br> OF THE KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL BOARD HELD JANUARY 08, 2008

A special meeting of the Kenosha Unified School Board was held on Tuesday, January 08, 2008, in the Small Board Room at the Educational Support Center. The purpose of this meeting was to vote on holding an executive session to follow immediately.

The meeting was called to order at 4:35 P.M. with the following members present: Mrs. P. Stevens, Mrs. R. Stevens, Mr. Hujik, Mr. Olson and Mr. Fountain. Dr. Mangi was also present. Mr. Englund, and Mr. Ostman arrived later.

Mr. Fountain, President, opened the meeting by announcing that this was a special meeting of the School Board of the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1. Notice of this special meeting was given to the public by forwarding a copy of the notice to all requesting radio stations and newspapers.

Mr. Fountain announced that an executive session had been scheduled to follow this special meeting for the purpose of Reviewing Findings/Orders of Independent Hearing Officers; Litigation; Personnel: Employment Relationship; Personnel: Position Assignments; and Personnel: Compensation and/or Contracts.

Mr. Olson moved that this executive session be held. Mrs. P. Stevens seconded the motion.

Roll call vote. Ayes: Mrs. P. Stevens, Mrs. R. Stevens, Mr. Hujik, Mr. Olson and Mr. Fountain. Noes: None. Unanimously approved.

## 1. Personnel: Position Assignments

Mr. Englund and Mr. Ostman arrived at 4:38 p.m.
Mr. Jeffrey Ahrendt arrived at 4:39 P.M. and responded to Board members' questions. He was excused at 4:49 P.M.

## 2. Review Findings/Orders by the Independent Hearing Officers

Mr. Jones arrived at 5:00 P.M. and provided Board members with information regarding six expulsions.

Dr. Mangi and Mr. Jones were excused at 5:12 P.M.
Mr. Olson moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the first expulsion. Mr. Hujik seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Olson moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the second expulsion. Mr. Hujik seconded the motion. Motion carried. Mrs. $P$. Stevens dissenting.

Mr. Olson moved to extend the length of the third expulsion through the end of the 2010-2011 school year. Mr. Hujik seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hujik moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the fourth expulsion. Mrs. R. Stevens seconded the motion. Motion carried Mrs. P. Stevens, Mr. Ostman and Mr. Fountain dissenting.

Mrs. P. Stevens moved to extend the length of the fifth expulsion through the end of the 2008-2009 school year. Mr. Ostman seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hujik moved to concur with the recommendation of the hearing officer with respect to the sixth expulsion. Mr. Ostman seconded the motion. Motion carried. Mrs. P. Stevens and Mrs. R. Stevens dissenting.

Dr. Mangi returned to the meeting at 5:20 P.M.

## 3. Litigation

Mrs. Glass arrived at 5:21 P.M. and updated Board members on a pending litigation matter.

## 4. Personnel: Employment Relationship; Position Assignments and Compensation and/or Contracts

Dr. Mangi provided Board members with several proposed position assignments and a discussion followed.

Mr. Fountain gave an update on the pending Superintendent search.
Meeting adjourned at 6:00 P.M.

Stacy Schroeder Busby<br>School Board Secretary

# SPECIAL MEETING <br> OF THE KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL BOARD <br> HELD JANUARY 08, 2008 

A special meeting of the Kenosha Unified School Board was held on Tuesday, January 8, 2008, in the Board Room at the Educational Support Center. The purpose of this meeting was for discussion regarding Advanced Placement Courses.

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 P.M. with the following members present: Mr. Englund, Mrs. P. Stevens, Mrs. R. Stevens, Mr. Hujik, Mr. Olson, Mr. Ostman and Mr. Fountain. Dr. Mangi was also present.

Mr. Fountain, President, opened the meeting by announcing that this was a special meeting of the School Board of Kenosha Unified School District No. 1. Notice of this special meeting was given to the public by forwarding a copy of the notice to all requesting radio stations and newspapers.

Dr. Holcomb, Ms. Schneider, Dr. Wells and Mr. Kearby gave a Power Point presentation to Board members relating to Advanced Placement Courses. A discussion followed.

Meeting adjourned at 9:44 P.M.

> Stacy Schroeder Busby
> School Board Secretary
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# Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 <br> Kenosha, Wisconsin Summary of Receipts, Wire Transfers, and Check Registers January 22, 2008 

|  | From | To | Date | Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Receipts:

Total Receipts $\quad$ CR029319 CR029650 11/23/2007-12/20/2007 \$ 377,698.30

## Wire Transfers from Johnson Bank to:

First Natl Bank of Chicago/NationsBank (for federal payroll taxes)
First Natl Bank of Chicago/NationsBank (for federal payroll taxes)
First Natl Bank of Chicago/NationsBank (for federal payroll taxes)
First Natl Bank of Chicago/NationsBank (for federal payroll taxes)
First Natl Bank of Chicago/NationsBank (for federal payroll taxes)
US Bank of Milwaukee
US Bank of Milwaukee
(for state payroll taxes)
Wisconsin Retirement System
Total Outgoing Wire Transfers

| December 6, 2007 | $1,214,343.92$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| December 17, 2007 | $109,083.21$ |
| December 20, 2007 | $127,164.75$ |
| December 31, 2007 | $108,226.17$ |
| December 31, 2007 | $6,678.41$ |
| December 17, 2007 | $290,344.22$ |
| December 31, 2007 | $273,217.00$ |
| December 28, 2007 | $1,090,301.60$ |
| $\$ 3,219,359.28$ |  |

## Check Registers:

| General | 404362 | 404501 | November 30, 2007 | $124,183.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| General | 404502 | 404506 | December 4, 2007 | 646.97 |
| General | 404507 | 404967 | December 7, 2007 | $1,211,709.42$ |
| General | 404968 | 405042 | December 11, 2007 | $2,944,635.06$ |
| General | 405043 | 405259 | December 14, 2007 | $163,326.96$ |
| General | 405260 | 405266 | December 17, 2007 | $16,737.89$ |
| General | 405267 | 405267 | December 18, 2007 | $4,522.31$ |
| General | 405268 | 405790 | December 21, 2007 | $2,066,347.53$ |
| General | 405791 | 405924 | December 27, 2007 | $111,380.24$ |
| General | 405925 | 406304 | January 4, 2008 | $972,774.08$ |
| General | 406305 | 406305 | January 7, 2008 | 152.96 |

Total Check Registers
\$ 7,616,416.42

## Administrative Recommendation

It is recommended that receipt numbers CR029319 through CR029650 that total $\$ 377,698.30$ be approved.

Check numbers 404362 through 406305 totaling \$7,616,416.42 are recommended for approval as the payments made are within budgeted allocations for the respective programs and projects.

It is recommended that wire transfers to First National Bank of Chicago and Nations Bank dated December 6, 17, 20, and 31, 2007 (two (2) deposits) totaling \$1,565,496.46 to US Bank of Milwaukee dated December 17 and 31, 2007 totaling \$563,561,22 and to the Wisconsin Retirement System dated December 28, 2007 totaling $\$ 1,090,301.60$ be approved.

Dr. Joseph T. Mangi
Interim Superintendent of Schools

William L. Johnston, CPA
Director of Finance

## Eileen Coss

Accounting Manager

# KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 <br> Kenosha, Wisconsin 

January 22, 2008

## DONATIONS TO THE DISTRICT

The District has received the following donations:

1. Walmart donated $\$ 15,000.00$ toward a new scoreboard for the baseball program at Bradford High School.
2. C.J.W., Inc. donated $\$ 4,248.98$ to the baseball program at Bradford High School. The money will be used to purchase batting cages and mound/homeplate clay bricks.
3. Mary Joy Madrigrano donated $\$ 2,550.00$ to purchase new home uniforms for the boys' basketball team at Bradford High School.
4. Kenosha Bible Church donated $\$ 915.68$ to the families in need at Roosevelt Elementary School.
5. Paul and Susan Smith donated $\$ 500.00$ for the Kenosha Military Academy.
6. Jim Miller donated a Lesher oboe valued at $\$ 500.00$ to the Music Department for their Scholarship Program.
7. Carolyn Gandy donated a King Trumpet valued at $\$ 300.00$ and a Yamaha trombone valued at $\$ 200.00$ to the Music Department for their Scholarship Program.
8. Robert Rogge donated a King flute valued at $\$ 350.00$ to the Music Department for their Scholarship Program.
9. Dennis and Marie Persinger donated a Bundy clarinet valued at $\$ 300.00$ to the Music Department for their Scholarship Program.
10. Diane Katt donated a Normandy clarinet valued at $\$ 250.00$ to the Music Department for their Scholarship Program.
11. Bradford Community Church donated $\$ 220.00$ to support the recycling program at Indian Trail Academy.
12. Madison Community Foundation donated $\$ 200.00$ to Stocker Elementary School.
13. Kenosha Kawanzaa Celebration Committee donated $\$ 75.00$ to SISTAS.

## Administrative Recommendation

Administration requests the Board of Education approve acceptance of the above listed gift(s), grant(s) or bequest(s) as per Board Policy 3280, to authorize the establishment of appropriate accounts to monitor fiscal activity, to amend the budget to reflect this action and to publish the budget change per Wisconsin Statute 65.90(5)(a).

[^0]
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# KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 <br> Kenosha, Wisconsin 

January 22, 2008

## Reuther Central High School/Southwest Library Bookmark Café Partnership

Reuther Central High School would like to enter into a cooperative partnership with the Kenosha Public Library. This partnership would allow our school to operate the Bookmark Café that is located within the Southwest Library. The Bookmark Café was designed to provide a service for the patrons of the Southwest Library and was not intended to be a profit making business. The Bookmark Café has been operating for the past three years. It has been operated by the Kenosha Achievement Center. The Kenosha Achievement Center is no longer interested in continuing the operation of the Café. The Kenosha Public Library would like to have a non-profit organization as a partner for their café. We believe that Reuther Central High School would be a perfect partner.

Reuther Central High School has excellent experience with food and beverage service. It is the only school in the Kenosha Unified School District that has a student run food service program. Students under the direction of certified staff prepare and serve the hot lunch program to the entire school. In addition to operating the hot lunch program for our high school, our staff operates the $57^{\text {th }}$ street Bistro. A small Bistro style restaurant and catering program has been operating within our school for the past three school years. Students are instructed in the culinary and marketing aspects of the restaurant and food service industry. Teachers use a nationally recognized curriculum and have provided outstanding training for Kenosha Unified School District students. The ProStart curriculum is a two-year industry-based curriculum that prepares high school students for careers in the restaurant and food service industry. Students gain valuable restaurant and food service skills through their academic and work place experiences. Students are required to complete workplace competencies and 400 hours of work experience in order to be granted National Certification.

The Bookmark Café site would allow our school to place students in a "Real Life" work environment. In addition, students would not only get work experience hours, but they would be part of the development of the marketing and business plan for an authentic restaurant. Currently the operation of our Bistro is part of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade Expeditionary Learning Outward Bound program. The Bookmark Café would be a tremendous enhancement to our program.

Over the past several weeks I have had the opportunity to discuss this opportunity with key members of our School District. Issues involving staffing, liability, and funding have been explored. So far the support for this opportunity has been very positive. A meeting with the administration of the Kenosha Library and Kenosha Unified administration has been held and the Library Board is ready to move forward with a partnership. A review of the work that has been completed so far is as follows:

1. KUSD staff has visited the site at the library and an appraisal of all equipment has been made.
2. We have received a library equipment cost from the Kenosha Achievement Center. Our finance department is ready to negotiate the purchase of the equipment we would need to operate the café'.
3. Food Service has designated one service worker to be assigned to the site.
4. Projections for long term cost for managing the site are being planned. Exact cost of personnel will be available at the December School Board meeting.
5. Reuther instructional staff has started the planning process for the long-term utilization of the Library site in both our Marketing and Bistro/Food Service programs.
6. An RFP has been written and has been submitted to the Library Director.
7. The negotiated concessionaire agreement has been submitted to the Superintendent for his review.

At the November 27, 2007, Executive Session Meeting, the Board asked to see a formal business plan that includes financial information to support the request to operate the Bookmark Café.

At its January 8, 2008 meeting, the Audit/Budget/Finance Committee voted to forward the Bookmark Café Business Plan to the full Board for approval.

## Administrative Recommendation

Administration recommends that the School Board approve the proposal and authorize Administration to enter into a concessionaire agreement for the operation of the Bookmark Café at the Southwest Library.

Dr. Joseph T. Mangi
Interim Superintendent of Schools
Daniel Tenuta
Reuther Central High School Principal

January 22, 2008

# Reuther Central High School/Bookmark Café Business Plan 

## Mission Statement

The Mission of Reuther Central High School, a safe and caring learning community where students choose from a variety of engaging educational programs, is to inspire students to graduate and become productive members of society, by supporting them to create and pursue their dream.

## School Background

Reuther's primary mission has always been to provide an education to students that are "at risk" of not graduating from High School. The school has an enrollment of 585 students. $55 \%$ of the students qualify for Free or Reduced Lunch. 64\% of the students come from single parent homes. $.04 \%$ of the students are in the House of Corrections. $6.1 \%$ of the students are on Independent Living. $04 \%$ of the students are Teen Parents. $79 \%$ of the students are considered credit deficient. $.09 \%$ of the students have an identified Special Education need. $87 \%$ of the new students that enroll at Reuther have a daily average attendance that was less than $90 \%$ the previous school year. $44 \%$ of the student body changes at the end of each school year. Most students that come to Reuther have experienced failure at the other schools they have attended. Reuther does not have an "Attendance Area". It is a choice school for students that are "At- Risk of not graduating from High School. Reuther's educational programs are set up for two primary reasons. The first is centered upon students that have been previously retained and need acceleration to graduate on time. The second type of program is set up to prevent potential failure in students that would not be successful in a traditional high school setting. Reuther does not provide a traditional comprehensive high school program. All seven of Reuther's educational programs are organized around a "small school" concept with teams of teachers working together to create a highly successful learning environment. These small schools have a well-defined purpose that targets students with specific educational needs. Many of our school programs require students to participate in work skill development classes, and must demonstrate work and life skill competencies at real job sites.

## Executive Summary

Over the last three years the Kenosha Achievement Center has operated the Bookmark Café located within the Southwest Library. The Kenosha Achievement Center will be terminating their involvement in the operation of the Café at the end of 2007. The Bookmark Café provides a food and beverage service for the patrons of the Southwest Library. This service was not intended to be a profit-making venture. It is viewed as an additional service to visitors that utilize the library. The administration of the Kenosha Public Library believes that a non-profit organization would be an ideal partner for their café. We believe that Reuther Central High School should be that partner.

Reuther Central High School has excellent experience with food and beverage service. It is the only school in the Kenosha Unified School District that has a student run food service program. In addition to operating the hot lunch program for our high school, our staff operates the $57^{\text {th }}$ street Bistro. A small Bistro style restaurant and catering program has been operating within our school for the past three school years. Students are instructed in the culinary and marketing aspects of the restaurant and food service industry. Teachers use a nationally recognized curriculum and are providing outstanding training for Kenosha Unified School District students. Students being certified in this curriculum need 400 hours of work experience hours. Finding a work environment for these students is very challenging, and the café would provide a year around site for us to use.

Work experience hours however, only touches the surface of the educational value of operating the Café. Students involved in the Café would be part of the development of the marketing and business operation for the Café. This authentic learning environment is at the heart of what education should be all about. In addition to the direct educational benefits of operating the café, there are other tremendous advantages for our involvement. The Southwest library would be a wonderful place for our students to showcase their work. The café could be used as a forum to display various projects created by Reuther students, as well as other KUSD students. Finally, this amazing educational opportunity would help promote a positive partnership between the library and KUSD, and enhance the public image of both KUSD and the Library.

## The Market

Over 435,000 patrons visited the Southwest Library last year. These patrons cover a wide variety of demographic categories. Senior citizens visit the site early in the morning and remain a constant source of patrons throughout the day. Mid-morning and early afternoon brings in the preschool crowd for the organized story time programs. Starting at approximately 3:00 pm high school, middle school, and elementary students become the predominate visitors to the library. Another story time program period in the evening brings in preschool and school age students. The evening time has a wide variety of patrons from all of the demographic categories. Weekends have a wide variety of visitors from all demographic categories. In addition, the Southwest Library is a voting site for the City and sponsors community meetings and special events throughout the year. The patronage of the Southwest Library varies throughout the calendar year. Summer time is the slowest time for visitors and the library hours of operation are reduced. The Library has developed a strategic plan aimed at increasing the use of the Library by the public; they are always looking at ways of attracting more visitors.

## Management Team

Reuther Central High School staff will be responsible for the management of the Café. The management team will involve Reuther and Kenosha Unified staff and will consist of employees assigned to the Reuther food service and marketing programs. All staff will be trained and certified appropriately, and will be employees of the Kenosha Unified School District. The principal/designee will take responsibility for supervising school employees working at the library Café. The principal/designee will also be responsible for the planning and implementation of the educational programming involved in the operation of the site.

## Marketing Strategy

Our Marketing strategy would be three-fold:

1) We will take advantage of the prime usage hours of the Library. To maximize our financial advantage we would be open after school, evenings, and weekend hours.

The Café would operate the following hours:
September - May
Sunday 11:00 am - 3:00 pm
Monday - Thursday 9:00 am - 8:00 pm
Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm
Saturday 11:00 am - 3:00 pm

## June - August

Monday - Friday 9:00 am - 3:00 pm
2) We will plan activities at the same time the Library hosts their special events. The library already has a well thought out schedule of special events planned throughout the year. The Café students would take advantage of these special activities. We would set up special promotions and fund raising ideas that would be enhancements to the library programs that were being offered. For example, the Southwest Library in a voting site for the City. Election Day brings in hundreds of people that would normally not go to the Library. Food items that target voters would have a great potential for increasing sales.
3) We would plan special activities that would generate additional opportunities to increase the use of the Café. Students at our school would develop activities that would attract patrons that would not normally be targeted by the Library. For example each quarter a large number of high school students need a place to study for finals. Food items that would be appealing to this cliental would help attract these students to the library.

In addition, the Reuther Bistro students and teachers would come up with creative menu ideas, promotions, and catering ideas that would attract high sales of food products. The Reuther Bistro and Food Service program has prepared and served a wide variety of meals and banquets over the years. The current Bookmark Café menu contains items that could be easily reproduced and prepared by the staff in our program. The future menu items that would be chosen would meet the needs of the population attending the library. For example, during story hour times our menu would include healthy food choices that not only appeal to parents but to children as well. These menu items would have a high nutritional value, yet would be quick and easy to prepare. Busy families could have a healthy, affordable snack and continue on with their day. Menu items would also reflect special programs sponsored by the library.

## Financial Goal

Our financial goal would be to operate the Café at no cost to the Kenosha Unified School District.

## Projected Revenue

The Kenosha Achievement Center has provided their annual gross revenue for the last three (3) years and their average annual gross sales have been around $\$ 45,000$ a year. This year, their sales are down due to the reduced hours that they are now open. Additionally, they felt that introducing another payment option other than cash, specifically a debit/credit card process would have enhanced their overall revenue potential. KUSD has this option already in place for our middle and high schools and providing this feature to the Bookmark Café would not be an increase in cost.

The initial revenue projection for the Reuther project will be $\$ 45,000$ per year and is based on an initial similar mix of product and the proposed hours of operation over a 12-month period of time.

## Costs

The Kenosha Achievement Center has indicated that their costs of goods ran approximately $66 \%$ of sales and did not include the cost of labor. Factoring in the cost of labor and they were struggling to make money.

The initial food and supply expenditure projection for the Reuther project has been determined to be $\$ 18,000$ and is based on a $40 \%$ cost of goods to be sold. The District's actual food service cost of goods sold is $33 \%-35 \%$ and an additional $5 \%-8 \%$ is being added for any unforeseen supplies or contingencies that might be needed. The existing Food Service contract pricing would be extended to the Bookmark Café.

The personnel costs are projected to run a total of $\$ 26,588$. The net staffing cost to the program is anticipated to be $\$ 13,982$ due to the reallocating of the food service domestic dollars that are provided to Reuther by Food Service (Fund 50) to operate the daily food service lunch program. These dollars can be repurposed to this project by using students in the program to function as the food service domestic personnel at the Reuther Cafeteria. The annual cost of the domestic staff provided to Reuther is \$12,606 (\$9.24 an hour for 1,709 hours).

The breakdown of the staffing costs to operate the Bookmark Café at the Southwest Library are projected as follows:

Positions needed:

1) 2 part- time 37.5hours per week (18.75 hours each)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sept. - May } \\
& \text { Monday - Friday } \\
& (8: 45 \mathrm{am}-12: 30 \mathrm{pm}) \\
& (12: 30 \mathrm{pm}-4: 15 \mathrm{pm})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cost for proposed hours

$\$ 9.24$ per hour ( $\$ 8.50$ plus $7.65 \%$ FICA/Medicare \& $1.0 \%$ workers comp.).
Due to the limited hours of each individual position, no benefit costs are being budgeted for these positions (e.g., retirement or health).

Total hours of operation - 1,402.5

Hours based upon 187 days. September 2008 - May 2009 minus day’s library is closed.

| Total proposed hours: | $1,402.05$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rate | x 9.24 |
| Total Cost | $\mathbf{1 2 , 9 5 9}$ |

Currently the School District allocates funding for the domestic staff assigned to the food service program at Reuther High School. This funding would be repurposed to the Southwest Library Site. This funding would cover the two part-time Monday - Friday time slots from Sept until the end of May. The annual cost of the domestic staff provided to Reuther is \$12,606 (\$9.24 an hour for 1,309 hours).
2) 1 part-time 18 hours per week

Sept. - May
Monday-Thursday (4:00 p.m.- 8:00 p.m.)
Friday (4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.)
18 hour per week position paid from revenue generated from café sales. This position would cover the part-time Monday - Friday time slot from Sept until the end of May.
3) 1 part-time 8 hours per week

Sept - May
Saturday \& Sunday (11:00 am - 3:00)
8 hour per week position paid from revenue generated from café sales. This position would cover the part-time Saturday - Sunday time slot from Sept until the end of May.
4) 2 part-time 35-hours per week
(Summer Position)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June -August } \\
& \text { Monday - Friday } \\
& (8: 45 \mathrm{am}-12: 15 \mathrm{pm}) \\
& (12: 00 \mathrm{pm}-3: 30 \mathrm{pm})
\end{aligned}
$$

35-hour position paid from the revenue generated from café sales. This position would cover part-time Monday - Friday time slots from June until the end of August.

## Cost for proposed hours

\$9.24 per hour (\$8.50 plus 7.65\% FICA/Medicare \& 1.0\% workers comp.)
Due to the limited hours of each individual position, no benefit costs are being budgeted for these positions (e.g., retirement or health).

Total hours of operation - 1,471
Hours based on 365 Calendar minus days the Southwest Library is closed for Holidays. Calendar: September 2008 - August 2009.

Calendar includes:
38 Sundays at 4 hours per day

| Total proposed hours: | 1,475 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Rate | $\mathbf{X} \quad 9.24$ |
| Total Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 6 2 9}$ |


| Labor Cost | $\$ 26,588$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Food Service Funding (less) | $\underline{(\$ 12,606)}$ |
| Net Labor Costs | $\$ 13,982$ |


| Total Revenue | $\$ 45,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cost of Goods | $(\$ 18.000)$ |
| Labor Costs | $\underline{(\$ 13,982)}$ |
| Profit | $\$ 13,018$ |

Based upon these projections the Café would operate with zero cost to the school district. Profits would be returned to the Reuther High School program.

## Capital Costs

The following equipment will need to be purchased in order operate the Café. This equipment was used previously by the Kenosha Achievement Center at the Café site. The equipment is listed as follows

| Equipment | Make | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freezer | Glenco ALFA-22-TE 503B | \$ 1,250 |
| Cooler | Hobat Koch | \$ 995 |
| Coffee Pot | Fetco | \$ 2,000 |
| Smoothie/flavored ice machine | Brun 10oz | \$ 1,100 |
| Fridge under counter | True TUC-27 | \$ 590 |
| Display Cooler | Spartan Showcase | \$ 1,800 |
| Convection Cookie Oven | Otis Spunkmeyer | \$ 300 |
| Microwave | GE JES735WHO1 (2003) | \$ 190 |
| Kiosk, including sinks, display and ice machine |  | \$ 7,304 |
| Total |  | \$15,529 |

The District has negotiated with the Kenosha Achievement Center a flat \$10,000 for the above equipment. The District's amount does not include $\$ 10,000$ of built in carpentry, plumbing and electrical that the Achievement Center initially quoted. The equipment will be purchased out of the Food Service budget and repaid by sales generated from the Café.

# CONCESSION AGREEMENT 

## By and Between <br> THE KENOSHA PUBLIC LIBRARY, A Wisconsin Public Library, <br> and <br> KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISRICT \#1 A Wisconsin Public School District

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into by and between the KENOSHA PUBLIC LIBRARY, $812-56^{\text {th }}$ Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53140, a Wisconsin public library hereinafter referred to as "KPL", and the KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT \#1, $360052^{\text {nd }}$ Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53144, a Wisconsin Public School District, hereinafter referred to as "CONCESSIONAIRE".

In consideration of the mutual undertakings, understandings, and agreements hereinafter set forth, KPL and CONCESSIONAIRE agree as follows:

1. PREMISES: DESCRIPTION AND USE. KPL herein and hereby grants to CONCESSIONAIRE, the right, privilege, and license to sell food and beverages in specific space identified in Attachment "A" at the Southwest Library, 7979-38 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, hereinafter referred to as "CONCESSION AREA", subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement. KPL does not furnish any fixtures, equipment, counters, tables, chairs, etc., for use of CONCESSIONAIRE.
2. REQUIRED SERVICES. KPL's Director and the CONCESSIONAIRE's Manager shall agree in writing on the menu of food and beverage items that CONCESSIONAIRE may offer for sale and the times that CONCESSIONAIRE will be open for business.
3. TERM. This Agreement shall be in force and effect from date of signing to December 31, 2010, subject to early termination as hereinafter provided. A Letter of Agreement between the parties executed prior to July 1, 2009 may extend this Agreement for an additional term of three (3) years.
4. CONSIDERATION TO KPL. Each year on or before June 30, CONCESSIONAIRE shall pay one dollar to KPL at $812-56^{\text {th }}$ Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53140, as consideration for the rights, privileges, and licenses herein granted.
5. LICENSES, PERMITS AND LAWS. CONCESSIONAIRE shall obtain all necessary food licenses and permits at its expense and shall comply with all applicable State, County, and City laws, rules, and regulations relevant to the operation of concessions in the CONCESSION AREA. CONCESSIONAIRE shall not possess, serve or sell wine, fermented malt beverages (beer), or liquor in the CONCESSION AREA under any circumstances. CONCESSIONAIRE recognizes the right of the State, County, and City to close down the CONCESSION AREA for violation of any applicable State, County, or City laws, rules, or regulations governing food and beverage service.
6. MAINTENANCE. CONCESSIONAIRE shall comply with KPL's reasonable requirements respecting temporary storage and pickup of trash and garbage, and shall not permit any accumulation of trash, garbage, debris, or litter in the CONCESSION AREA at any time. The piling of
boxes, cartons, drums, cans, or similar items shall not be permitted outside of CONCESSION AREA, except in areas specifically permitted by KPL's Director. The CONCESSION AREA shall be maintained in a neat, clean and orderly manner.
7. FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT. CONCESSIONAIRE shall supply all items which it sells, and shall furnish fixtures and equipment, including, but not limited to storage, display, processing, heating, cooling, freezing, and dispensing equipment, and all counters, tables, chairs, etc., to be used in concession operations. CONCESSIONAIRE shall operate said concession with its own personnel, who shall not be considered employees or agents of KPL for any intent or purpose. CONCESSIONAIRE's personnel shall be clean, neat, sanitary, and shall not possess a health threat or risk to the public. Except in the case of negligence by KPL, its employees, officers, agents, or representatives, CONCESSIONAIRE shall assume the risk of all losses, in any form, including vandalism and theft, to its fixtures, equipment and saleable items kept in the CONCESSION AREA, and neither KPL nor any of its officers or employees shall be liable for any loss or damage thereto. CONCESSIONAIRE shall remove all money from CONCESSION AREA at the close of business each day.
8. CONDITION OF CONCESSION AREA UPON CONCLUSION OF AGREEMENT. CONCESSIONAIRE shall, at the expiration of this Agreement, return the CONCESSION AREA to KPL in substantially the condition said CONCESSION AREA was in at the inception of this Agreement, normal wear and tear and installation of permanent power stubs and equipment excepted.
9. PERMANENT IMPROVEMENTS. CONCESSIONAIRE shall not permanently improve the CONCESSION AREA without the express, advance, written permission of KPL, and then subject to any terms or conditions imposed by KPL. If any permanent improvements are made, they shall become the property of KPL at the conclusion of this Agreement, at no cost to KPL.
10. ACCESS TO PREMISES; RESTROOMS. KPL shall give CONCESSIONAIRE access to the CONCESSION AREA as required for business purposes of CONCESSIONAIRE's employees and suppliers. CONCESSIONAIRE shall permit KPL personnel and all personnel charged with enforcing State or local laws, rules, and regulations, the right to inspect CONCESSION AREA. CONCESSIONAIRE's employees and patrons are permitted to use Library restrooms.
11. SIGNS. CONCESSIONAIRE shall not display any signs on or about the CONCESSION AREA or the Library without first obtaining the advance, written approval of KPL's Director, except those on portable menu stands in CONCESSION AREA.
12. UTILITIES. KPL shall make available to CONCESSIONAIRE existing utilities, but shall not be responsible for installing additional utilities. CONCESSIONAIRE may install additional utilities, at its cost, with the written permission of KPL's Director.
13. NO TRANSFER OR ASSIGNMENT - EXCLUSIVE AND NONEXCLUSIVE

RIGHTS. CONCESSIONAIRE shall not transfer or assign its right or interest in this Agreement without the express, advance, written approval of KPL, which may be withheld for any reason. CONCESSIONAIRE has an exclusive right to operate a food and beverage service concession for the general public at this library branch during normal library business hours.
14. INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS. CONCESSIONAIRE does hereby agree that it will, at all times during the initial term of this Agreement, and any extended term of this Agreement, defend, indemnify, and hold harmless, KPL and its officers, agents, employees, or
representatives, against any and all claims, liability, loss, charges, damages, costs, expenses, or attorneys' fees, which they may hereafter sustain, incur, or be required to pay as a result of any act or omission of CONCESSIONAIRE or its employees or agents, or resulting from CONCESSIONAIRE's failure to perform or observe any of the terms, covenants, and conditions of this Agreement to be performed by CONCESSIONAIRE or resulting from any condition of the premises caused by CONCESSIONAIRE, should any person or party suffer or sustain injury, death, or property loss or damage as a result of any of said actions or omissions of CONCESSIONAIRE. CONCESSIONAIRE shall not be required to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless KPL against any claims, liability, loss, damages, costs, expenses, or attorney's fees which result from the negligence of KPL, its employees, officers, agents, or representatives.
15. INSURANCE. CONCESSIONAIRE will procure and maintain during the entire term of this Agreement, an insurance policy which covers liability for business operations and for products made or sold by CONCESSIONAIRE, with KPL listed as an additional insured.

The policy shall also contain an endorsement for contractual liability coverage for the protection of the parties hereto under the Indemnity and Hold Harmless provision of this Agreement. The policy must be issued by an insurance company or companies authorized to do business in the State of Wisconsin and licensed by the Insurance Department thereof. Liability Insurance shall be provided at all times in a minimum amount of One Million ( $\$ 1,000,000.00$ ) Dollars single limits coverage, per person, and per occurrence, covering death, personal injury, and property loss or damage.

CONCESSIONAIRE shall not operate the CONCESSION AREA under this Agreement until a Certificate of Insurance indicating compliance with the foregoing is filed with KPL's Director. The insurance policy or policies shall contain a clause that in the event that any policy issued is cancelled for any reason, or any material changes are made therein, KPL will be notified, in writing, by the CONCESSIONAIRE at least twenty (20) days before any cancellation or change takes effect. If the insurance coverage required herein lapses, this Agreement shall become null and void as of the date said insurance coverage lapsed, except that, where relevant, the Indemnity and Hold Harmless provisions shall continue to be enforceable.
16. NONWAIVER OF RIGHTS. The failure of either party to insist upon strict performance of any of the terms, covenants, or conditions herein contained shall not be deemed a waiver of any subsequent breach or default.
17. TERMINATION/SUSPENSION. KPL may terminate this Agreement for noncompliance with this Agreement upon providing CONCESSIONAIRE with thirty (30) days written notice and an opportunity to be heard before the KPL Board.

KPL's Director may suspend this Agreement prior to such termination hearing where continuation of service would jeopardize the public health, safety or welfare, by providing written notice to CONCESSIONAIRE of the suspension and reasons therefore.

Either party may terminate this Agreement upon providing the other party with a ninety (90) day written notice.
18. NOTICE. Any notice required to be given in this Agreement shall be by Registered Mail with Return Receipt, private delivery service with return receipt, or actual personal service.
a. Notice to KPL shall be sent or delivered to the Library Director, Kenosha Public Library, P.O. Box 1414, 812 - $56^{\text {th }}$ Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53141-1414.
b. Notice to CONCESSIONAIRE shall be sent or delivered to the Superintendent, Kenosha Unified School District \#1, $360052^{\text {nd }}$ Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53144.

Either party may designate a different address for service by delivering or sending written notice of such change of address to the other party.
19. FULL AGREEMENT. The full agreement of the parties is embodied in this Agreement.

## 20. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENT.

a. KPL enters into this Agreement by authority of action taken by the Kenosha Public Library Board on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 200.
b. CONCESSIONAIRE enters into this Agreement by authority of action taken on the
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 200 _-.

The parties hereto have executed this Agreement on the dates below given.

> KENOSHA PUBLIC LIBRARY, A Wisconsin Public Library

BY:
LOUISE MATTIOLI
President, Kenosha Public Library Board
Date: $\qquad$

## BY:

DOUGLAS BAKER, Library Director
Date: $\qquad$

## STATE OF WISCONSIN )

:SS.
COUNTY OF KENOSHA )
Personally came before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 200 $\qquad$ LOUISE MATTIOLI, Board President, and DOUGLAS BAKER, Library Director, of the KENOSHA PUBLIC LIBRARY for the CITY OF KENOSHA, WISCONSIN, a Wisconsin public library, to me known to be such officers, of said Board, and acknowledged that they executed the foregoing instrument as such officers as the agreement of said Board, by its authority.

Notary Public, Kenosha County, WI.
My Commission expires/is: $\qquad$

## CONCESSIONAIRE

BY: $\qquad$

Title: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$

BY: $\qquad$

Title: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$

## STATE OF WISCONSIN )

:SS.
COUNTY OF KENOSHA )
Personally came before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 200 , Officer and Title and Officer and Title , of the CONCESSIONAIRE, to me known to be such officers and acknowledged that they executed the foregoing instrument as such officers and have been properly authorized to execute this agreement .

Notary Public, Kenosha County, WI.
My Commission expires/is:
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# KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 

Kenosha, Wisconsin
January 22, 2008

## 2008-09 CAPITAL PROJECT PLAN

## Background:

Board Policy 3711 requires that a major maintenance project list be developed annually by the Department of Facilities Services and that the list be reviewed by the Planning, Facilities, and Equipment Committee and taken to the School Board for action no later than April $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year. This report includes the proposed major maintenance and energy savings projects plans for 2008-09.

The overall major maintenance plan is updated on a regular basis with annual evaluations of each project on the list by the Facilities Department with input from principals and head custodians. This plan includes "place marks" for annual-type projects, which include roof, boiler, asphalt/concrete, and carpet replacements. Each project is prioritized by the Facilities Department based on the priority system detailed in the Board Policy. As a reminder, the highest priority projects are 1 A followed by $2 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B}$, and 2 B . Capacity related projects required to meet the growing enrollment take precedence over all projects except 1A projects. This report also includes the capacity projects for the 2008-09 school year as required by Board Policy 7210.

The 2008-09 major maintenance plan and energy saving project plan are provided as Attachment 1 to this report. The plans are a continuation of the overall major maintenance plan initiated six and a half years ago, and the energy savings project program started five years ago. The major maintenance plan includes a proposed contingency of $\$ 16,000$ or $0.91 \%$ of the overall budget. Board Policy 3711 recommends that a contingency of not more than $5 \%$ be reserved at the beginning of each year; contingencies have ranged from 2.00\% to $4.25 \%$ over the past eight years. The proposed contingency is a little on the low side, but we do have $\$ 100,000$ set aside for capacity projects and currently are only aware of the need to spend approximately half of this on additional furniture to address enrollment growth.

This report also includes a projected five-year major maintenance plan, which is Attachment 2 to this report. Years two through five of the five-year plan are provided primarily as an informational item for the Board and for the schools to get a better idea as to when key projects most likely will occur. Obviously, there is less certainty with each year projected out due to all of the unknowns that may affect this plan including budget, aging rates of buildings and equipment, regulatory issues, etc.

## Planning, Facilities, and Equipment Committee:

This report was reviewed by the Planning, Facilities, and Equipment Committee at their January 8, 2008 meeting and the Committee unanimously approved forwarding it on to the full Board for their consideration.

## Administration Recommendation:

Administration recommends Board approval of the 2008-09 Capital Project Plan summarized in this report.

Dr. Joseph T. Mangi Interim Superintendent of Schools

Mr. Patrick M. Finnemore, PE Director of Facilities

Mr. John E. Setter, AIA
Project Architect

# PROPOSED 2008-09 MAJOR MAINTENANCE AND ENERGY SAVING PROJECTS 

Major Maintenance Plan

## Asphalt/Concrete Replacement/Repair:

This is an annual project to replace asphalt and concrete in areas that are in the poorest condition or facilitate access to the school site or building. We are proposing three asphalt projects this year; the first is a reconstruction of the south parking lot at Washington Middle School, the second is the creation of a wider asphalt entry driveway and turn lane onto Hwy. E at Somers Elementary, and the third is a resurfacing of the kindergarten playground at Curtis Strange Elementary. The Washington project is recommended to coincide with the creation of a new parent drop off lane on the eastern edge of the property.

Lance Middle school will get an expansion of new concrete sidewalk at the approach sidewalk to door number 18 on the Northwest corner of the building facing $80^{\text {th }}$ Street. This is the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade entrance to the building and the sidewalk serving the door is not wide enough to handle the 300 plus students. The area has become a maintenance issue for the school due to mud and worn grass outside the building.

The estimated cost for the overall asphalt/concrete project is $\$ 255,000$.

## Flooring Projects:

Last year we completed the refinishing of the wood floors at Roosevelt Elementary School, leaving only Washington Middle School yet to be refinished. This year we are proposing a scaled back refinishing program which will be limited to just the wood floors in the Library and Office at Reuther High School. Our major asbestos project will be to complete the remaining half of Vernon Elementary School which was started last summer.

As a completion to the Bradford athletics addition we are proposing to replace the worn VCT floor tile in the main entry hallway outside the Bradford field house and pool. In conjunction with this project we will update the look of the existing trophy display cases on the west wall of the hallway and replace the ceiling grid and tiles.

The estimated cost of the flooring projects is $\$ 145,000$.

## Building Exterior Wall Major Maintenance:

The major project planned for this summer will be the replacement of deteriorated steel lintels and masonry tuck-pointing at Reuther High School. The targeted area is located in the interior light court of the building. This past fall a thorough investigation was completed to determine the extent of the brick and steel problems and corrective details were developed to address the issues. A unique hardship that this project presents is that there is no easy access to the work area from the street. All materials including, scaffolding, wood plank, brick, and steel has be carried through the building and out the light court windows to the work area. A large portion of the cost in doing this project will be the labor to set and dismantle the scaffolding required to complete the work. With this in mind we are going to repair all the lintels in the court with this single project. The estimated cost of this project alone will be $\$ 425,000$.

A second project at Reuther will replace the leaking skylights above the auditorium. This project was awarded City of Kenosha, CDBG Grant money in the amount of $\$ 25,000$ to offset some of the cost of the project.

Two other projects that are planned for the summer are continued tuck-pointing at Bradford High School where the building is experiencing water leaks on the southwest façade facing Washington Road. The second project is to remove and replace all the window sealant at Lance Middle School. Conditions at the school have deteriorated to where most of the caulk has shrunk and cracked to the point were large gaps have appeared in the window / wall joints allowing water and air to enter the building.

The estimated cost for the overall Building Exterior Wall project is $\$ 565,000$.

## Roof Replacements and Major Repairs:

This is an annual project to replace the oldest and most troublesome roofs in the District. The roof sections in need of replacement or major repair are determined by the comprehensive roof assessment program that the District initiated seven years ago. The roof sections that will be replaced or repaired in 2007-08 are at Bradford High School and Prairie Lane Elementary School. The roof replacement work at Prairie Lane will complete the renovation work at that school. The work at Bradford will be a continuation of the gymnasium re-roof project which was started last year. The estimated cost is \$350,000 for engineering and survey fees, roofing replacement, and other repair work that will be determined after the spring surveys are completed.

## Capacity Projects:

Approximately $\$ 50,000$ for new furniture, primarily student desks and chairs, to handle the enrollment growth in the District. A budget of $\$ 100,000$ is being
reserved for capacity projects, which is in line with what has been spent in the past.

## HVAC Related Projects and Major Repairs:

This is an annual project involving the replacement HVAC equipment which is typically at the end of its life cycle. This year's project will replace inefficient steam boilers at McKinley Elementary School. We are also targeting the replacement of roof top AC units at various schools, yet to be determined

The total estimated cost for this project is $\$ 170,000$.

## Property Enhancements:

This project involves the removal of the five structures that are located on newly acquired Eismueller property located on the corner of $52^{\text {nd }}$ street and $68^{\text {th }}$ Ave. The Kenosha Fire Department has tentatively agreed to do a controlled burn of the house on the property, KUSD will be responsible for the removal of the garage and out buildings still standing on the property.

Proposed budget for this project is 14,000.00.

## Locker Painting:

This annual project has been deferred a few years but we would like to continue it this year with painting lockers at schools which still have the original gun metal grey or tan colors or ones that are experiencing rust spotting or general wear and tear.

We are proposing to spend $\$ 20,000$ on this project.

## Toilet Partitions Replacement:

This project will replace toilet partitions in the men's and women's toilet rooms around the building at Tremper High School with our District-standard solid-core plastic partitions.

The estimated cost for this project is $\$ 10,000$.

## Pool Maintenance:

This project will address repairs needed on the walls and bottoms of the pools at both Tremper and Reuther. This fall we had a local tile contractor make a preliminary evaluation of the pools and they determined that the grout was in need of maintenance. Most of the grout at Reuther is missing on the pool bottom and tiles are starting to pop off the surface. Tremper is experiencing similar problems as well as rust staining caused by water infiltration.

The estimated cost of grouting both pools is $\$ 30,000$.

## Security Projects:

This project would include the installation of card access systems and cameras in building around the District.

The estimated cost of this project is $\$ 50,000$.

## High School Athletic Field Irrigation Systems:

This will be the third year of this ongoing project and this year we intend on continuing work at Bradford High School to extend the sprinkler system to the new created football practice fields. The estimated cost of the work this year is $\$ 25,000$, which will fund the pipe and materials needed for our crews to install the system.

## Energy Saving Projects

## Lighting Projects:

The planned lighting replacement projects for this year would be the gymnasiums and Cafeterias at Whittier and Somers, the gymnasiums at Reuther High School and Vernon Elementary School. The estimated cost for this project is $\$ 100,000$ and would be funded from energy savings from previous year projects.

## Steam Trap / Valve Replacement Project:

The planned steam trap replacements would be at the schools with the highest priority projects in our steam trap replacement plan. The estimated cost for this project is $\$ 20,000$ and it will also be funded by energy savings.

| SCHOOL | PROJECT TITLE | PRIORITY | CATEGORY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECT } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | COST | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008-09 / Summer 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Wide | Asphalt/Concrete Replacement/Repair | 2 A | Asphalt/Concrete | 1197 | \$255,000 | \$255,000 |
| District Wide | Flooring Projects | 2 A | Flooring/Asbestos | 1198 | \$145,000 | \$400,000 |
| District Wide | Building Envelope Maintenance Projects Wall Projects Roofing Projects | 2A | Roofs/Walls | $\begin{aligned} & 1199 \\ & 1200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 915,000 \\ & \$ 565,000 \\ & \$ 350,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,315,000 |
| District Wide | Capacity Projects | 6 A | Capacity | 1201 | \$100,000 | \$1,415,000 |
| District Wide | HVAC Related Projects and Major Repairs | 2 A | HVAC | 1202 | \$170,000 | \$1,585,000 |
| Indian Trail | Property Enhancements |  |  | 1203 | \$14,000 | \$1,599,000 |
| District Wide | Locker Painting | 5C | Other | 1204 | \$20,000 | \$1,619,000 |
| Tremper | Toilet Partition Replacement | 2 A | Int Walls/Doors | 1205 | \$10,000 | \$1,629,000 |
| District Wide | Pool Maintenance | 2A | Other | 1206 | \$30,000 | \$1,659,000 |
| District Wide | Security | 7 A | Security | 1207 | \$50,000 | \$1,709,000 |
| Bradford | Athletic Field Irrigation Systems | 2 A | Other | 1210 | \$25,000 | \$1,734,000 |
| District Wide | Contingency |  |  | 1211 | \$16,000 | \$1,750,000 |

2009-10 / Summer 09

| District Wide | Capacity Projects | 6A | Capacity | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Wide | Boiler Replacements and Major Repairs - Bradford | 2A | Heating | \$225,000 | \$325,000 |
| District Wide | Roof Replacements and Major Wall Repairs Roofing Projects <br> Wall Projects | 2A | Roofs / Walls | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 700,000 \\ & \$ 600,000 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,025,000 |
| District Wide | Asphalt/Concrete Replacement/Repair | 2A | Asphalt/Concrete | \$100,000 | \$1,125,000 |
| District Wide | Replace Exterior Doors | 2A | Ext Walls/Doors | \$50,000 | \$1,175,000 |
| District Wide | Carpet Replacement with Tile/Asbestos Abatement / Wood F | 2A | Flooring/Asbestos | \$125,000 | \$1,300,000 |
| Bradford/Tremper | Resurface Tennis Courts (Every 7 Years - 2002) | 2A | Asphalt/Concrete | \$45,000 | \$1,345,000 |
| Tremper/Bullen | Resurface Tracks (Every 7 Years - 2002) | 2A | Asphalt/Concrete | \$40,000 | \$1,385,000 |
| Middle Schools | Washington / McKinley / Lance | 3A | Air Cond./HVAC | \$75,000 | \$1,460,000 |
| Tremper | Athletic Field Irrigation Systems | 2A | Other | \$25,000 | \$1,485,000 |
| District Wide | Locker Painting | 5C | Other | \$20,000 | \$1,505,000 |
| District Wide | Security | 7A | Security | \$50,000 | \$1,555,000 |
| District Wide | Contingency |  |  | \$195,000 | \$1,750,000 |


| SCHOOL | PROJECT TITLE | PRIORITY | CATEGORY | PROJECT | COST | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010-11 / Summer 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Wide | Capacity Projects | 6 A | Capacity |  | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| District Wide | Boiler Replacements and Major Repairs | 2 A | Heating |  | \$225,000 | \$325,000 |
| District Wide | Roof Replacements and Major Wall Repairs Roofing Projects <br> Wall Projects | 2 A | Roofs /Walls |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 645,000 \\ & \$ 545,000 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$970,000 |
| District Wide | Asphalt/Concrete Replacement/Repair | 2 A | Asphalt/Concrete |  | \$100,000 | \$1,070,000 |
| District Wide | Replace Exterior Doors | 2 A | Ext Walls/Doors |  | \$50,000 | \$1,120,000 |
| District Wide | Carpet Replacement with Tile/Asbestos Abatement | 2 A | Flooring/Asbestos |  | \$125,000 | \$1,245,000 |
| District Wide | Security | 7 A | Security |  | \$50,000 | \$1,295,000 |
| Tremper/Bradford | Athletic Field Irrigation Systems | 2 A | Other |  | \$50,000 | \$1,345,000 |
| District Wide | Locker Painting | 5C | Other |  | \$20,000 | \$1,365,000 |
| District Wide | Contingency |  |  |  | \$455,000 | \$1,750,000 |
| 2011-12 / Summer 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Wide | Capacity Projects | 6 A | Capacity |  | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| District Wide | Boiler Replacements and Major Repairs | 2 A | Heating |  | \$225,000 | \$325,000 |
| District Wide | Roof Replacements and Major Wall Repairs Roofing Projects Wall Projects | 2 A | Roofs / Walls |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 645,000 \\ & \$ 545,000 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$970,000 |
| District Wide | Asphalt/Concrete Replacement/Repair | 2 A | Asphalt/Concrete |  | \$100,000 | \$1,070,000 |
| District Wide | Replace Exterior Doors | 2 A | Ext Walls/Doors |  | \$50,000 | \$1,120,000 |
| District Wide | Carpet Replacement with Tile/Asbestos Abatement | 2 A | Flooring/Asbestos |  | \$125,000 | \$1,245,000 |
| District Wide | Window Replacement |  | other |  | \$250,000 | \$1,495,000 |
| District Wide | Security | 7 A | Security |  | \$50,000 | \$1,545,000 |
| District Wide | Locker Painting | 5C | Other |  | \$20,000 | \$1,565,000 |
| District Wide | Contingency |  |  |  | \$185,000 | \$1,750,000 |


| SCHOOL | PROJECT TITLE | PRIORITY | CATEGORY | PROJECT | COST | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-32 I Summer 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Wide | Capacity Projects | 6 A | Capacity |  | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| District Wide | Boiler Replacements and Major Repairs | 2 A | Heating |  | \$225,000 | \$325,000 |
| District Wide | Roof Replacements and Major Wall Repairs Roofing Projects Wall Projects | 2A | Roofs / Walls |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 645,000 \\ & \$ 545,000 \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$970,000 |
| District Wide | Asphalt/Concrete Replacement/Repair | 2 A | Asphalt/Concrete |  | \$100,000 | \$1,070,000 |
| District Wide | Replace Exterior Doors | 2 A | Ext Walls/Doors |  | \$50,000 | \$1,120,000 |
| District Wide | Carpet Replacement with Tile/Asbestos Abatement | 2 A | Flooring/Asbestos |  | \$125,000 | \$1,245,000 |
| District Wide | Window Replacement |  | other |  | \$250,000 | \$1,495,000 |
| District Wide | Security | 7A | Security |  | \$50,000 | \$1,545,000 |
| District Wide | Locker Painting | 5 C | Other |  | \$20,000 | \$1,565,000 |
| District Wide | Contingency |  |  |  | \$185,000 | \$1,750,000 |
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# WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION REQUEST TO SUBMIT 21 ${ }^{\text {ST }}$ CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS PROGRAM GRANT APPLICATION 

School Board approval is requested to submit the following grants:

- A three-year competitive grant renewal for continuation of existing $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Centers at the Edward Bain School of Language and Art.
- Five-year competitive grants for the following schools which have had previous CLC grant funding and continue to provide CLC programs through user fees as well as a portion of current district grant funds as approved by DPI: Jefferson Elementary, McKinley Elementary, Lincoln Middle, McKinley Middle and Washington Middle School.
- Five-year competitive grants to open additional CLC programs at Grant Elementary, Bullen Middle School, and perhaps, Curtis Strange.

Each of these programs will provide after-school safe havens for children and youth, tutoring, and enrichment. The grant strengthens a community mission to create "Healthy Youth and Healthy Communities" asset building activities for children based on this body of research.

The continuing primary partnerships that support these proposals are the Boys and Girls Club of Kenosha, Kenosha Department of Human Services, UW-Extension and UW-Parkside. Boys and Girls Club provides grant-funded staffing and in-kind administrative and financial support. Kenosha Department of Human Services provides in-kind support for the Childcare Subsidy funding development. UW-Extension provides grant-funded staff development. Additionally, UW-Parkside will provide staff development on an as-needed basis and if the budget permits. Each of the primary partners participates in a CLC Advisory Council. Other community-based organizations offer services to participants in CLCs based on either grant-funding or in-kind contributions.

## Grant Title

Elementary and Secondary Act-Title IV $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ Century Schools

## Grant Funding Source

Funds are dispersed by the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI)

## Grant Time Period

July 1, 2008-June 30, 2009 with an opportunity to maintain each funded proposal for three to five additional years.

## Type of Project

$21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Centers is a federally funded program. Federal Law dictates that funds for the CLCs are distributed to the state level and then disbursed to communities based on a competitive process. Grants awarded under this competition will range from $\$ 50,000$ up to $\$ 100,000$ per CLC. Priority is given to schools with poverty levels higher than $40 \%$ and schools in need of improvement based on the results of the Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination. Funds are to be allocated to the school district for fiscal and program management.

## Purpose

This grant focuses on the sustainability of CLCs that provide students with academic activities designed to complement their regular academic program and enrichment opportunities. Proposals, jointly submitted by schools and community-based organizations, or other private or public entities, are given priority. Funding can be used to implement centers supporting student learning and development, including tutoring, homework help, academic enrichment, community service opportunities, music and arts, sports, clubs and cultural activities. Centers must have an academic component to assist students in improved achievement. Community Learning Centers also may offer literacy and related educational development to families/parents of students. Private school children will have access to Community Learning Centers under these proposals.

## Program Description

In order to meet the needs and interests of the students, each school's program has unique activities developed to extend the school day curriculum. Per grant criteria, programs must include academic components, be open a minimum of ten hours each week, and show some collaboration with community-based organizations. Individual schools may choose to operate some of the CLC hours before school.

Elementary afterschool programs begin at dismissal with a snack followed by an academic component, which provides an opportunity for students to complete homework and/or work on a targeted reading or math skill. Independent reading is encouraged for a minimum of fifteen minutes a day or following homework. Some sites use reading software incentive programs. In elementary schools where Everyday Math is used, grade level Everyday Math games are incorporated. Students not in tutoring and/or those who have completed homework may attend math, reading or social studies enrichment centers. At each site teachers from the school staff extend their instructional day to provide instruction and also to guide agency staff for the academic period of CLC. During the academic/homework help period, agency staff work with teachers or provide supervision and tutoring assistance to students. For this purpose, agency staff is currently hired through the Boys and Girls Club of Kenosha.

The level of teacher involvement at each site is dependent on the ability of teaching staff to work additional time. When teachers are less available, Boys and Girls Club staff have a more significant role in planning homework help by collaborating with an Academic Coordinator (a teacher in the school) to develop academic activities. In addition, college students, educational assistants, high school tutors, or other non-certified staff may be hired to support academic help. Carthage College Pals and Partners have provided in-kind mentoring services at various sites.

Daily healthy snacks are provided through the KUSD Food Services. The cost of each snack is supplemented by the Department of Agriculture based on each student's lunch status. Snacks will also be funded through the grant and program fees.

The second hour of CLC is dedicated to enrichment activities and youth development. These activities are developed based on site plans and student interests. Activities include: National Boys and Girls Club programs including risk-behavior prevention, creative arts, educational enrichment, community service and sports and recreation. Other community organizations also provide youth development activities. For example, UW-Extension provides prevention, health and nutrition programs and Girl Scouts has helped to establish troops. CLCs have also purchased special programs such as chess instruction, soccer instruction, recreational swimming at Reuther, educational or recreational field trips and various speakers. Teachers also may provide enrichment and creative arts programs. Examples of these include cooking, foreign language, drama, creative arts, health and recreation. A community organization collaboration plan is developed for specific programs at sites dependent primarily on teacher staffing.

Most elementary programs are planned to operate Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday for three hours following dismissal.

Current middle school CLC programs provide limited tutoring/homework help using Title One or KUSD afterschool funds. A variety of enrichment programs offered at all district middle schools through KUSD activity funds are also provided at CLC middle schools (e.g. Jazz Ensemble, Yearbook, Intramurals, CALC, Weightlifting, Chess, Bilingual Support, Student Council). CLC grants will provide each of these schools funding to increase the availability of before and after school tutoring and homework help for students in danger of failure. Also, atrisk students will have increased opportunities to participate in enrichment programs designed to strengthen resiliency to at-risk behaviors through various community agency and/or university partnerships.

Middle Schools will be open three to four days a week following dismissal for approximately two and one-quarter hours and for one hour each morning.

## Relationship to District Strategic Plan and Goals

The grant will support the KUSD mission to successfully educate all students to reach their fullest intellectual, academic, social/emotional and physical potential. In addition, funds assist parents by providing a safe environment for students after school, as well as helping students to develop positive social assets and skills that relate to increased school engagement and success. The CLC Program goals directly correlate to the District’s Strategic Plan, specifically Strategy VII, to improve academic performance and school attendance for disengaged students (including a proportionately high percentage of at-risk students).

## Evaluation Plan

Evaluation activity for the program will be designed around a DPI format that also requires response to the goals and objectives provided in the district grant proposal. Evaluation will be governed under Department of Public Instruction requirements and will include participation and
demographics, qualitative information about programming, student grades and test scores when comparable tests are available. The following overall goals apply to all schools:
CLC Goals

1. At-risk children will become high achievers and increase their academic achievement in reading and math.
2. Children will increase healthy and safe behavior.
3. Children will increase youth resiliency and development through asset-building activities.
4. CLCs will collaborate with school and community partners to support student, parent and staff development programs.

## Number of Students Serviced

An estimated 1,802 children and youth will be served annually in the centers with an average daily attendance of 402. Students will be referred for enrollment by parents and teachers after information is provided to them through the school.

## CLC Budget

Funds may not be used to supplant other federal, state or locally funded programs. Kenosha Unified is the intended recipient and fiscal agent for the grant. Grant awards will range from \$50,000-\$100,000 per qualifying site and are renewable for five years.

## District Resources Committed as a Result of Acceptance of These Funds

Coordinator of Athletics, Health, Physical Education, Recreation and CLC will oversee grant implementation through existing staff resources. Indirect cost will continue to support portions of secretarial and administrative salaries. The resources received through this grant will be used to provide planned activities. Additional funds needed for the project will be collected through user fees and state childcare subsidy funding. As approved by the School Board, user fees will continue to be collected. No change in the rate of user fees is currently planned.

## Relationship to District Budget

Schools may plan to combine grant- funded activities with other grant funds in order to reach common goals of improved academic achievement. A continuing discussion is underway to determine opportunities for collaboration with other Elementary and Secondary School Act funded programs such as Title I. These grants also have similar goals to improve student achievement by providing funds for after-school tutoring, drug and violence prevention programs, and parent education programs.

As part of their administrative role, principals will provide administrative support in relationship to the budget, partnership activities and instructional leadership. Teachers will be asked annually to complete surveys on perceptions of student performance, and complete needed grade reports. School facilities, such as classrooms, gymnasiums, libraries, computer labs and kitchens, will be used daily after school (and before school in some cases) depending on planned program activities.

## Administrative Recommendation

At its January 8, 2008 meeting, the Curriculum/Program Committee voted to forward the grant to the full Board for approval. Administration recommends that the School Board approve submission of the application for the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Community Learning Center Program three and five year grants and implementation if awarded.

Dr. Joseph T. Mangi<br>Interim Superintendent of Schools

Scott Lindgren
Coordinator of Athletics, Health, Physical Education, Recreation and CLC

Sharon Miller
Principal, McKinley Middle School
Scott Kennow
Principal, EBSOLA
Lisa KC
Principal, Grant Elementary School
Pamela Whyte
Principal, Jefferson Elementary School

Edie Holcomb
Executive Director of Curriculum and Instruction Services

Teresa Giampietro
Principal, McKinley Elementary
Kim Fischer
Principal, Bullen Middle School
Margaret Modory
Principal, Lincoln Middle School
Elizabeth Sabo
Principal, Washington Middle School

Lautauscha Shell
CLC Project Director
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# KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 Kenosha, Wisconsin 

January 22, 2008

## SCIENCE CURRICULUM ADOPTION PROPOSAL

## Background and Previous Board Action

The Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Board of Education approved the previous kindergarten through twelfth grade science curriculum material adoption plan in May 1999. Kitbased hands-on curriculum materials were purchased for kindergarten through fifth grade and implemented during the 1999-2000 and 2000-01 school years. Curriculum materials (Glencoe Science Interactions) for grades 6 through 8 were purchased and implemented during the 19992000 school year. Due to an immediate need for curriculum materials in newly created high school science classes, some materials were purchased and implemented in fall 1999. The school board approved all other curriculum materials for grades 9 through 12 in May 2000. Those curriculum materials were implemented in fall 2000.

Work on the current adoption proposal and realigned science curriculum began in fall 2005 and was guided by the District Strategic Plan and Board Policy No. 6320 Instructional Program Adoption, Implementation, and Review.

## K-12 Science Curriculum Review

The following steps were taken during the curriculum review process:

- Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks for early childhood through grade 12 were revised to ensure that the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Standards and Benchmarks aligned with Wisconsin’s K-12 Model Academic Standards for Science and the Assessment Framework for Science.
- The Most Essential Benchmarks were identified for each grade level.
- Revised and realigned Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks for early childhood through grade 12 were approved at the June 26, 2007, meeting of the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Board of Education.
- The kindergarten through twelfth grade science curriculum scope and sequence were reviewed and revised.
- Curriculum materials were reviewed for the best alignment with the newly adopted Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks and recommendations were identified.


## Elementary Curriculum Review Findings and Recommendations

Teams of elementary teachers with the help of Science Resource Educational Assistants Barb Flocker and Deena Johnson, led by Teacher Consultant K-12 Science Christine Pratt, conducted studies to judge the frequency of use and effectiveness of the current science curriculum in elementary classrooms. A review of the fourth and eighth grade Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) data was conducted.

The current elementary science curriculum consists of four kit-based, hands-on units and one optional district-created research-based unit at each grade level. The majority of the kits are from the Full Option Science System (FOSS) or from the Science and Technology for Children (STC) programs. These are nationally recognized, National Science Foundation-funded research-based programs. FOSS is now the most widely used hands-on elementary science curriculum in the United States, and research indicates a positive correlation between the use of FOSS and student performance on standardized tests, including an increase in scores in subject areas other than science.

Though there is an outstanding elementary curriculum in place, the general trend in Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 schools seems to be that elementary science instructional time suffers, with increased emphasis placed on math and reading instruction. While this emphasis is understandable due to concerns surrounding Adequate Yearly Progress goals tied to the No Child Left Behind legislation, the loss of science instructional time is likely related to the gap between the state percent proficient and advanced and the district percent proficient and advanced in fourth grade science which has widened over the past seven years. The inconsistency of science instructional time from school to school makes it difficult to adequately judge the effectiveness of the current elementary science curriculum. Also, children that started using the FOSS materials in kindergarten during the first year of full implementation (2000-01 school year) are now in sixth grade; and data is just beginning to be gathered on how the FOSS curriculum may affect student science performance in middle school and beyond.

Research continues to support the use of hands-on inquiry-based science curriculum materials. However, the teachers on the elementary science teams have consistently identified one weakness in the current kit-based science program-that is the need for ways to improve science vocabulary and reading comprehension of nonfiction, science-related text. Currently, each Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 elementary science kit has a matching literature set containing the reading materials from the publisher of the kit and supplemental fiction and nonfiction materials related to the theme of the unit. The elementary curriculum teams reviewed several other elementary science programs in the context of matching the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Standards and Benchmarks and addressing this weakness. Although many of the materials reviewed would address the need for more nonfiction reading, none of the materials reviewed matched the level of scientific process inquiry provided by FOSS and STC.

A pilot of suggested materials was conducted, and several titles were chosen for each literature set.

The elementary curriculum teams also conducted a gap analysis based on the current curriculum and the newly revised Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Standards and Benchmarks. The current kit-based elementary science curriculum matches the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Standards and Benchmarks well, with some adjustments. Our recommendation is to continue to use the current kit-based elementary science curriculum with the following modifications:

- Identify, through curriculum documents, the essential lessons (based on Most Essential Benchmarks) so teachers can streamline their science instruction to better fit the time available for elementary science instruction.
- Replace the Comparing and Measuring kit at second grade with the current first grade Air and Weather kit. The Comparing and Measuring kit does not match Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks and repeats portions of the math curriculum.
- The above replacement will reduce the first grade curriculum from four to three kits which will then require the purchase of additional New Plants kits to allow all first grade teachers to use the plants kit for one semester rather and one quarter.
- Purchase additional kindergarten Wood and Paper kits and change the status of this kit from optional to required in the kindergarten curriculum.
- Purchase new teacher guide binders for each kit in grades 3-5.
- Add leveled science readers to the literature sets currently in place for each elementary science kit to address the need for more textbook-like science reading material.

Appendix A outlines the new purchases for the elementary science adoption based on the above recommendations. It is essential that the district continue ongoing funding, and provide increases as enrollment grows to support full implementation of the curriculum.

## Middle School Curriculum Review Findings and Recommendations

Teams of middle school teachers led by Teacher Consultant K-12 Science Christine Pratt, reviewed the use of current middle school science curriculum materials, evaluated currently available curriculum materials from all major publishers, and looked for alignment to the revised Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks. Throughout the process, the teams shared findings and gathered feedback from all middle school science teachers at district middle school monthly science meetings. WKCE data was reviewed, and a Percent Proficient and Advanced district-to-state comparison was made for school years 1998-99 through 2006-07. The middle school curriculum teams determined that the Holt Science and Technology
short course series for middle school provided the best match to Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Standards and Benchmarks. This series consists of 16 modular texts that cover the major topics in earth, life, and physical science. It is rich in hands-on inquiry-based learning and ancillary materials that meet the needs of all students. There is a very comprehensive on-line web-based component, including an on-line textbook and resources that are available to teachers, parents, and students. Pilot teachers were chosen at each school, and portions of the curriculum materials were implemented on a trial basis for the fall 2007 semester. The pilot was very successful. The recommendation for middle school science is to purchase the Holt Science and Technology curriculum for grades 6 through 8.

Appendix B outlines the new purchases for the middle school science adoption based on the above recommendations. It is essential that the district continue ongoing funding, and provide increases as enrollment grows to support full implementation of the curriculum.

## High School Curriculum Review Findings and Recommendations

Teams of high school teachers and department chairs led by Teacher Consultant K-12 Science Christine Pratt, reviewed the use of current high school science curriculum materials and conducted an extensive review of currently available curriculum materials from all major publishers for the high school science courses currently offered. The teams paid careful attention to alignment with the revised Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks. Throughout the process findings were shared and feedback gathered from all high school science teachers at district high school science meetings. WKCE data was reviewed and a Percent Proficient and Advanced district-to-state comparison was made for school years 1998-99 through 2006-07.

During this process teachers also engaged in discussions and decision making regarding course offerings at each high school and the scope and sequence of each course. The most immediate need in regard to the high school curriculum was to agree on a consistent ninth grade course to articulate more logically from the eighth to ninth grade curriculum. Currently, ninth grade students at Bradford High School take a general science-type integrated science course that uses the fourth course of the middle school Science Interactions curriculum materials OR Honors Biology. Ninth grade students at Tremper High School take a physical science-type integrated science course that uses Holt's Science Spectrum OR Honors Biology. Ninth grade students at LakeView Technology Academy take Integrated Science OR Honors Biotechnology, and ninth grade students at Indian Trail Academy take Biotechnology. This makes it difficult to design a middle school curriculum with a common end in mind. Since the current eighth grade curriculum is not differentiated according to where students will attend high school, entering ninth grade students may or may not be prepared for the ninth grade course for which they are required to register.

During the last adoption of high school science curriculum materials, individual high schools were given the opportunity to choose materials without the expectation that all schools would use materials from the same publisher. In several instances each high school chose different curriculum materials for courses of the same name. During the most recent curriculum review
process, every effort was made to bring uniformity to the high school science curriculum by beginning work on a common course syllabus for each science course, including a course outline, which clearly delineates the topics and units to be covered. The course outlines are based on the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Science Standards and Benchmarks.

Although it was clear after reviewing curriculum materials for the biology course that Holt biology materials were the best match to the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Standards and Benchmarks for biology, the team chose to pilot the materials in order to better understand how to adapt the course to meet the needs of all ninth grade students. Curriculum materials chosen for Chemistry were also piloted at two high schools. It was determined that a pilot was not necessary for other high school courses due to the excellent match of one particular set of curriculum materials.

The Recommendations for the high school science adoption are as follows:

- All ninth grade students will take Biology or Biology Honors rather than Integrated Science as their first high school science course, except at LakeView Technology Academy. The Biotechnology course at Indian Trail Academy will be renamed Biology and will follow the course syllabus developed for Biology. LakeView Technology Academy will continue to offer Biotechnology but will make this the ninth grade science requirement, and the course curriculum will closely mirror the curriculum developed for the district biology course.
- Change the wording of Board Policy Rule No. 6456 (Graduation Requirements), which lists Integrated Science as one of the requirements for graduation. Rule 6456 would then read "Four credits: two credits of required courses including one credit of Biology or Biotechnology in grade 9 and one credit in a course that incorporates physical science (e.g., Chemistry, Physics, or Matter and Energy), plus two credits of elective courses."
- Purchase the curriculum materials chosen for each high school science course.

Appendix C outlines the new purchases for the high school science adoption based on the above recommendations. It is essential that the district continue ongoing funding, and provide increases as enrollment grows to support full implementation of the curriculum.

## Science Curriculum Purchase and Implementation Plan

The total K-12 science textbook adoption proposal is $\$ 2,182,105$. It should be noted that this figure is based on estimations of current textbook and teacher resource prices. Final figures have not been negotiated, but it is likely that costs will exceed the currently available funds which include the regular textbook budget of $\$ 859,114$ plus approximately $\$ 250,000$ in funds carried over from savings negotiated in the purchase of elementary math materials. Priorities for purchase in this budget year are materials for the middle and high school at an approximate cost of $\$ 1,915,070$. The remainder of the purchases will have to be delayed until the 2008-09 budget cycle. This will delay the ongoing Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 curriculum adoption process for one year.

It is critical in any curriculum adoption process that teachers be given the time and training to become familiar with the new curriculum materials. This will be accomplished by enlisting the help of the publisher representatives that offer free training and lead teachers who are willing to offer sessions and teach courses to help district teachers become familiar with the new curriculum. Christine Pratt will be the lead person in arranging teacher training. Opportunities will be developed, such as:

- Spring faculty meetings at elementary schools
- Elementary Resource Teachers Meetings on March 7, 2008; April 11, 2008; and April 25, 2008
- May 16, 2008, district Professional In-Service Day
- August 2008, district Professional In-service Day
- Middle School Science Curriculum Meetings on February 20, 2008; March 12, 2008; and April 9, 2008
- High School Science Curriculum Meetings on February 27, 2008, and April 23, 2008
- Train lead teachers in buildings and meet with course-specific teams of high school teachers in spring 2008
- Chiwaukee Academy (August 2008)


## Recommendation

The Science Curriculum Adoption Proposal was approved by the Curriculum/Program Committee at its January 8, 2008, meeting to be forwarded to the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Board of Education for approval. Additional information regarding projected numbers for high school courses will be provided to the full Board and Curriculum Committee by memo prior to the January 22, 2008, meeting.

Dr. Joseph T. Mangi
Interim Superintendent of Schools
Dr. Edie Holcomb
Executive Director of Curriculum and Instructional Services
Mrs. Christine Pratt
Teacher Consultant: K-12 Science

## KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SCIENCE ADOPTION NEEDS

| Grade (26 Kits per Grade) | Kit | Additional Kits Needed | Teacher Guides Needed | Approximate <br> Cost of Literature Sets | Total Cost Per Kit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten | Animals 2x2 |  |  | \$1,820.00 | \$1,820.00 |
|  | Fabric |  |  | \$780.00 | \$780.00 |
|  | Wood and Paper | $4 \mathrm{x} \$ 800.00=\$ 3,200.00$ |  | \$780.00 | \$780.00 |
|  | Trees |  |  | \$1,040.00 | \$1,040.00 |
| Grade 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Balance and Motion |  |  | \$5,653.70 | \$5,653.70 |
|  | Magnets |  |  | \$2,776.80 | \$2,776.80 |
|  | New Plants | $20 \times \$ 700.00=\$ 14,000.00$ |  | \$4,535.70 | \$18,535.70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 2 | Air and Weather |  |  | \$18,472.48 | \$18,472.48 |
|  | Changes |  |  | \$15,308.28 | \$15,308.28 |
|  | Insects |  |  | \$10,082.80 | \$10,082.80 |
|  | Pebbles, Sand, and Silt |  |  | \$8,403.98 | \$8,403.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 3 | Levers and Gears |  | 29x\$180.00=\$5,220.00 | \$10,014.94 | \$15,234.94 |
|  | Measurement |  | $29 \times \$ 180.00=\$ 5,220.00$ | \$3,365.70 | \$8,585.70 |
|  | Physics of Sound |  | $29 \mathrm{x} \$ 180.00=\$ 5,220.00$ | \$8,922.68 | \$14,142.68 |
|  | Structures of Life |  | $29 \times \$ 180.00=\$ 5,220.00$ | \$6,240.00 | \$11,460.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 | Earth Materials |  | 29x\$180.00=\$5,220.00 |  | \$5,220.00 |
|  | Magnetism and Electricity |  | $29 \times \$ 180.00=\$ 5,220.00$ | \$11,008.40 | \$16,228.40 |


| Grade (26 Kits per Grade) | Kit | Additional Kits Needed | Teacher Guides Needed | Approximate Cost of Literature Sets | Total Cost Per Kit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motion and Design |  | 29x\$180.00=\$5,220.00 | \$8,849.10 | \$14,069.10 |
|  | Water |  | $29 \times \$ 180.00=\$ 5,220.00$ | \$7,701.98 | \$12,921.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 5 | Environments |  | 29x\$180.00=\$5,220.00 | \$7,573.80 | \$12,793.80 |
|  | Landforms |  | 29x\$180.00=\$5,220.00 | \$13,392.60 | \$18,612.60 |
|  | Mixtures and Solutions |  | $29 \times \$ 180.00=\$ 5,220.00$ | \$10,693.80 | \$15,913.80 |
|  | Variables |  | 29x\$180.00=\$5,220.00 | \$32,978.40 | \$38,198.40 |
| Total Anticipated Elementary School Expenditure |  |  |  |  | \$267,035.14 |

KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 MIDDLE SCHOOL SCIENCE ADOPTION NEEDS

| Student Texts * |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Holt Science and Technology Short Courses | Total Books* |
| Grade 6 | F: Inside the Restless Earth | 2,120 |
|  | H: Water on Earth | 2,120 |
|  | A: Micro Organisms, Fungi, and Plants | 2,120 |
|  | B: Animals | 2,120 |
|  | P: Introduction to Science | 815 |
| Grade 7 | E: Environmental Science | 2,120 |
|  | K: Introduction to Matter | 2,120 |
|  | M: Forces, Motion, and Energy | 2,120 |
|  | I: Weather and Climate | 2,120 |
|  | P: Introduction to Science | 815 |
| Grade 8 | C: Cells, Heredity and Classification | 2,120 |
|  | N : Electricity and Magnetism | 2,120 |
|  | O: Sound and Light | 2,120 |
|  | J: Astronomy and Ancillaries | 2,120 |
|  | L: Interactions of Matter | 2,120 |
|  | P: Introduction to Science | 815 |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED MIDDLE SCHOOL TEXT BOOK <br> EXPENDITURE = \$538,589.75* <br> *Based on estimated needs and currently available pricing of $\$ 17.95$ per book. |  |  |

Teacher Resource Packages *

| Resources for Holt Science and <br> Technology Short Courses | Total Teacher <br> Packages* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grade 6 | 46 |
| Grade 7 | 46 |
| Grade 8 | 47 |

TOTAL ANTICIPATED MIDDLE SCHOOL TEACHER RESOURCE PACKAGE EXPENDITURE = \$265,490.00*
*Based on estimated needs and currently available pricing of \$1,910.00 per resource package.

## KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE ADOPTION NEEDS STUDENT TEXTS

| Course | Curriculum Materials to be Purchased | Student <br> Edition <br> Cost\# | Total <br> Books <br> $*$ | Total <br> Cost\# |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Techniques and Equipment | Teacher Developed Materials | $\$ 25.00$ | 125 | $\$ 3,125.00$ |
| Biology and Biotechnology | Holt Biology by DeSalle and Heithaus; 2008; Holt, <br> Rinehart, and Winston | $\$ 75.00$ | 1450 | $\$ 108,750.00$ |
| Biology Honors, Accelerated Biology, <br> Biotechnology Honors, and Human <br> Biology | Modern Biology by Postlethwait and Hopson; 2009; <br> Holt, Rinehart, and Winston | $\$ 72.00$ | 830 | $\$ 59,760.00$ |
| Animal Behavior | Principles of Animal Behavior by Dugatkin, Lee <br> Alan. W.W. Norton | $\$ 75.00$ | 125 | $\$ 9,375.00$ |
| Forensic Science, ITA Forensic <br> Science | Forensic Science: An Introduction by Saferstein, <br> Pearson-Prentice Hall. | $\$ 120.00$ | 240 | $\$ 28,800.00$ |
| Genetics | Human Heredity, Principals and Issues, 7 <br> Michael R. Cummings. Thomson, Brooks and Cole. | $\$ 125.00$ | 125 | $\$ 15,625.00$ |
| Human Anatomy and Physiology | Holes Essentials of Human Anatomy \& Physiology. <br> By Shrer, Butler, Lewis. | $\$ 120.00$ | 220 | $\$ 26,400.00$ |
| Human Anatomy and Physiology <br> Honors | Human Anatomy \& Physiology, Seventh Edition by <br> Marieb and Hoehn. Pearson | $\$ 172.00$ | 200 | $\$ 34,400.00$ |
| Advanced Biotechnology | Biotechnology for the New Millennium with <br> Accompanying Lab Manual by Daugherty. Paradigm | $\$ 133.00$ | 100 | $\$ 13,300.00$ |
| AP Biology | Biology with accompanying lab manuals and <br> student workbooks by Campbell and Reece. Pearson | $\$ 154.00$ | 60 | $\$ 9,240.00$ |
| Chemistry | Chemistry, Matter and Change by Buthelezi, <br> Thandi, et. al. Glencoe McGraw - Hill | $\$ 72.00$ | 975 | $\$ 70,200.00$ |
| Chemistry Honors and Chemistry for <br> Biotech House | Modern Chemistry; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston. | $\$ 72.00$ | 555 | $\$ 39,960.00$ |


| Course | Curriculum Materials to be Purchased | Student <br> Edition <br> Cost\# | Total <br> Books <br> $*$ | Total <br> Cost\# |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matter and Energy | Foundations of Physical Science by Hsu. CPO <br> Science | $\$ 78.00$ | 500 | $\$ 39,000.00$ |
| Matter and Energy | Equipment Packages for Foundations of Physical <br> Science. | $\$ 2,045.00$ | 38 | $\$ 77,710.00$ |
| Organic Chemistry Honors | Bettelheim, Brown, Campbell, Furrell; Introduction <br> to General, Organic, and Biochemistry, Eighth <br> Edition. | $\$ 152.00$ | 30 | $\$ 4,560.00$ |
| AP Chemistry | Zumdahl; Chemistry, Seventh Edition plus <br> supplementals and fast track supplementary and <br> solution guide; Houghton Mifflin | $\$ 178.00$ | 75 | $\$ 13,350.00$ |
| Conceptual Physics | Conceptual Physics by Hewitt. Prentice Hall | $\$ 99.00$ | 725 | $\$ 71,775.00$ |
| Physics Honors and Physics for <br> Biotech House at Indian Trail Academy | Holt Physics by Serway and Faughn. Holt, <br> Rinehart, and Winston | $\$ 72.00$ | 405 | $\$ 29,160.00$ |
| AP Physics B | Physics by Giancoli. Pearson-Prentice Hall | $\$ 92.00$ | 40 | $\$ 3,680.00$ |
| Geology | Earth Science by Spaulding and Namowitz, <br> McDougal Littell. | $\$ 70.00$ | 360 | $\$ 25,200.00$ |
| Geology Honors and Geo-Science and <br> Astronomy | Physical Geology by Plummer, Carlson, McGeary. <br> McGraw-Hill | $\$ 160.00$ | 375 | $\$ 60,000.00$ |
| Geoscience and Astronomy | Astronomy Today by Chaisson and McMillan. <br> Prentice Hall | $\$ 120.00$ | 275 | $\$ 33,000.00$ |
|  | Busch Education, Physical Geology Lab Manual, <br> Prentice Hall | $\$ 60.00$ | 40 | $\$ 2,400.00$ |
| Astronomy | Explorations: An Introduction to Astronomy by <br> Arny. McGraw-Hill | $\$ 120.00$ | 270 | $\$ 32,400.00$ |
| Ecology | Environmental Science; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston | $\$ 73.00$ | 700 | $\$ 51,100.00$ |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED HIGH SCHOOL TEXT BOOK EXPENDITURE = \$862,270.00 |  |  |  |  |
| *Based on estimated needs \#Based on most currently available estimated costs |  |  |  |  |

## KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE ADOPTION NEEDS TEACHER RESOURCE PACKAGES

| Course | Curriculum Materials to be Purchased | Teacher <br> Package <br> Cost * | Total Teacher Packages | Total Cost\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Techniques and Equipment | Teacher Developed Materials | \$500.00 | 5 | \$2,500.00 |
| Biology and Biotechnology | Holt Biology by DeSalle and Heithaus; 2008; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston | \$1,900.00 | 22 | \$41,800.00 |
| Biology Honors, Accelerated Biology, Biotechnology Honors, and Human Biology | Modern Biology by Postlethwait and Hopson; 2009; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston | \$1,600.00 | 13 | \$20,800.00 |
| Animal Behavior | Principles of Animal Behavior by Dugatkin, Lee Alan. W.W. Norton | \$500.00 | 6 | \$3,000.00 |
| Forensic Science, ITA Forensic Science | Forensic Science: An Introduction by Saferstein, Pearson-Prentice Hall. | \$500.00 | 13 | \$6,500.00 |
| Genetics | Human Heredity, Principals and Issues, $7^{\text {th }}$ Ed. By Michael R. Cummings. Thomson, Brooks and Cole. | \$500.00 | 5 | \$2,500.00 |
| Human Anatomy and Physiology | Holes Essentials of Human Anatomy \& Physiology. By Shrer, Butler, Lewis. | \$500.00 | 10 | \$5,000.00 |
| Human Anatomy and Physiology Honors | Human Anatomy \& Physiology, Seventh Edition by Marieb and Hoehn. Pearson | \$500.00 | 4 | \$2,000.00 |
| Advanced Biotechnology | Biotechnology for the New Millennium with Accompanying Lab Manual by Daugherty. Paradigm | \$500.00 | 2 | \$1,000.00 |
| AP Biology | Biology with accompanying lab manuals and student workbooks by Campbell and Reece. Pearson | \$500.00 | 2 | \$1,000.00 |
| Chemistry | Chemistry, Matter and Change by Buthelezi, Thandi, et. al. Glencoe McGraw - Hill | \$1,300.00 | 24 | \$31,200.00 |


| Course | Curriculum Materials to be Purchased | Teacher Package Cost * | Total <br> Teacher <br> Packages | Total Cost\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry Honors and Chemistry for Biotech House | Modern Chemistry; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston. | \$1,240.00 | 9 | \$11,160.00 |
| Matter and Energy | Foundations of Physical Science by Hsu. CPO Science | Gratis | 23 | 0.00 |
| Organic Chemistry Honors | Bettelheim, Brown, Campbell, Furrell; Introduction to General, Organic, and Biochemistry, Eighth Edition. | \$500.00 | 2 | \$1,000.00 |
| AP Chemistry | Zumdahl; Chemistry, Seventh Edition plus supplementals and fast track supplementary and solution guide; Houghton Mifflin | \$500.00 | 3 | \$1,000.00 |
| Conceptual Physics | Conceptual Physics by Hewitt. Prentice Hall | \$1,700.00 | 27 | \$45,900.00 |
| Physics Honors and Physics for Biotech House at Indian Trail Academy | Holt Physics by Serway and Faughn. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston | \$1,070.00 | 6 | \$6,420.00 |
| Geology | Earth Science by Spaulding and Namowitz, McDougal Littell. | \$1,400.00 | 12 | \$16,800.00 |
| Geology Honors and Geo-Science and Astronomy | Physical Geology by Plummer, Carlson, McGeary. McGraw-Hill | \$500.00 | 11 | \$5,500.00 |
| Geoscience and Astronomy | Astronomy Today by Chaisson and McMillan. Prentice Hall | \$290.00 | 6 | \$1,740.00 |
|  | Busch Education, Physical Geology Lab Manual, Prentice Hall | \$25.00 | 6 | \$150.00 |
| Astronomy | Explorations: An Introduction to Astronomy by Arny. McGraw-Hill | \$500.00 | 16 | \$8,000.00 |
| Ecology | Environmental Science; Holt, Rinehart, and Winston | \$1,250.00 | 27 | \$33,750.00 |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER RESOURCE EXPENDITURE = \$248,720.00 |  |  |  |  |
| *Based on estimated needs \#Based on most currently available estimated costs |  |  |  |  |

Total Anticipated High School Expenditure = \$1,110,990
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January 22, 2008

## Dimensions of Learning Payoff

At the November Audit, Budget and Finance Committee, Administration asked the Board permission to explore the possibility of an early payoff of the multi-year lease arrangement for Dimensions of Learning, based on a request from the leadership of Dimensions of Learning (DOL). This issue was reviewed and discussed at the January Committee meeting.

Last summer, St. Thomas Catholic Church sold a portion of their property to a local not for profit organization. This action created some concern on the part of DOL regarding the management of the facilities and the current shared church property (i.e., parking lot), the proper delineation of the property boundaries and right of ways which led DOL to explore the early purchase of the property that houses the Dimensions of Learning Academy.

Pursuant to the Lease agreement entered into in July, 2000, with St. Thomas Congregation, for a ten (10) year period, Kenosha Unified has an option to purchase the property (Article VIII) that houses DOL at any time during the term of the lease. The original terms of the lease calls for annual rent payments of $\$ 108,245$, with $\$ 9,020.42$ paid monthly for ten (10) years. This repayment was based on an amortization schedule of a negotiated sale price of $\$ 725,000$, plus interest for a total payment of $\$ 1,082,450$ over the ten (10) years.

As of January 1, 2008, there are two and one half ( $2^{1 / 2}$ ) years remaining on the lease purchase agreement. The remaining principal for the remaining term is $\$ 242,269.63$, based on the amortization schedule and the remaining interest is $\$ 28,342.97$ for a total payment of $\$ 270,612.60$. If KUSD were to purchase the property now, DOL's budget would be relieved of paying this interest over the next two and one half ( $2^{1 ⁄ 2}$ ) years or $\$ 7,621$ in 2008-2009, $\$ 9,366$ in 2009-2010 and $\$ 1,356$ in 2010-2011.

Due to the structure of the original lease to purchase agreement, and the desire of the Board at that time, the purchase process is fairly simple at this point in time. When the District is ready to purchase the property, the Offer to Purchase that was attached to the Lease must be executed and submitted to the Seller (Attachment A). The closing of the property will then be effective thirty (30) days later. It should be noted that once the Offer is submitted and accepted, the deal is finalized.

Based on the current status of the 2007-2008 budget and our very conservative spending approach over the last several years, Administration is projected to have sufficient year end positive budget variance to cover this cost, if the Board wishes to move ahead. DOL would continue to make the principal payments that were part of the original amortization schedule monthly to the District to payoff the $\$ 242,270$, plus any closing costs.

The proposal to buyout the contact was discussed with the Audit, Budget and Finance Committee and they agreed that the proposal was in the best interest of the District and DOL. The Committee reaffirmed Administration's recommendation that DOL should continue to pay the principal payment to the District to offset the principal balance buyout made by the District. At the end of the original contract, the District will be repaid $100 \%$ of the buyout price.

At the Committee meeting a question was raised regarding the potential need to obtain additional elector approval to actually purchase the property. Administration thought that that approval was obtained at the original request to lease the property. In reviewing the minutes from the Electors Meeting held on March 2000, the electors approved the District's ability to lease and/or purchase the St. Thomas property and to designate it as a school site. The minutes of that elector's meeting is attached as Attachment B. Based on the action in March 2000, no additional elector approval is required.

## Administrative Recommendation

Administration requests that the Board of Education agree with the Audit, Budget and Finance Committee and use potential year end funds to acquire the property now, prior to the end of the ten (10) yea period. It is also recommended that the Board authorize Board Officers and District Administration to execute any and all documents relating this transaction.

Dr. Joseph T. Mangi
Interim Superintendent of Schools

William L. Johnston, CPA
Executive Director of Business


```
GENERAL PROVISIONS
The BUYOR, KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. }1\mathrm{ OF THE CITY OF KENOSHA, VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE AND TOWN OF SOMERS,
offers to purchase the Property known as THE FORMER ST. THOMAS CHURCH AND SGHOOL ON G3RDSTREET AND 25THAVENUE ANDADJACENT
PARKING LOT in the GITY Of KENOSHA COunty Of KENOSHA WIsconsin,
(Additional description, if any:) AS LEGALLY DESCRIBED IN THE TITLE COMMITMENT TO BE PROVIDED
| PURCHASE PRICE: SEE ATTACHED ADDENDUM Dollars ($).
```



```
within-_days-of-eceptanee.
- THE BALANCE OF PURCHASE PRICE will be paid in cash or equivalent at closing unless otherwise provided below.
- ADDITIONALITEMS INCLUDEDIN PURCHASE PRICE:Seller shall include in the purchase price and transfer, free and clear of encumbrances, all fixtures,
as defined at lines 190 to }192\mathrm{ and as may be on the Property on the date of this Offer, unless excluded at lines 18-20 (a)
```



```
- ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN THE PURCHASE PRICE: CAUTION: Address rented flxtures or trade fixtures owned by tenants, if applicable.
SEE EXHIBIT 3 OF THE ADDENDUM
```





```
- TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE as to: (4)
and all other dates and deadlines in this Offer except NONE OTHER
ACCEPTANCE, DELIVERY AND RELATED PROVISIONS
```



```
CAUTION: This Offer may be withdrawn prior to dellvery of the accepted Offer.
- DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS AND WRITTEN NOTICES: Unless otherwise stated in this Offer, delivery of documents and written notices to a party shall
be effective only when accomplished in any of the following ways:
(1) By depositing the, document or written notice postage or fees prepald in the U.S. Mail or a commercial delivery system addressed to the party at:
    Buyer: Suparintendent of Schools, 3600 52nd Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53144
    Seller: Administrator, St. Thomas, 6202 25th Ave., Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143
(2) By giving the document or writen notice personally to the party;
(3) By electronically transmitting the document or written notice to the following telaphone numbar: Buyer: (_)
    Salier: < ). Any signad document transmitted by fax shall be considered an original document and shall have the binding and legal effect of an original
document. The slgnature of any Party upon a faxed document shall be considered an original signature.
OCCUPANCY AND RELATED PROVISIONS
- OCCUPANCY of THEENTIRE PROPERTY shall be given to Buyer at time of closing unless otherwise agreed in writing.
CAUTION: Consider an agreement which addresses responsibility for removal of personal property and debris prior to occupancy, if applicable,
- LEASED PROPERTY: If Property is currently leased and leases extend beyond closing, Seller shall assign Seller's rights under said lease(s) and transfer
ail security deposits and prepald rents thereunder to Buyer at closing. The terms of the (written) ferelt[STRIKE ONE] lease(s), if any, are ATTACHED AS
EXHIBIT 4 OF THE ADDENDUM
- RENTAL WEATHERIZATION: This transaction (is) (fonot) [STRIKE ONE] exempt from State of Wisconsin Rental Weatherization Standards (ILHR 67 ,
```



```
CLOSING AND RELATED PROVISIONS
a CLOSING: This transaction is to be closed at the place designaled by Buyer's mortgagee or WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C. no later than SEE
ATTACHED ADDENDUM unless another date or place is agreed to in writing.
# CLOSING PRORATIONS: The following items shall be prorated at closing: real estate taxes, rents, private and municipal charges, property owners
association assessments, fuel and NONE OTHER, Any income, taxes or expenses shall accrue to Seller, and be prorated, through the day prior to closing.
    Net general real estate taxes shall be prorated based on (the net general real estate taxes for the current year, If known, otherwise on the net general real
estate taxes for the preceding year) (
```

$\qquad$

```
[STRIKE AND COMPLETE AS APPLICABLE] CAUTION: If Property has not been fully assessed for tax purposes (for example, recent land div/sion
orcompleted pending reassessment) or If proration on the basis of net general real estate taxes is not acceptable (for example, changing mill rate),
Insert estlmated annual tax or other basis for proration.
| SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS: Special assessments, if any, for work on site actually commenced or levied prior to date of this Offer shall be paid by Seller
no later than closing. All other special assessments shall be paid by Buyer. CAUTION; See llnes 194 to 198 regarding other expenses. Considera special
agreement regarding these expenses, if app//cab/e.
```



```
[STRIKE AS APPLICABLE] as further described at lines }141\mathrm{ to }153
- CONVEYANCE OF TITLE: Upon payment of the purchase price, Seller shall convey the Property by warranty deed (or other conveyance as provided
herein) free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, except: municipal and zoning ordinances and agreements entered under them, recorded easements
or the distribution of utility and municipal services, recorded bullding and use restrictions and covenants, general taxes levied in the year of closing andNONE
OTHER (provided none of the foregoing prohibit present use of the Property), which constlutes merchantable tille for purposes of thls transaction. Seller
further agrees to complete and execute the documents necessary to record the conveyance.
```

ADDENDA TO OFFER
See line $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ to determine If addenda, riders or other documents have been made a part of this Offer.

W/ARNING; If Buyer contemplates Improving or devaloping Property, or a change In use, Buyer may need to address municipal and zoning ordinances, recorded bullding and use restrictlons, covenants and easements whlch may prohlblt improvements or uses. The need for building permits, zonlng variances, environmental audits, etc. may need to be investlgated to determine feasibility of improvements, development or use changes for Property. Contingencles for investlgation of these issues may be added to thls Offer. See lines 241 to 273.
PROPERTY CONDITION PROVISIONS

- PROPERTY DIMENSIONS AND SURVEYS: Buyer acknowledges that any Property, building or room dimensions, or total acreage or building square footage figures, provided to Buyer by Seller or Seller's agent(s), may be approximate because of rounding or other reasons, unless verified by survey or other means. Buyer also acknowledges that there are various formulas used to calculate total square footage of buildings and that total square footage figures will vary dependent upon the formula used. CAUTION. Buyer should verlfy total square footage formula, Property, bullding or room dimensions, and total acreage or square footage figures, If material to Buyer's declsion to purchase.
- NSPECTIONS: Seller agrees to allow Buyer's inspectors reasonable access to the Property upon reasonable notice if the inspections are reasonably necessary to satisfy the contingencies in this Offer. Buyer agrees to promptly provide copies of all such inspection reports to Seller, and to listing broker if Property is listed. Furthermore, Buyer agrees to promptly restore the Property to tt's original condition after Buyer's inspections are completed, unless otherwise agreed with Seller. CAUTION: See Ilnes 193 to 200 for deflnitions of "/nspection" and "test". Seller's authorization for inspections does not authorize Buyer to conduct testling of the Property. If Buyer requires testing contingencies, they should be specifically provided for at lines 268 to 273. Seller acknowledges that certain inspections or tests may detect environmental pollution which may be required to be reportad to the Whsconsin Department of Natural Resources.
PROPERTY DAMAGE BETWEEN ACCEPTANCE AND CLOSING: Seller shall maintain the Property until the earlier of closing or occupancy by Buyer in materially the same condilion as of the date of acceptance of this Offer. If, prior to the earlier of closing or occupancy by Buyer, the Property is damaged in an amount of not more than five per cent (5\%) of the selling price, Seller shall be obligated to restore the Property. If Seller is unable to restore the Property, Seller shall promptly notify Buyer in writing and this Offer may be cancelled at the option of the Buyer. If the damage shall exceed such sum, Seller shall promplly notify Buyer In writing of the damage and this Offer may, be cancelled at option of Buyer. Should Buyer elect to carry out this Offer despite such damage, Buyer shall be entitied to any insurance proceeds relating to the damage to the Property, plus a credit towards the purchase price equal to the amount of Seller's deductible on such policy.
- PRE-CLOSING INSPECTION: At a reasonable time, preapproved by Seller or Sellers agent, within 3 days before closing, Buyer shall have the right to Inspect the Property to determine, that there has been no slgnificant change in the condition of the Property, except for changes approved by Buyer.


## DEFAULT

Seller and Buyer each have the legal duty to use good faith and due diligence in completing the terms and conditions of this Offer. A material failure to perform any obligation under this Offer is a default which may subject the defaulting party to liability for damages or other legal remedies.

If Buyer defaults, Seller may:
(1) sue for specific performance and request the earnest money as partial payment of the purchase price; or,
(2) terminate the Offer and have the option to: (a) request the earnest money as liquidated damages; or (b) direct broker to return the earnest money and have the option to sue for actual damages.
If Seller defaults, Buyer may:
(1) sue for specific performance; or
(2) terminate the Offer and request the return of the earnest money, sue for actual damages, or both.

In addition; the Parties may seek any other remedies available In law or equity.
The Parties understand that the availability of any judicial remedy will depend upon the circumstances of the situation and the discretion of the courts. If either Party defaults, the Parties may renegotiate the Offer or seek nonjudicial dispute resolution insiead of the remedies outlined above. By agreeing to binding arbitration, the Parties may lose the right to litigate in a court of law those disputes covered by the arbitration agreement.

[^1]ENTIRE CONTRACT
This Offer, including any amendments, contains the entire agreement of the Parties regarding the transaction. All prior negotiations and discussions have been merged into this Offer. This agreement binds and inures to the benefit of the Parties to this Offer and their successors in interest.
EARNEST MONEY
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TITLE EVIDENCE

- FORM OF TITLE EVIDENCE: Seller shall give evidence of titie (as selecled at lines 64 to 65) to the Property in the form of: (H)ean-atbotraetoftitlepreparee
 on a current ALTA form issued by an insurer licensed to write title Insurance in Wisconsin.
- PROVISION OF MERCHANTABLE TITLE: Sailer shall pay all costs of providing title evidence. For purposes of closing, title evidence shall be acceptable if the ebetretera commitment for the required itile insurance is delivered to Buyer's attorney or to Buyer not less than 5 business days before closing, showing tille to the Property as of a date no more than 15 days before delivery of such tille evidence to be merchantable, subject only to liens which will be paid out of the proceeds of closing and etenderd-ebstraet-eetiffeate-Hmitations-or standard title insurance requirements and exceptions, as-appropriate approved by Buyer, - IITLEACCEPTABLE FOR CLOSING: If title is not acceptable for closing, Buyer shall notify Seller in writing of objectlons to title by the time set for closing. In such event, Seller shall have a reasonable time, but not exceeding 15 days, to remove the objections, and the time for closing shall be extended as necessary for this purpose. In the event that Seller is unable to remove sald objections, Buyer shall have 5 days from receipt of notice thereof, to deliver written notice waiving the objections, and the time for closing shall be extended accordingly. If Buyer does not waive the objections, this Offer shall be null and void. Providing title evidence acceptable for closing does not extinguish Seller's obligations to give merchantable title to Buyer.


## DEFINITIONS

- ACCEPTANCE: Acceplance occuts when all Buyers and Sellers have signed an identical copy of the Offer, including signatures on separate but identical coples of the Offer. See lines 29 and 30 regarding binding acceptance.
CAUTION: CONSIDER WHETHER SHORT TERM DEADLINES RUNNING FROM ACCEPTANCE PROVIDE ADEQUATE TIME FOR BOTH BINDING ACCEPTANCE AND PERFORMANCE.
- CONDITIONS AFFECTING THE PROPERTY OR TRANSACTION: A "condition affecting the Property or transaction" is defined as follows:
(a) Planned or commenced public improvements which may result in special assessments or otherwise materially affect the Property or the present use of the Property;
(b) Government agency or court order requiring repair, alteration or correction of any existing condition; ,
(c) Completed or pending reassessment of the Property for property tax purposes;
(d) Structural inadequacies which if not repaired will significantly shorten the expected normal life of the Property;
(e) Any land division involving the Property, for which required state or local approvals were not obtained;
(f) Construction or remodeling on the Property for which required state or local approvals were not obtained;
(9) Any portion of the Property being in a 100 year floodplain, a wetland or shoreland zoning area under local, state or federal regulations;
(h) That a structure on the Property is designated as a historic bullding or that any part of the Property Is in a historic district;
(i) Material violations of environmental laws or other laws or agreements regulating the use of the Property;
(0) Conditions constituting a significant health or safety hazard for occupants of the Property;
(k) Underground storage tanks on the Property for storage of flammable or combustible liquids including but not limited to gasoline and heating oil; NOTE: Wisconsin Administrative Code contains registration and operation rules for such underground storage tanks.
(i) Underground or aboveground storage tanks for storage of flammable, combustible or hazardous materials including but not limited to gasoline and heating oil, which are currenlly or which were previously located on the Property;
(m) High voltage electric (100 KV or greater) or steet natural gas transmission lines located on but not directly serving the Property;
(n) Material levels of hazardous substances located on Property or previous storage of material amounts of hazardous substances on Property;
(o) Other conditions or occurrences which would significantly reduce the value of the Property to a reasonable person with knowledge of the nature and scope of the condition or occurrence.
- ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT: An "environmental site assessment" may include, but is not limited to: (1)an inspection of the Property; (2)a review of the ownership and use history of the Propenty, including a search of titie records showing private ownership of the Property for a period of Bo years prior to the visual inspection; (3)a revlew of historic and recent aerial photographs of the Property, if available; (4)a review of environmental licenses, permits or orders issued with respect to the Property; (5)an evaluation of results of any environmental sampling and analysis that has been conducted on the Property; and (6) a review to determine if the Property listed in any of the written compilations of sites or facillities considered to pose a threat to human health or the environment including the National Properties list, the Department of Natural Resources' (DNR) registry of Abondoned Landfils, the DNR's Registry of Leaking Underground Storage Tanks, and the DNR's most recent remedial response site evaluation report (including the Inventory of Sites and Facilities Which May Cause or Threaten to Cause Environmental Pollution). Any "environmental site assessment" performed under this Offer shall comply withgenerally recognized industry siandards (e.j. current Amerlcan Society of Testing and Materials "Standards for Environmental Site Assessments for Commercial Real Estate"), state and federal guidelines, as applicable. CAUTION: Unless otherwise agreed and "environmental site assessment" does not include testing of the Property for environmental pollution.
EIXTURES: A "Fixture" is an item of property which is physically attached to or so closely associated with land and improvements so as to be treated as pant of the real estate, including, without limitation, physically attached items not easily removable without damage to the Property, items specifically adapted to the Propenty, and items customarily treated as ixtures. A "fixture" does not include trade fixtures owned by tenants of the Property. See Lines 13 to 20,
- INSPECTION: An "inspection" is defined as an observation of the Property which does not include testing of the Property.
$\square$ OTHER EXPENSES: In addition to "special assessments for work on site", government entities may charge one-flme or ongoing use fees for other public improvements relating to curb, gutter, street, sidewalk, sanitary and storm sewer (including all sewer mains and hook-up and interceptor charges), parks, street lighting and street trees, and impact fees for other public facilities, as defined in Wis. Stats. §66.55(i)(c)\&().
- TEST: A "test" is defined as the taking of samples of materials such as soils, water or building materials from the Property and the laboratory or other analysis of these materials. Note: Any contingency authorizing such tests should specify the areas of the Property to be tested, the purpose of the test, (e.g. to determine the presence or absence of environmental contamination), any limitations on Buyer's testing and any other material terms of the contingency (e.g. Euyer's obligation to ratum the Property to it's original condition).


## PROVISIONS RELATED TO FINANCING
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$X$ ADDENDA; The attached ADDENDUM is eremade pant of this Offer.
ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

IF ACCEPTED, THIS OFFER CAN CREATE A LEGALLY ENFORCEABLE CONTRACT. BOTH PARTIES SHOULD CAREFULLY READ THIS DOCUMENT. BROKERS MAY PROVIDE A GENERAL EXPLANATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE OFFER BUT ARE PROHIBITED BY LAW FROM GIVING ADVICE OR OPINIONS CONCERNING YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS UNDER THIS OFFER OR HOW TITLE SHOULD BE TAKEN AT CLOSING. AN ATTORNEY SHOULD BE CONSULTED IF LEGAL ADVICE IS REQUIRED.

Thls Offer was drafted on
[date] by, $\qquad$ [Licensee and Firm]
(X)
(Buyers Signature)؛ Prinl Name here:* Kenosha Unlfied School District Na.
(Social Security No.)
(Date)
(X)
(Buyer's Signature)4 Print Name here:r
(Social Security No.)
(Date)
EARNEST MONEY RECEIPT Broker acknowledges receipt of earnest money as per fine 10 of the above Offer.

Broker (By)
SELLER ACCEPTS THIS OFFER. THE WARRANTIES, REPRESENTATIONS AND COVENANTS MADE IN THIS OFFER SURVIVE CLOSING AND THE CONVEYANCE OF THE PROPERTY. THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY AGREES TO CONVEY THE ABOVE-MENTIONED PROPERTY ON THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS SET FORTH HEREIN AND ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF A COPY OF THIS OFFER.
(X)
(Seller's Signature): Print Name hare:
(Social Security No.)
(Date)
(X)
(Sellar's Signature) A Print Name here:r
(Social Security No.)
(Date)
This Offer was presented to Seller by $\qquad$ on $\qquad$ , at $\qquad$ a.m./p.m.

## SPECIAL MEETING OF SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTORS KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 <br> HELD MARCH 22, 2000

A special meeting of the electors of Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 was held on Wednesday, March 22, 2000 at 7:00 P.M. in the Auditorium at Reuther Central High School. The purpose of this meeting was to authorize the School Board to lease and/or purchase property for school purposes.

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 P.M. by Polly Munn, School Board president.
Mrs. Munn advised that only qualified electors, residents of the District for at least ten (10) days prior to this date, a U.S. citizen, and at least 18 years of age on the date of this Special Meeting of the Electors can participate in the making of motions, discussion of motions, and voting on motions pertaining to items III, IV, V, and VI on the agenda.

Gilbert Ostman moved to adopt the rules of order as contained in the agenda as the parliamentary authority for the meeting. Ellen Kupfer seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Mrs. Munn asked for nominations for chairperson.
Jo Ann Riley nominated Polly Munn for chairperson. Gilbert Ostman seconded the nomination.

Vernon Gerth nominated Lori Waters for chairperson. Ray Collins seconded the nomination.

Mrs. Munn declined the nomination.
Vote on motion to nominate Mrs. Waters for chairperson carried.
Mrs. Waters asked for a motion with respect to the following resolution:
BE IT RESOLVED, by the electors of the Kenosha Unified School District No. 1, that the School Board be authorized, pursuant to Section 120.10(5) and (5m) of the Wisconsin Statutes, to lease and/or purchase property known as:

All of the real estate and improvements, including the church structure, adjoining school, administrative and outdoor facilities, and parking lot area, of the former St. Thomas Aquinas Catholic Church and School located at 63rd Street and 25th Avenue, Kenosha, Wisconsin.
and to designate such real estate as a school site.
Vernon Gerth moved to adopt the resolution as presented. Dick Ginkowski seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Pat Ramsdell moved to adjourn this Special Meeting of District Electors. Jo Ann Riley seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 7:14 P.M.
Diana Knudsen
Board Secretary
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## 2006-07 Annual Report Card

## Executive Summary

The 2006-07 Report Card is being submitted by the Office of Educational Accountability to comply with School Board Policy 2110., State Statute 115.38, and the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. NCLB raises the bar for school districts to demonstrate adequate yearly progress toward meeting the needs of all students. The Kenosha Unified School District (KUSD) is striving to reach academic success for all students at every grade level and is committed to high student performance on all measures of academic achievement.

The Annual Report Card disaggregates the following items by student sub-groups: student enrollment and demographic information, standardized testing, mobility and stability rates, and other performance indicators (including attendance, suspension, retention, truancy, dropout, expulsion, graduation, Advanced Placement, Youth Options, and Mandatory Extended Year Summer School). The report further summarizes student achievement by NCLB objectives and School Board approved academic indicators.

The reader of this report is advised that the data used to report student achievement are time sensitive. For example, enrollment data were based on the official $3^{\text {rd }}$ Friday enrollment count collected every year in September and may have changed since that time. Other data, such as test results, were collected at the time the data were available. In addition, numerous performance indicators were extracted from the SPR (School Performance Report), ISES (Individual Student Enrollment System), and WSLS (Wisconsin Student Locator System), which have been submitted to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI) and have not yet been verified. Therefore, there may be some slight variances in the reported student achievement data when the School Performance Report is returned in its verified form to KUSD.

## Significant Findings

- Hispanic students registered the largest increase in the percent of the total student enrollment, from $9.3 \%$ in 1997 (1,778 students) to $17.7 \%$ (3,994 students) in 2007. African American students also reported a significant increase in the percent of the total student enrollment, from $12.4 \%$ (2,365 students) to $16.0 \%$ (3,620 students).
- For school year 2006-07, 40.02\% of KUSD students were eligible to participate in the federally funded Free/Reduced Lunch Program, an increase of $0.45 \%$ when compared to the previous year.
- The WKCE achievement gap between African American and White students decreased in reading and math at all grade levels, with the exception of grade 7 in reading and grade 10 in math. The gap also decreased for Hispanic students at grades $4,5,6$, and 7 in reading and grades $3,4,5$, and 7 in math. The most significant gaps occurred between students with disabilities and not disabled students at all grade levels, with the widest disparity in grade 10 .

|  | WKCE/WAA Percent | ajority A Proficie | vement <br> Above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Grade 3 | Gap Afr Am/White | -23.3\% | -20.4\% | -37.5\% | -27.1\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -18.0\% | -18.1\% | -24.6\% | -20.1\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -22.1\% | -21.9\% | -28.4\% | -22.1\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -47.9\% | -46.1\% | -38.3\% | -33.0\% |
| Grade 4 | Gap Afr Am/White | -24.4\% | -20.4\% | -38.9\% | -30.3\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -24.8\% | -19.9\% | -21.2\% | -12.6\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -25.7\% | -20.0\% | -22.5\% | -18.9\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -39.3\% | -43.6\% | -40.9\% | -39.9\% |
| Grade 5 | Gap Afr Am/White | -25.1\% | -21.1\% | -39.0\% | -31.6\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -19.0\% | -17.9\% | -26.2\% | -25.3\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -20.7\% | -20.6\% | -31.1\% | -28.4\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -52.7\% | -42.2\% | -39.3\% | -41.4\% |
| Grade 6 | Gap Afr Am/White | -25.6\% | -23.6\% | -35.4\% | -32.7\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -19.2\% | -18.9\% | -17.9\% | -21.2\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -23.6\% | -22.8\% | -24.8\% | -27.2\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -53.2\% | -49.5\% | -55.2\% | -37.3\% |
| Grade 7 | Gap Afr Am/White | -24.3\% | -27.4\% | -35.0\% | -29.9\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -23.1\% | -19.2\% | -22.4\% | -16.0\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -19.6\% | -23.0\% | -27.3\% | -19.9\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -45.3\% | -47.9\% | -53.6\% | -47.7\% |
| Grade 8 | Gap Afr Am/White | -29.5\% | -23.3\% | -30.4\% | -29.9\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -15.0\% | -17.9\% | -20.1\% | -18.1\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -21.3\% | -20.1\% | -22.6\% | -26.6\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -38.7\% | -45.4\% | -49.6\% | -47.7\% |
| Grade 10 | Gap Afr Am/White | -33.4\% | -33.0\% | -40.1\% | -42.6\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -23.3\% | -28.2\% | -21.4\% | -26.5\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -29.9\% | -27.7\% | -33.9\% | -31.7\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -53.1\% | -53.5\% | -48.5\% | -50.3\% |

- On the ACT Assessment college entrance examination, KUSD (21.4) continued to outperform the nation (21.2) in the average composite score. White students (21.9), not economically disadvantaged students (21.8), and not disabled students (21.5) exhibited higher scores than the African American (18.5), Hispanic (18.7), economically disadvantaged (19.0), and students with disabilities (18.9) student groups. The District did not meet its goal of 21.6.
- Over the past three years, the average daily attendance for all students had a declining trend as students progressed from elementary to middle school and again when students moved on to high school. The district did not meet the School Board approved goal of $93.15 \%$.
- The District graduation rate on the SPR as reported on the chart below increased from $88.3 \%$ to $88.5 \%$ when this year's results were compared to the previous year. The rate for African American students increased $+2.5 \%$ when comparing last year's rate of $70.9 \%$ to this year's rate of $73.4 \%$. However, the rate for Hispanic students decreased by $-1.1 \%$, from $79.8 \%$ to $78.7 \%$.
- White students reported a slight decrease on the SPR graduation rate from $92.3 \%$ to $92.2 \%$. The rates for students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged
students increased significantly, from $68.2 \%$ to $78.6 \%$ and $78.5 \%$ to $83.0 \%$ respectively. The District did not meet its goal of $93.09 \%$.

- The District-wide cohort graduation rate increased slightly from $78.9 \%$ to $80.4 \%$ when ITED graduates were excluded but decreased from $85.6 \%$ to $82.3 \%$ when ITED graduates were included. The rates for African American and Hispanic students decreased, from $70.0 \%$ to $57.6 \%$ and from $62.9 \%$ to $59.4 \%$, respectively, when ITED graduates were excluded.
- Increases were reported for White students on the cohort graduation rate (from 81.8\% to $86.4 \%$ ), Economically disadvantaged students (from $61.6 \%$ to $63.4 \%$ ), and Students with Disabilities (from 60.6\% to 64.0\%) Neither the goal of $94.1 \%$ when excluding ITED graduates nor the goal of $98.2 \%$ when including ITED graduates was met.

- The number of expulsions more than doubled when comparing 2005-06 to 2006-07, with an increase of 23 for African American students (from 10 to 33), an increase of 7 for Hispanic students (from 7 to 14), and an increase of 17 for White students (from 21 to 38). Economically disadvantage/not disadvantaged students and students with disabilities/not disabled student groups experienced the same pattern. Overall, the number of students expelled from KUSD increased from 38 students in 2005-06 to 88 students in 2006-07.



## Administrative Recommendation

On January 8, 2008, both the Personnel/Policy and the Curriculum/Program Committees accepted the 2006-07 Annual Report Card and recommended that it be forwarded to the full Board for approval. Administration recommends that the School Board review and accept the 2006-07 Annual Report Card. Furthermore, Administration recommends that the Office of Educational Accountability continue to monitor student achievement related to academic indicators and submit the 2007-08 Annual Report Card to the Board in January of 2009.

Dr. Joseph Mangi
Interim Superintendent of Schools

Linda Langenstroer
Coordinator of Research

Sonya Stephens
Executive Director of Educational Accountability

Renee Blise
Research Analyst

## LINK TO COMPLETE REPORT

January 22, 2008

## Tentative Schedule of Reports, Events, and Legal Deadlines for School Board January-February

## January

- January 2, 2008 - Schools Reopen
- January 8, 2008 - Standing Committee Meetings in ESC Board Meeting Room and Room 190B
- January 21, 2008 - Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Day -½ Day for Students \& Staff
- January 22, 2008 -PR/Goals/Legislative Standing Committee Meeting and Regular School Board Meeting at Stocker Elementary School
- January 23, 2008 - Teacher Workday - No School for Students


## February

- February 12, 2008 - Standing Committee Meetings in ESC Board Meeting Room and Room 190B
- February 13, 2008 - ½ Day for Students - Professional Inservice Half Day
- February 26, 2008 - Regular Board of Education Meeting - at Frank Elementary School
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## Significant Findings

- Hispanic students registered the largest increase in the percent of the total student enrollment, from $9.3 \%$ in 1997 ( 1,778 students) to $17.7 \%$ (3,994 students) in 2007. African American students also reported a significant increase in the percent of the total student enrollment, from $12.4 \%$ (2,365 students) to $16.0 \%$ (3,620 students).
- For school year 2006-07, 40.02\% of KUSD students were eligible to participate in the federally funded Free/Reduced Lunch Program, an increase of $0.45 \%$ when compared to the previous year.
- The WKCE achievement gap between African American and White students decreased in reading and math at all grade levels, with the exception of grade 7 in reading and grade 10 in math. The gap also decreased for Hispanic students at grades $4,5,6$, and 7 in reading and grades $3,4,5$, and 7 in math. The most significant gaps occurred between students with disabilities and not disabled students at all grade levels, with the widest disparity in grade 10 .

|  | WKCE/WAA Percent | ajority A Proficie | vement <br> Above |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Grade 3 | Gap Afr Am/White | -23.3\% | -20.4\% | -37.5\% | -27.1\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -18.0\% | -18.1\% | -24.6\% | -20.1\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -22.1\% | -21.9\% | -28.4\% | -22.1\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -47.9\% | -46.1\% | -38.3\% | -33.0\% |
| Grade 4 | Gap Afr Am/White | -24.4\% | -20.4\% | -38.9\% | -30.3\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -24.8\% | -19.9\% | -21.2\% | -12.6\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -25.7\% | -20.0\% | -22.5\% | -18.9\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -39.3\% | -43.6\% | -40.9\% | -39.9\% |
| Grade 5 | Gap Afr Am/White | -25.1\% | -21.1\% | -39.0\% | -31.6\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -19.0\% | -17.9\% | -26.2\% | -25.3\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -20.7\% | -20.6\% | -31.1\% | -28.4\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -52.7\% | -42.2\% | -39.3\% | -41.4\% |
| Grade 6 | Gap Afr Am/White | -25.6\% | -23.6\% | -35.4\% | -32.7\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -19.2\% | -18.9\% | -17.9\% | -21.2\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -23.6\% | -22.8\% | -24.8\% | -27.2\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -53.2\% | -49.5\% | -55.2\% | -37.3\% |
| Grade 7 | Gap Afr Am/White | -24.3\% | -27.4\% | -35.0\% | -29.9\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -23.1\% | -19.2\% | -22.4\% | -16.0\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -19.6\% | -23.0\% | -27.3\% | -19.9\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -45.3\% | -47.9\% | -53.6\% | -47.7\% |
| Grade 8 | Gap Afr Am/White | -29.5\% | -23.3\% | -30.4\% | -29.9\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -15.0\% | -17.9\% | -20.1\% | -18.1\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -21.3\% | -20.1\% | -22.6\% | -26.6\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -38.7\% | -45.4\% | -49.6\% | -47.7\% |
| Grade 10 | Gap Afr Am/White | -33.4\% | -33.0\% | -40.1\% | -42.6\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -23.3\% | -28.2\% | -21.4\% | -26.5\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -29.9\% | -27.7\% | -33.9\% | -31.7\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -53.1\% | -53.5\% | -48.5\% | -50.3\% |

- On the ACT Assessment college entrance examination, KUSD (21.4) continued to outperform the nation (21.2) in the average composite score. White students (21.9), not economically disadvantaged students (21.8), and not disabled students (21.5) exhibited higher scores than the African American (18.5), Hispanic (18.7), economically disadvantaged (19.0), and students with disabilities (18.9) student groups. The District did not meet its goal of 21.6.
- Over the past three years, the average daily attendance for all students had a declining trend as students progressed from elementary to middle school and again when students moved on to high school. The district did not meet the School Board approved goal of $93.15 \%$.
- The District graduation rate on the SPR as reported on the chart below increased from $88.3 \%$ to $88.5 \%$ when this year's results were compared to the previous year. The rate for African American students increased $+2.5 \%$ when comparing last year's rate of $70.9 \%$ to this year's rate of $73.4 \%$. However, the rate for Hispanic students decreased by $-1.1 \%$, from $79.8 \%$ to $78.7 \%$.
- White students reported a slight decrease on the SPR graduation rate from $92.3 \%$ to $92.2 \%$. The rates for students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged
students increased significantly, from $68.2 \%$ to $78.6 \%$ and $78.5 \%$ to $83.0 \%$ respectively. The District did not meet its goal of $93.09 \%$.

- The District-wide cohort graduation rate increased slightly from $78.9 \%$ to $80.4 \%$ when ITED graduates were excluded but decreased from $85.6 \%$ to $82.3 \%$ when ITED graduates were included. The rates for African American and Hispanic students decreased, from $70.0 \%$ to $57.6 \%$ and from $62.9 \%$ to $59.4 \%$, respectively, when ITED graduates were excluded.
- Increases were reported for White students on the cohort graduation rate (from $81.8 \%$ to $86.4 \%$ ), Economically disadvantaged students (from $61.6 \%$ to $63.4 \%$ ), and Students with Disabilities (from $60.6 \%$ to $64.0 \%$ ) Neither the goal of $94.1 \%$ when excluding ITED graduates nor the goal of $98.2 \%$ when including ITED graduates was met.

- The number of expulsions more than doubled when comparing 2005-06 to 2006-07, with an increase of 23 for African American students (from 10 to 33), an increase of 7 for Hispanic students (from 7 to 14), and an increase of 17 for White students (from 21 to 38). Economically disadvantage/not disadvantaged students and students with disabilities/not disabled student groups experienced the same pattern. Overall, the number of students expelled from KUSD increased from 38 students in 2005-06 to 88 students in 2006-07.



## Administrative Recommendation

On January 8, 2008, both the Personnel/Policy and the Curriculum/Program Committees accepted the 2006-07 Annual Report Card and recommended that it be forwarded to the full Board for approval. Administration recommends that the School Board review and accept the 2006-07 Annual Report Card. Furthermore, Administration recommends that the Office of Educational Accountability continue to monitor student achievement related to academic indicators and submit the 2007-08 Annual Report Card to the Board in January of 2009.

Dr. Joseph Mangi
Interim Superintendent of Schools

Linda Langenstroer
Coordinator of Research

Sonya Stephens
Executive Director of Educational Accountability

Renee Blise
Research Analyst

## LINK TO COMPLETE REPORT

## Annual Report Card - 2006-07

## Introduction

The No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 raises the bar for school districts to demonstrate adequate yearly progress toward meeting the needs of all students. The Kenosha Unified School District (KUSD) is striving to reach academic success for all students at every grade level and is committed to high student performance on all measures of academic achievement.

The Annual Report Card disaggregates the following items by student sub-groups: student enrollment and demographic information, standardized testing, mobility and stability rates, and other performance indicators (including attendance, suspension, retention, truancy, dropout, expulsion, graduation, Advanced Placement, Youth Options, and Mandatory Extended Year Summer School). The report further summarizes student achievement by NCLB objectives and School Board approved academic indicators.

The reader of this report is advised that the data used to report student achievement are time sensitive. For example, enrollment data were based on the official $3^{\text {rd }}$ Friday enrollment count collected every year in September and may have changed since that time. Other data, such as test results, were collected at the time the data were available. In addition, numerous performance indicators were extracted from the School Performance Report (SPR), ISES (Individual Student Enrollment System), and WSLS (Wisconsin Student Locator System), which has been submitted to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI) but has not yet been verified. Therefore, there may be some slight variances in the reported student achievement data when the School Performance Report is returned in its verified form to KUSD.

## Background

An Audit of Educational Effectiveness was conducted by Dr. M. Donald Thomas of the Associated Consultants in Education during the 1993-94 school year. Each school was placed in a quartile determined by the demographics of each individual school. Effective standards were set at each school for Reading and Math achievement, student and teacher attendance, Third Grade Reading Test results, drop-out rate, GPA (grade point average), graduation rate, ACT and SAT scores, and AP (Advanced Placement) test results. Effective standards are those standards achieved by schools that are one standard deviation above normative schools. It is important to note that these standards are usually achieved by only $20-25 \%$ of the students in a given group. In addition, goals were set at the district level to align with the standards already identified at the building level. The 1996-97 school year was the last year of the "Don Thomas" standards.

During the 1996-97 school year, the School Board and the Administration reviewed and added standards based on Language achievement tests, habitual truancy rates, retention rates, number of AP classes attended, and the number of post-secondary classes attended outside of KUSD. New five-year goals were set and assigned to each standard for each school based upon historical achievement at individual schools. District goals were set based upon these new building goals.

In an effort to comply with State and School Board expectations, as well as sustaining the Administration's efforts on educational reform and measurable results, the standards were again revisited in 2000-01. Based upon historical achievement, new goals for 2004-05 and yearly benchmarks were set for each standard at each building. The standards were reclassified as "Academic Indicators", and will hereafter be referred to as such. In 2005-06, new goals for 2010-11 and yearly benchmarks were developed and approved by the School Board, supporting both the NCLB Act of 2001 and the District's Strategic Plan.

Appendix A contains the District's Annual Report Card for 2006-07. Appendix B contains Annual Report Cards for each school. The report cards include both the School Board approved academic indicators and the objectives based on the requirements of the NCLB.

## Demographics of Student Enrollment

## Student Enrollment

Chart 1 below compares the percent of student growth from SY 1996-97 to SY 2006-07. White students continued to represent the largest portion of enrollment. However, Asian and Hispanic groups reported the largest increases ( $79.09 \%$ and $124.63 \%$ respectively) when 1996-97 enrollment counts were compared to 2006-07 enrollment. African American and Hispanic students represented the largest minority groups enrolled in KUSD.

Chart 1


Source: Official Third Friday Enrollment for 1996-97 and 2006-07

Charts 2 and 3 illustrate the changes in the distribution of enrollment for each ethnic group during the last ten years.

Chart 2


Chart 3


Hispanic students registered the largest increase in the percent of the total enrollment, from $9.3 \%$ in 1996 to $17.7 \%$ in 2006. Both African American and Asian students reported increases in the percent of total enrollment, from $12.4 \%$ to $16.0 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ to $1.7 \%$, respectively. Although Caucasian students reported an increase in the number of students enrolled, they registered a decrease in the percent of total enrollment, from $76.7 \%$ to $64.2 \%$.

## Enrollment by Grade Level

Chart 4 represents the changes in enrollment by grade level when comparing SY 1996-97 to SY 2006-07. The largest increases were reported at grades 7, 9, and 12, each increasing by more than 325 students during the ten-year comparison.

Chart 4


## Socio-Economic Status

As illustrated in Chart 5, KUSD experienced an increase in the percent of students who were eligible for free or reduced lunches thru the Federal Lunch program (economically disadvantaged) each year during the past six (6) years.

Chart 5


Percents based on Official $2^{\text {nd }}$ Friday Enrollment in January of each school year
Elementary schools continued to report a greater percent of economically disadvantaged students than middle and high schools. Chart 6 further disaggregates the data for SY 2006-07 by ethnic group. As a whole, African American and Hispanic groups at all levels reported the highest percent of student participation in the free/reduced lunch program.

Chart 6


## Revenue and Expenditures

The operating revenue of the district is comprised of the General Fund (Fund 10) and Special Projects Fund (Fund 27). These revenues are the financial resources available from local, state, and federal sources, while General and Special Projects Fund expenditures are expenses for operations (operation/administration/other, instruction, support services, and transportation). Dollars/member is the amount received or spent in a given category divided by district membership (full-time equivalent). Although they are the major source of expenses within a school district, General Fund and Special Projects Fund expenditures are not the only costs. Dollars/member calculations may vary
depending on the other expenses used in the calculation (i.e., special projects, debt services, and food services). Chart 7 illustrates the sources of revenues and distribution expenses for 2006-07.

The School Performance Report (SPR) definitions for revenue and expenditure (cost) data are consistent with definitions used by the School Financial Services team. Additional information can be accessed at the following website: http://www.dpi.state.wi.us/sfs/cmprvcst.html.

Chart 7

| Revenues and Expenditures - 2006-07 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District Total Dollars | District Percent of Total | District \$ per Member * |
| Revenues |  |  |  |
| Local Property Taxes | \$ 61,401,741 | 27.21\% | 2,738 |
| Other Local Revenues | \$ 4,067,635 | 1.80\% | 181 |
| State Revenues | \$ 147,139,852 | 65.21\% | 6,562 |
| Federal Revenues | \$ 13,032,510 | 5.78\% | 581 |
| Totals | \$ 225,641,738 | 100.00\% | \$ 10,063 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Instruction | \$ 145,315,201 | 65.78\% | 6,480 |
| Pupil \& Staff Services | \$ 25,823,729 | 11.69\% | 1,152 |
| Administrative \& Operation | \$ 41,804,268 | 18.92\% | 1,864 |
| Transportation | \$ 6,025,388 | 2.73\% | \$ 269 |
| Facilities | \$ 1,925,864 | 0.87\% | 86 |
| Total Education Costs | \$ 220,894,451 | 100.00\% | \$ 9,851 |

* The 2006-07 membership of 22,424 students was obtained from the 2007-08 Equalized Aid Formula.


## Staffing Ratios

District pupil/staff ratios are calculated by dividing the third Friday enrollment by the full-time equivalency employment district-wide and in each of three categories: Licensed Instructional Staff, Administrative Staff, and Educational Assistants/Support/ Other Staff. Chart 8 reports the staffing ratios for 2006-07, including the number of fulltime equivalent staff, the percent of total staff, and the pupil/staff ratio for each employee group.

Chart 8

| Staffing Ratios - 2006-07 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Full-Time <br> Equivalent <br> (FTE) | Percent of <br> Total | 2006-07 <br> Pupil/Staff <br> Ratio * |
| Licensed Instruction | 1720.22 | $68.33 \%$ | 13.13 |
| Administrative | 79.5 | $3.16 \%$ | 284.05 |
| Educational Assistants/Support/Other | 717.81 | $28.51 \%$ | 31.46 |
| District Totals | $\mathbf{2 5 1 7 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9 7}$ |

* 2006-07 Enrollment: 22,582 students


## Standardized Assessments

Annually, students in KUSD are administered standardized assessments to measure academic growth. In 2006-07, all students in grades 3 thru 8 and grade 10 were required to participate in the Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE), which assessed students in Reading and Mathematics in all grades tested. Additionally, students in grades 4, 8, and 10 were assessed in Language Arts, Science, Social Studies, and writing. The Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA) was available for students with disabilities based on their IEP (Individual Educational Plan). For the third year, students in grade 9 were administered the ACT EXPLORE Assessment. Because of small "N" counts, results for Asian and Native American students are not provided in this report.

## Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE)

The WKCE Reading and Mathematics subtest was comprised of selected response (multiple choice) items and constructed response (short answer) items for all grade levels. At grades 4, 8, and 10, the Language Arts, Science, and Social Studies subtests included only selected response items. Results were reported by proficiency levels and scale scores.

## Proficiency Levels - Grades 3-8, and 10

Charts 9 thru 22 illustrate a history of the percent of students in grades 3 thru 8, and 10 who were proficient or advanced in Reading and Math by the following categories: African American, Hispanic, White, economically disadvantaged, not economically disadvantaged, students with disabilities, not disabled, overall District, and State results. Only students who were enrolled for a full academic year (FAY) were reported. Percentages include students who participated in either the WKCE or the WAA.

Chart 9
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Chart 21


Chart 22

** Appendix C reports the percent of students in each proficiency category of the 2006-07 WKCE/WAA by school for Reading, Language Arts, Mathematics, Science and Social Studies.

At every grade level, ethnic minority students, economically disadvantaged students, and students with disabilities continued to report lower percents of students who were proficient or advanced in both Reading and Math. The School Board approved goals were met in math at grades 4,6 , and 7 . The achievement gap between the minority and majority groups of students varied between grade level and school year. Charts 23 and 24 illustrate the disparity between student groups when comparing the percent of students who were proficient or advanced on the WKCE by group.

## Chart 23

|  | WKCE/WAA - <br> Percent of Student | ajority A t or Abo | vement <br> Grades | $8,10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Grade 4 | Gap Afr Am/White | -22.8\% | -20.1\% | -24.4\% | -20.4\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -11.2\% | -21.3\% | -24.8\% | -19.9\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -15.9\% | -24.5\% | -25.7\% | -20.0\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -44.0\% | -40.0\% | -39.3\% | -43.6\% |
| Grade 8 | Gap Afr Am/White | -32.7\% | -31.3\% | -29.5\% | -23.3\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -25.9\% | -21.4\% | -15.0\% | -17.9\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -27.8\% | -24.1\% | -21.3\% | -20.1\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -48.7\% | -50.1\% | -38.7\% | -45.4\% |
| Grade 10 | Gap Afr Am/White | -44.7\% | -29.1\% | -33.4\% | -33.0\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -21.8\% | -23.8\% | -23.3\% | -28.2\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -34.2\% | -28.8\% | -29.9\% | -27.7\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -56.0\% | -59.6\% | -53.1\% | -53.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 | Gap Afr Am/White | -30.2\% | -29.3\% | -38.9\% | -30.3\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -15.2\% | -25.5\% | -21.2\% | -12.6\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -21.0\% | -27.0\% | -22.5\% | -18.9\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -27.7\% | -25.7\% | -40.9\% | -39.9\% |
| Grade 8 | Gap Afr Am/White | -45.1\% | -39.9\% | -30.4\% | -29.9\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -29.7\% | -27.3\% | -20.1\% | -18.1\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -31.8\% | -30.3\% | -22.6\% | -26.6\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -49.7\% | -52.9\% | -49.6\% | -47.7\% |
| Grade 10 | Gap Afr Am/White | -44.6\% | -34.0\% | -40.1\% | -42.6\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -26.4\% | -24.9\% | -21.4\% | -26.5\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -36.1\% | -27.0\% | -33.9\% | -31.7\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -58.4\% | -56.0\% | -48.5\% | -50.3\% |

Chart 24

|  | WKCE/WAA - <br> Percent of Students | ajority or Abo | vement Grades | $6,7$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Grade 3 | Gap Afr Am/White | -23.3\% | -20.4\% | -37.5\% | -27.1\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -18.0\% | -18.1\% | -24.6\% | -20.1\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -22.1\% | -21.9\% | -28.4\% | -22.1\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -47.9\% | -46.1\% | -38.3\% | -33.0\% |
| Grade 5 | Gap Afr Am/White | -25.1\% | -21.1\% | -39.0\% | -31.6\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -19.0\% | -17.9\% | -26.2\% | -25.3\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -20.7\% | -20.6\% | -31.1\% | -28.4\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -52.7\% | -42.2\% | -39.3\% | -41.4\% |
| Grade 6 | Gap Afr Am/White | -25.6\% | -23.6\% | -35.4\% | -32.7\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -19.2\% | -18.9\% | -17.9\% | -21.2\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -23.6\% | -22.8\% | -24.8\% | -27.2\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -53.2\% | -49.5\% | -55.2\% | -37.3\% |
| Grade 7 | Gap Afr Am/White | -24.3\% | -27.4\% | -35.0\% | -29.9\% |
|  | Gap Hisp/White | -23.1\% | -19.2\% | -22.4\% | -16.0\% |
|  | Gap Econ Dis/Not Econ Dis | -19.6\% | -23.0\% | -27.3\% | -19.9\% |
|  | Gap Student wDis/Not Dis | -45.3\% | -47.9\% | -53.6\% | -47.7\% |

The most significant gaps occurred between students with disabilities and not disabled students at all grade levels, with the widest disparity in grade 10 . The greatest decreases in the achievement gap occurred in grade 8 in both reading and math. In reading, the gap was reduced from $32.7 \%$ to $23.3 \%$ between African American and White students, from $25.9 \%$ to $17.9 \%$ between Hispanic and White students, from $27.8 \%$ to $20.1 \%$ between economically disadvantaged and not economically disadvantaged students, and from $48.7 \%$ to $45.4 \%$ between students with disabilities and not disabled students. In math, the gap was reduced from $45.4 \%$ to $29.9 \%$ between African American and White students, from $29.7 \%$ to $18.1 \%$ between Hispanic and White students, from $31.8 \%$ to $26.6 \%$ between economically disadvantaged and not economically disadvantaged students, and from $49.7 \%$ to $47.7 \%$ between students with disabilities and not disabled students.

The achievement gap between Hispanic and White students was less than the gap between African American and White students. However, the disparity increased when comparing the 2006-07 percents to the prior year in reading at grades 3, 7, 8, and 10, and in math at grades 6 and 10.

## Scale Scores

WKCE results were also reported in terms of scale scores. "A scale score is a score on a numeric scale with intervals of equal size. The scale is applied to all students taking the WKCE subject area test, regardless of student characteristics, time of year, or grade. Scale scores are NOT equivalent across subject areas because tests in each subject area are scaled separately." (State of Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Office of Educational Accountability). It is statistically valid to use scale scores for mathematical computations and to compare scores within groups of students and specific subject areas. Chart 25 illustrates the WKCE average reading and math scale scores by grade level for the last two years. As expected, average scale scores increased as groups of students moved from one grade level to the next. The greatest increases were noticed between grade 7 and grade 8 in Reading and between grade 3 and grade 4 in Math.

Chart 26 illustrates the average language, science, and social studies scale scores by grade level.

## Chart 25



Chart 26


## ACT EXPLORE Assessment

School year 2006-07 was the third year that students in grade 9 were administered the ACT EXPLORE assessment. This assessment included 30 minute multiple choice tests in English, Mathematics, Reading, and Science. It also collected information about student interests and provided feedback to students regarding career options.

Chart 27 provides disaggregated average scores for the four subject areas and the composite score. Results included only students who completed all of the subtests. The maximum score possible was 25 . Overall, the District scored higher than the nation in Science and achieved the same average score in Reading. African Americans, Hispanics, economically disadvantaged, and students with disabilities scored lower than their peers and the nation on all subtests and the composite score. The School Board approved goals were met on the Math and Reading subtests.

Chart 27


## ACT PLAN Assessment

Students in grade 10 are given the opportunity to take the ACT PLAN assessment on an elective basis. PLAN serves as the midpoint measure of academic progress between the ACT EXPLORE in grade 9 and the ACT in grades 11 and 12. It is a powerful predictor of success on the ACT, an early indicator of college readiness, and a tool to help students explore careers that match their interests.

Chart 28 provides disaggregated average scores for the four subject areas and the composite score. Results included only students who completed all of the subtests. The maximum score possible was 32 . The district average scores were 18.8, 19.4, 19.2, 19.8, and 19.5 for English, Math, Reading, Science, and Composite Score respectively, with 192 students taking the test. KUSD students scored higher than the Nation on all subtests. African Americans, Hispanics, and economically disadvantaged students scored lower than their peers on all subtests and the composite score.

Chart 28


[^2]
## ACT, SAT 1, and AP

KUSD students completed three additional standardized tests on an elective basis. These included the ACT Assessment and the SAT 1, which are designed to predict future college success and are used by universities and colleges as admissions criterion, and Advanced Placement (AP) tests, which measured students' knowledge of specific college level courses. Please note that the results of the ACT and the SAT 1 included only those students who were part of the graduating class of the reported year.

## ACT Assessment

Chart 29 illustrates student achievement on the ACT. In 2006-07, the test was administered to 818 students, an increase of +17 students when compared to last year. Overall, White students, not disabled students, and not economically disadvantaged students performed better than their peers when comparing the subscores and the composite scores. The ACT district averages remained constant when compared to last hear. For 2006-07, the average English score was 20.9, the average Math score was 21.0, the average Reading score was 21.8 , and the average Science score was 21.6 , with an average Composite score of 21.4. The School Board approved goal of 21.6 was not met.

When comparing the scores of individual groups and their cohorts by subtest, the gap between African American students and White students decreased in all areas ( 5.5 to 3.4 in English, 4.8 to 3.1 in Math, 5.1 to 3.4 in Reading, and 3.9 to 3.3 in Science). The gap between Hispanic students and White students decreased from 3.3 to 2.5 in Math, increased from 3.3 to 3.8 and 2.5 to 3.6 in English and Reading respectively, and remained the same in Science (2.8). The disparity between economically disadvantaged and not economically disadvantaged students decreased in English, Math, and Science from 3.5 to $3.1,3.1$ to 2.3 , and 2.6 to 2.4 respectively. The gap increased slightly in Reading, from 3.1 to 3.4. The gap also decreased between students with disabilities and not disabled students in all content areas, from 5.1 to 3.5 in English, from 4.6 to 2.0 in Math, from 2.8 to 2.7 in Reading, and from 3.4 to 2.3 in Science.

Chart 29

| Kenosha Unified School District ACT Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2006}$ ( $\mathrm{N}=801$ KUSD students) |  |  |  |  | $\underline{2007}$ ( $\mathrm{N}=818$ KUSD students) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Eng | Math | Read | Science | Comp | Eng | Math | Read | Science | Comp |
| African Am | 16.3 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 18.2 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 18.5 |
| Hispanic | 18.5 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 19.1 | 17.5 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 18.7 |
| White | 21.8 | 21.5 | 22.2 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 21.9 |
| Econ Disadv | 18.1 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 19.5 | 19.0 |
| Not Econ Disadv | 21.6 | 21.4 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 22.3 | 21.9 | 21.8 |
| Student w/Dis | 16.1 | 16.4 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 19.0 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 18.9 |
| Not Disabled | 21.2 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 21.5 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.9 | 21.6 | 21.5 |
| DISTRICT | 21.2 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 21.5 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 21.4 |
| STATE | 21.5 | 22.0 | 22.4 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.3 |
| NATIONAL | 20.6 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 21.0 | 21.2 |

Chart 30 illustrates student achievement on the SAT 1, a college entrance examination that measures Verbal and Mathematical Reasoning skills students have developed over time and required to be successful academically. Scores in each subsection were reported on a scale of 200 to 800 . The combination of the Verbal and the Mathematical Reasoning scores gave each student a maximum possible score of 1600. In 2006-07, 24 students elected to take the test, compared to 49 students the prior year. Because of small "N" counts, averages are not disaggregated by student groups. KUSD continued to outperform the nation, with average scores of 573, 587, and 537 for verbal, math, and writing, respectively, compared to the national average scores of 502, 515 , and 494 respectively. The School Board approved goal of 1191 was not met.

Chart 30


Note: Verbal score was changed to Critical Reading in 2006-07.

## Advanced Placement (AP)

The number of students enrolled in Advanced Placement (AP) classes is an unduplicated count of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students who are enrolled in at least one (1) AP class. Please note that the percent of students is based on enrollment of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students at schools that offer AP courses. The School Board approved goal set for 2006-07 was $22.57 \%$. The District exceeded this assigned goal by achieving a rate of $22.73 \%$, which included a total of 637 students in grades 11 and 12 taking at least one (1) AP class.

The number of AP classes attended is a duplicated count of students enrolled in any AP class (i.e. a student who is enrolled in two (2) AP classes is counted twice). The number of AP classes attended increased from 874 classes in 2005-06 to 1,008 classes in 2006-07. The District exceeded its goal of 853 classes.

Chart 31 lists the AP tests that KUSD students were administered in 2007 and the percent that passed with a score of 3,4 , or 5 . Also included is the percent of students nationally who passed each examination in 2006. KUSD students outperformed the nation on AP tests in Biology, Computer Science A, English Lang/Comp, English Lit/Comp, Physics, Studio Art-2D, Studio Art-Drawing, and World History.

Chart 31

| 2007 Advanced Placement - Percent of Students Passing |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course | \# of Tests | \# Passing | District Percent <br> Passing | National Percent <br> Passing (2006) |
| Biology | 36 | 27 | $75.0 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ |
| Calculus AB | 95 | 38 | $40.0 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ |
| Computer Science A | 1 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ |
| English Lang/Comp | 59 | 43 | $72.9 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ |
| English Lit/Comp | 114 | 72 | $63.2 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ |
| French Language | 14 | 4 | $28.6 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ |
| Government\&Pol US | 158 | 33 | $20.9 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ |
| Macroeconomics | 18 | 4 | $22.2 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ |
| Microeconomics | 9 | 4 | $44.4 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ |
| Physics | 14 | 12 | $85.7 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| Physics C | 6 | 4 | $66.7 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| Psychology | 139 | 86 | $61.9 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ |
| Spanish Language | 1 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ |
| Spanish Literature | 1 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ |
| Studio Art-2D | 11 | 9 | $81.8 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| Studio Art-Drawing | 14 | 13 | $92.9 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ |
| US History | 37 | 15 | $40.5 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ |
| World History | 20 | 15 | $75.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 747 | 380 | $50.9 \%$ | NA |

Source: The College Board - AP Advanced Placement Program
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Chart 33


Chart 32 reports the percent of students by group who participated in at least one (1) AP examination. The percent of White students who participated in AP testing ( $27.0 \%$ ) exceeded the percents reported for African American and Hispanic students ( $5.8 \%$ and $4.7 \%$ respectively). Additionally, economically disadvantaged students $(5.7 \%)$ did not participate as often as students who were not economically disadvantaged ( $27.5 \%$ ). Chart 33 illustrates the percent of passed AP examinations by student group. The District did not meet the School Approved goal of $66.5 \%$, with only $50.87 \%$ of students passing the AP exams. White students and not economically disadvantaged students recorded the highest success rates ( $52.1 \%$ and $52.0 \%$ respectively). African American, Hispanic, and economically disadvantaged students achieved the lowest rates ( $38.1 \%, 44.4 \%$, and $33.3 \%$ respectively).

[^3]
## Mobility and Stability

Two factors beyond the control of KUSD that influence student performance are mobility and stability. Student mobility is calculated by using the number of students who entered the school during the school year, divided by the beginning enrollment ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ Friday enrollment). Student stability is calculated by using the number of students who remained in the same school from one year to the next, divided by the beginning enrollment. Student groups that experience automatic building changes, such as preschool, grade 5 , grade 8 and grade 12 , are excluded from the stability formula.

Chart 34 illustrates the student mobility rates by student group for the past two years. Overall, the mobility rate fluctuated when comparing the groups. Although African American students experienced the highest mobility rates when compared to other ethnic groups, they reported decreases in their mobility rate at elementary, middle and high school levels. Both Hispanic and White students at the elementary level reported decreases in their mobility rates in 2006-07 when compared to 2005-06. At the middle school level, the rate for Hispanic students increased and the rate for White students remained constant. At the high school level, the rates for both Hispanic and White students increased.

In 2005-06 and 2006-07, the rates for economically disadvantaged students were considerably higher when compared to students not economically disadvantaged at all grade spans. In 2006-07, elementary students with disabilities reported a lower rate than not disabled students but reported higher rates at the middle and high school levels. Data from the prior year was not available for comparisons.

Chart 34


Chart 35 reflects patterns in student stability for the past two years. Overall, more students at the middle school level remained at the same school. Due to the opening of Nash Elementary and a change in boundary areas, all elementary groups reported a decrease in their stability rates when compared to last year. District-wide, the rates at the middle and high school levels appeared to remain fairly constant when
compared to the prior year. However, Hispanic students and economically disadvantaged students at both levels improved their rates. White students at the middle school level reported a decrease in their stability rate. African American and Hispanic students reported lower rates than White students at the elementary, middle and high school levels. Economically disadvantaged students reported considerably lower rates than students who were not economically disadvantaged. The same pattern was observed between students with disabilities and not disabled students.

Chart 35


## Student Attendance

Attendance at school each day is critical if students are expected to gain the necessary knowledge and skills to become successful. Charts 36, 37, and 38 illustrate the average daily attendance of students at the elementary, middle, and high school levels, by student group for the past three years. Chart 39 reports the average daily attendance of all district students. The rate for all student groups, including the districtwide rate, fluctuated slightly during the past three years.

At the elementary level, attendance rates increased in all student groups when 2004-05 was compared to 2005-06. However, all groups reported a decrease in rates when 2005-06 was compared to 2006-07.

At the middle school level, all student groups reported an increase in attendance when comparing 2004-05 to 2005-06, with the exception of not economically disadvantaged students. But when comparing 2005-06 to 2006-07, the same pattern as reported at the elementary level was evident, with all groups achieving a lower rate.

At the high school level, African American students recorded an increase in attendance when 2004-05 was compared to 2005-06, with all other student groups remaining the same or reporting a decrease in their rate. All groups registered a decrease in their attendance rate when 2005-06 was compared to 2006-07.

The overall district attendance rates for each student group remained constant or increased slightly when comparing 2004-05 to 2005-06. However, the rates decreased when 2005-06 was compared to 2006-07. The attendance rates for 2006-07 were: $89.7 \%, 91.1 \%$, and $93.2 \%$ for African American, Hispanic, and White students, respectively; $90.0 \%$ and $93.7 \%$ for economically disadvantaged and not economically disadvantaged, respectively; and, $88.6 \%$ and $92.9 \%$ for students with disabilities and not disabled students, respectively. Average daily attendance for students appeared to decline as students progressed from elementary school to middle school and again, when they attended high school. The District did not meet its goal of $93.15 \%$. Additionally, elementary, middle, and high school levels did not reach their assigned attendance goals for this reporting period.
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## Graduation Rates

## School Performance Report

Chart 40 illustrates the KUSD graduation rates as reported to the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI) School Performance Report (SPR)* for all students who graduated, including ITED graduates. The rate for African American students increased $+2.5 \%$ when comparing last year's rate of $70.9 \%$ to this year's rate of $73.4 \%$. However, the rate for Hispanic students decreased by $-1.1 \%$, from $79.8 \%$ to $78.7 \%$. White students reported a slight decrease from $92.3 \%$ to $92.2 \%$. The rates for students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged students increased significantly, from $68.2 \%$ to $78.6 \%$ and $78.5 \%$ to $83.0 \%$ respectively. District-wide, the rate increased slightly from $88.3 \%$ to $88.5 \%$. The district did not meet the School Board approved goal of $93.09 \%$. However, the district did meet Objective II, Other Indicator, of the NCLB Act of 2001, surpassing the annual measurable objective graduation rate of $80 \%$.

## Formula for Calculating Graduation Rate

Graduates
Graduates $+9 \mathrm{DRS}+10 \mathrm{DRS}+11 \mathrm{DRS}+12 \mathrm{DRS}$

* The calculation used is the number of graduates divided by the sum of graduates plus dropouts over four years ( $9^{\text {th }}$ grade dropouts 3 years ago, $10^{\text {th }}$ grade dropouts 2 years ago, $11^{\text {th }}$ grade dropouts 1 year ago, and current grade 12 dropouts). It compares the number of students who leave school successfully (graduate) to the number of students who leave school unsuccessfully (dropout).
(Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Office of Educational Accountability)


## Chart 40



Please note that the rates for 2007 have not yet been verified by DPI and may be slightly different when official rates are available.

## Cohort Graduation Rate

The Kenosha Unified School District also calculated a "cohort" graduation rate, which tracked grade 9 students through their high school years. Chart 41 reports the cohort graduation rate for the past two years by student group, first by including "ITED" graduates and then by excluding them. White students graduated at a higher rate (88.3\% and $88.0 \%$ for 2006 and 2007 respectively) when compared to African American ( $76.9 \%$ and $59.0 \%$ respectively) and Hispanic ( $72.1 \%$ and $63.2 \%$ respectively) students when including "ITED" graduates. When excluding "ITED" graduates, similar patterns were reported. Economically disadvantaged students continued to graduate at a lower rate than not economically disadvantaged students, both when including and excluding "ITED" graduates. Additionally, students with disabilities reported the same disparity when compared to not disabled students. District-wide, the cohort graduation rate decreased from $85.6 \%$ to $82.3 \%$ when including "ITED" graduates but increased from $78.9 \%$ to $80.4 \%$ when excluding them. The goals of $84.1 \%$ when excluding "ITED" graduates and $89.2 \%$ when including "ITED" graduates were not met.

Chart 41


## Expulsion Rates

Students who violate district rules, make threats against school property, or endanger the property, health, or safety of those at school may be recommended for expulsion from school. Chart 42 details the number of students by student group who were expelled from school during the previous three years. The number of expulsions more than doubled when comparing 2005-06 to 2006-07, with an increase of 23 for African American students (from 10 to 33), an increase of 7 for Hispanic students (from 7 to 14), and an increase of 17 for White students (from 21 to 38). Economically disadvantage/not disadvantaged students and students with disabilities/not disabled student groups experienced the same pattern. Overall, the number of students expelled from KUSD increased from 38 students in 2005-06 to 88 students in 2006-07.

## Chart 42



## Suspension, Retention, Truancy, and Dropout Rates

There are many other factors that impact student learning. When students are suspended or truant from school, instruction time for those students is lost. When students drop out of school, learning discontinues. Chart 43 illustrates out-of-school suspension, retention, habitual truancy, and dropout rates by student group for the 200607 school year. Please note that these rates have not been verified by DPI and may be slightly different when released in their verified form. The following definitions are provided to assist the reader when analyzing these rates and drawing conclusions.

- Out-of-school suspensions are absences from school imposed by the school administration for non-compliance with school district policy or rules. They may be excused or unexcused depending on local district policy.
- Retention means a pupil has not made progress in a prescribed course of study, caused by (1) an incompletion of a prescribed program for ungraded students, (2) repeating a grade at the elementary or middle school level, or (3) failure to earn a predetermined number of credits at the high school level.
- A habitual truant is a student who was absent from school without an acceptable excuse for part or all of five or more days on which school is held during a semester. NOTE: The rate illustrated in this report is the percent of students who were identified as habitual truants. It is not the percent of time that students were truant from school.
- A dropout is a student who was enrolled in school at some time during the previous year but was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year as of third Friday in September and (1) did not graduate or transfer to another educational program, (2) was not absent due to expulsion, suspension or school-approved illness, or (3) did not die.


## Source: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction/School Performance Report

African American students reported the highest suspension, truancy, and dropout rates when compared to all other student groups. Students with disabilities reported the highest retention rate. White, not economically disadvantaged, and not disabled students achieved the lowest rates in all categories when compared to their peers.

Chart 43


Please note that the rates above have not yet been verified by DPI and may be slightly different when official rates are available.
** Appendix $F$ reports the percent of average daily attendance, the percent of dropouts and habitual truants, the percent of students who were expelled, retained, or suspended, and the percent of students who graduated.

## Mandatory Extended Year Summer School

The identification of students for mandatory extended year summer school was expanded to include students who were performing in the Minimal or Basic proficiency categories on the WKCE in Reading and/or Math. Because the original goals were developed using the previous criteria of identifying students exclusively in the minimal proficiency category, only the percent of students who scored in the minimal category are included in this report. As illustrated in Chart 44, the rate decreased for students in grades $4,5,6$, and 7 in both reading and math. Students in grade 8 met the School Board approved goal in reading. The goal was also met in grades $4,6,7$, and 8 in math.

Chart 44


> Extra/Co-Curricular Activities and School-Sponsored Community Activities

Extra-/co-curricular activities are school sanctioned groups or events, not offered for credit or grade, designed to broaden, develop, and enhance school experience in areas of academics, athletics, and music. Data are reported for grades 6 thru 12 only. Chart 45 reports the participation rate in each activity category for 2006-07. More KUSD students participated in academic activities when compared to athletic and music activities. However, statewide, more students were involved in athletic activities.

Chart 45

| Extra/Co-Curricular Activities - 2006-07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Participation Rate \% |  |  |  |
|  | \# of Offerings | Academic | Athletic | Music | Overall |
| District <br> (KUSD) | 791 | $36.3 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ |
| State <br> (Wisconsin) | 27,423 | $33.6 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |

School-sponsored community activities are school-sponsored or supervised events that emphasize service to and involvement with the community. Data are reported for students in grades 9 thru 12 only. Chart 46 illustrates the percent of students who participated in school sponsored community activities during 2006-07. Both districtwide and state-wide, more students participated in the voluntary activities than the required activities.

Chart 46

| School-Sponsored Community Activities - 2006-07 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Participation Rate \% |  |  |
|  | \# of Offerings | Required | Voluntary | Overall |
| District (KUSD) | 126 | $12.1 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ |
| State (Wisconsin) | 8,672 | $15.8 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ |



## KENOSHA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1 Report Card 2006-07
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| District Profile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grades: | $P K-12$ |  |
| Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| PreSchool | 845 | 22,585 |
| Kindergarten | 1,654 | 1,706 |
| Grade 1 | 1,693 | 1,683 |
| Grade 2 | 1,583 | 1,715 |
| Grade 3 | 1,488 | 1,630 |
| Grade 4 | 1,578 | 1,527 |
| Grade 5 | 1,585 | 1,596 |
| Grade 6 | 1,641 | 1,592 |
| Grade 7 | 1,583 | 1,670 |
| Grade 8 | 1,681 | 1,627 |
| Grade 9 | 2,096 | 2,123 |
| Grade 10 | 1,751 | 1,694 |
| Grade 11 | 1,734 | 1,882 |
| Grade 12 | 1,304 | 1,336 |
| Average Class Sizes |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 19.1 | 20.2 |
| Grades 4-5 | 22.7 | 23.9 |
| Grades K-5 | 20.2 | 21.3 |
| Grades 6-8 |  |  |
| English | 23.7 | 24.6 |
| Soc. St. | 24.4 | 25.1 |
| Math | 24.4 | 25.1 |
| Science | 24.4 | 25.1 |
| For Lang | 23.8 | 25.7 |
| Grades 9-12 | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| English | 24.7 |  |
| U.S. Hist | 25.4 | 27.0 |
| Soc. St. | 25.0 | 25.5 |
| Math | 24.6 | 25.1 |
| Science | 25.2 | 25.0 |
| For Lang | 24.2 | 25.5 |
| Number of Title 1 Schools | 19 |  |


|  | High Poverty <br> Schools | Low Poverty <br> Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 721.5 | 756.6 |
| $\%$ of Teachers With Advanced Degrees | $57.08 \%$ | $62.93 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With Emergency or Pro- <br> visional Licenses | $6.26 \%$ | $4.19 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| District Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Schools Identified for Improvement (SIFI)

| Name of School | Years Identified for Improvement |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bradford High School | Level 2 |
| \% of Schools Identified | $2.56 \%$ |
| Student Groups |  |


|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 47.9 | 48.0 |  | Asian | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Male | 52.1 | 52.0 |  | African Am | 15.1 | 15.9 |
|  |  |  |  | Hispanic | 16.7 | 17.6 |
| Special Ed | 12.9 | 13.1 |  | Native Am | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 7.1 | 7.8 |  | White | 66.1 | 64.4 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 39.9 | 41.1 |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 200 | -07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 92.64 | 92.25 | 93.15 | 93.41 | 93.94 | 94.47 | 95.00 |
| Elementary | 95.00 | 94.92 | 95.14 | 95.27 | 95.51 | 95.76 | 96.00 |
| Middle | 93.86 | 93.63 | 93.94 | 94.09 | 94.40 | 94.70 | 95.00 |
| High | 88.01 | 87.05 | 89.04 | 89.47 | 90.31 | 91.16 | 92.00 |
| Habitual <br> Truants | 16.73 | 18.98 | 15.13 | 14.31 | 12.68 | 11.04 | 9.40 |
| Elementary | 5.66 | 6.16 | 6.10 | 5.99 | 5.76 | 5.53 | 5.30 |
| Middle | 7.64 | 8.28 | 8.62 | 8.47 | 8.18 | 7.89 | 7.60 |
| High | 38.82 | 44.59 | 32.68 | 30.25 | 25.40 | 20.55 | 15.70 |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.96 | 96.94 | 96.94 | 97.09 | 97.40 | 97.70 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional Development Days | 0.79 | 0.90 |  |  | No Goal |  |  |

College Placement Examinations

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAT } \\ & (\mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | 49 | 24 |  |  | No Goal S |  |  |
| Verb | 576 | 573 | 595 | 596 | 597 | 599 | 600 |
| Math | 576 | 587 | 596 | 597 | 598 | 599 | 600 |
| Total | 1152 | 1160 | 1191 | 1193 | 1195 | 1198 | 1200 |
| Writ | 561 | 537 |  |  | No Goal S |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ACT} \\ & \text { (N) } \end{aligned}$ | 801 | 818 |  |  | No Goal S |  |  |
| Eng | 21.2 | 20.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Read | 21.7 | 21.8 |  |  | No Goals |  |  |
| Sci | 21.6 | 21.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comp | 21.5 | 21.4 | 21.6 | 21.7 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 22.2 |

## Youth Options/Post Secondary Classes

## ACT EXPLORE Examination

|  | $2005-06$ | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| English | 14.8 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 16.2 |
| Math | 15.2 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.4 |
| Reading | 14.9 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 16.1 |
| Science | 16.8 | 17.2 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 18.2 |
| Composite | 15.5 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.9 |


|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Secondary <br> Classes Attended <br> Outside KUSD <br> (Youth Options) | 540 | 589 |  |
| A's | $188 / 34.8 \%$ | $146 / 24.8 \%$ | No Future <br> Goals Set |
| B's | $181 / 33.5 \%$ | $203 / 34.5 \%$ |  |
| C's | $96 / 17.8 \%$ | $119 / 20.2 \%$ |  |
| D's | $47 / 8.7 \%$ | $71 / 12.1 \%$ |  |
| F's, N's, I's | $28 / 5.2 \%$ | $50 / 8.5 \%$ |  |

## Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work

|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Students Taking At Least 1 AP Class <br> (Grades 11 \& 12 only) | $562 / 22.73 \%$ | $637 / 22.73 \%$ | $22.57 \%$ | $22.92 \%$ | $23.61 \%$ | $24.31 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| AP Classes Attended (All Students) | 874 | 1008 | 853 | 859 | 873 | 886 | 900 |
| AP Tests Taken (All Students) | $529 / 60.53 \%$ | $747 / 74.11 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| AP Tests Passed (All Students) | $327 / 61.81 \%$ | $380 / 50.87 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |

[^4]
## Graduation Rates (School Performance Report)

| KUSD | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ 2005-06 \\ 2006-07 \end{array}\right\|$ | All Students $\begin{aligned} & 88.3 \% \\ & 88.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | Male $\begin{aligned} & 86.7 \% \\ & 85.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | Female $\begin{aligned} & 90.1 \% \\ & 91.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | Asian <br> Pacific $\begin{aligned} & 96.4 \% \\ & 96.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & 70.9 \% \\ & 73.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | Hispanic $\begin{aligned} & 79.8 \% \\ & 78.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | Native American <br> $*$ $*$ | White $\begin{aligned} & 92.3 \% \\ & 92.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELL } \\ 86.2 \% \\ 68.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | Migrant <br> n/a <br> n/a | SwDis $\begin{aligned} & 68.2 \% \\ & 78.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Econ Dis } \\ 78.5 \% \\ 83.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target Goals | $\left\|\begin{array}{l\|} 2006-07 \\ 2007-08 \\ 2008-09 \\ 2009-10 \\ 2010-11 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.09 \% \\ & 94.07 \% \\ & 96.05 \% \\ & 98.02 \% \\ & 100.0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a | n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a | n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a | n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a |
| Wisconsin | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 2005-06 \\ 2006-07 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.3 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.4 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.3 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.3 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.0 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.2 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.6 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.9 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | n/a <br> n/a | n/a <br> n/a | $\begin{gathered} 81.4 \% \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{gathered}$ | n/a <br> n/a |

## Graduation Rates (Cohort Analysis)

|  | Including ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  | Excluding ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Graduation | 85.6\% | 82.3\% | 89.2\% | 90.7\% | 93.8\% | 96.9\% | 100.0\% | 78.9\% | 80.4\% | 84.1\% | 86.3\% | 90.9\% | 95.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Dropout | 5.3\% | 4.7\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 12.1\% | 6.6\% | 8.5\% | 7.3\% | 4.8\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Credit Deficient | 8.9\% | 13.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.3\% | 4.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 13.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.3\% | 4.2\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% |

## Students Identified for Mandatory Extended Year Summer School

|  | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Grade 3 | 75/5.02\% | 84/5.17\% | 4.63\% | 3.97\% | 2.64\% | 1.32\% | 0.0\% | 281/18.82\% | 316/19.46\% | 16.06\% | 13.77\% | 9.18\% | 4.59\% | 0.0\% |
| Grade 4 | 96/6.09\% | 64/4.15\% | 4.63\% | 3.97\% | 2.64\% | 1.32\% | 0.0\% | 285 / 18.07\% | 205 / 13.30\% | 16.06\% | 13.77\% | 9.18\% | 4.59\% | 0.0\% |
| Grade 5 | 110/6.91\% | 87/5.43\% | 4.63\% | 3.97\% | 2.64\% | 1.32\% | 0.0\% | 268/16.82\% | 260 / 16.24\% | 16.06\% | 13.77\% | 9.18\% | 4.59\% | 0.0\% |
| Grade 6 | 122/7.45\% | 103/6.47\% | 6.49\% | 5.57\% | 3.71\% | 1.86\% | 0.0\% | 226/13.81\% | 170 / 10.68\% | 11.21\% | 9.61\% | 6.40\% | 3.20\% | 0.0\% |
| Grade 7 | 113/7.13\% | 107/6.40\% | 6.49\% | 5.57\% | 3.71\% | 1.86\% | 0.0\% | 183/11.55\% | 165 / 9.87\% | 11.21\% | 9.61\% | 6.40\% | 3.20\% | 0.0\% |
| Grade 8 | 111/6.60\% | 112/6.88\% | 6.49\% | 5.57\% | 3.71\% | 1.86\% | 0.0\% | 184/10.93\% | 182/11.18\% | 11.21\% | 9.61\% | 6.40\% | 3.20\% | 0.0\% |

Other Academic Indicators

|  | Year | All <br> Students | Male | Female | Asian <br> Pacific | Black | Hispanic | Native <br> American | White | ELL | Migrant | SwDis | Econ Dis |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dropout | $2005-06$ | $1.63 \%$ | $2.08 \%$ | $1.13 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $3.64 \%$ | $2.61 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $1.06 \%$ | $0.51 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $2.90 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ |
|  | $2006-07$ | $2.15 \%$ | $2.73 \%$ | $1.52 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | $5.24 \%$ | $2.46 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $3.59 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ |
| Expulsion | $2005-06$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Habitual | $2006-07$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Truant | $2005-06$ | $16.7 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $27.7 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ |
| Retention | $19.0 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $33.2 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $30.6 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ |  |
|  | $2005-06$ | $3.34 \%$ | $3.95 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ | $2.53 \%$ | $5.07 \%$ | $4.62 \%$ | $5.68 \%$ | $2.64 \%$ | $4.76 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $5.84 \%$ | $5.09 \%$ |
| Out-of-schl | $2006-07$ | $3.13 \%$ | $3.42 \%$ | $2.81 \%$ | $1.25 \%$ | $4.76 \%$ | $4.11 \%$ | $4.76 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ | $3.59 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $5.42 \%$ | $4.37 \%$ |
| Suspension | $2006-06$ | $11.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $26.1 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| $11.7 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $24.5 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |  |  |

Graduation and Other Academic Indicators rates for 2006-07 have not been verified by the Wisconsin DPI and are pending the release of the School Performance Report (SPR).
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| Grade 4 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
| KUSD | Female | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 13.8 | 41.3 | 39.9 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 20.9 | 58.1 | 15.1 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 28.4 | 61.6 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 17.0 | 47.3 | 32.5 | 0.2 | 8.0 | 20.5 | 58.1 | 13.3 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 29.4 | 61.6 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 16.8 | 46.0 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 54.6 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 30.6 | 57.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 21.7 | 46.2 | 23.8 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 20.7 | 55.7 | 15.6 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 27.3 | 62.1 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 46.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 63.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 76.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 29.2 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 58.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 20.8 | 66.7 |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | 0.5 | 13.5 | 30.7 | 42.7 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 38.0 | 42.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 15.6 | 43.2 | 35.9 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 32.5 | 50.6 | 8.9 | 0.4 | 18.6 | 35.0 | 43.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 12.2 | 43.9 | 39.2 |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 25.2 | 46.5 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 37.8 | 49.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 13.9 | 41.7 | 39.1 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.4 | 11.4 | 23.2 | 47.3 | 17.7 | 0.8 | 10.5 | 32.9 | 49.4 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 11.4 | 38.4 | 46.8 |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * |  | * |  |  |  |  |  | * | * |  |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 10.2 | 43.4 | 41.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 15.2 | 60.6 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 24.4 | 68.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 14.8 | 45.9 | 35.7 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 13.5 | 62.5 | 20.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 21.5 | 72.0 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 30.8 | 43.9 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 45.8 | 42.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 18.7 | 49.5 | 23.4 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.8 | 18.6 | 24.6 | 45.8 | 10.2 | 0.8 | 15.3 | 39.0 | 41.5 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 17.8 | 44.9 | 32.2 |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | 0.7 | 24.2 | 29.4 | 39.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 35.3 | 39.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 17.6 | 39.9 | 28.8 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 33.7 | 36.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 32.0 | 40.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 22.1 | 36.6 | 29.7 |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 0.2 | 11.8 | 25.3 | 47.6 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 33.6 | 50.9 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 39.1 | 41.1 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.5 | 9.1 | 27.9 | 47.3 | 15.1 | 0.5 | 12.4 | 32.3 | 48.7 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 11.3 | 39.2 | 45.1 |
| KUSD | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 43.8 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 22.2 | 56.2 | 15.3 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 29.6 | 59.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 19.4 | 46.7 | 28.1 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 20.3 | 56.9 | 14.5 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 28.3 | 61.9 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 3.9 | 12.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 7.0 | 15.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5.4 |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 |  | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 3.3 | 10.7 |  | . 3 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 13.3 |  |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 4.7 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 2.2 | 7.1 |  | . 2 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 8.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 1.3 | 3.1 |  |  |
|  | 2009-10 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 1.1 | 3.6 |  | . 1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 4.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 |  |  | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Wisconsin |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2005-06 \\ 2006-07 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 79.8 \\ & 76.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 78.3 \\ & 77.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Grade 8 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
| KUSD | Female | 2005-06 | 0.5 | 8.8 | 20.3 | 45.5 | 24.9 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 18.7 | 53.6 | 19.5 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 11.6 | 45.6 | 38.4 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.6 | 10.1 | 23.5 | 42.6 | 23.3 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 22.6 | 47.5 | 18.3 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 14.0 | 45.7 | 34.2 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 1.0 | 18.4 | 24.9 | 36.7 | 18.9 | 1.2 | 12.6 | 16.1 | 45.8 | 24.4 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 15.6 | 39.6 | 37.2 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 1.1 | 17.9 | 29.2 | 35.2 | 16.6 | 1.2 | 12.4 | 19.4 | 45.7 | 21.4 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 15.6 | 44.3 | 33.5 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 26.1 | 39.1 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 52.2 | 30.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 13.0 | 30.4 | 47.8 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 54.2 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 20.8 | 70.8 |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | 0.5 | 29.7 | 36.4 | 26.8 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 34.4 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 11.5 | 29.2 | 41.1 | 16.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 1.4 | 26.9 | 34.6 | 28.8 | 8.2 | 1.9 | 24.5 | 33.2 | 36.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 13.9 | 25.0 | 45.7 | 13.0 |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | 1.4 | 21.3 | 24.9 | 41.6 | 10.9 | 0.5 | 13.6 | 32.1 | 46.6 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 7.2 | 22.2 | 50.2 | 19.9 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 1.8 | 21.2 | 36.5 | 32.4 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 17.1 | 28.8 | 44.1 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 20.7 | 52.7 | 18.9 |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 28.6 | 14.3 |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 19.4 | 43.6 | 27.1 | 0.8 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 53.0 | 27.7 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 8.9 | 41.5 | 45.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 23.0 | 42.0 | 24.6 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 16.7 | 49.0 | 25.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 11.4 | 43.8 | 40.8 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | 1.3 | 29.5 | 39.7 | 28.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 17.9 | 42.3 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 42.3 | 41.0 | 5.1 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 4.3 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 25.7 | 37.1 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 11.4 | 25.7 | 50.0 | 8.6 |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | 1.9 | 45.1 | 30.6 | 18.4 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 35.9 | 29.1 | 26.2 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 23.8 | 31.6 | 34.0 | 8.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 1.7 | 44.1 | 34.5 | 17.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 40.1 | 29.4 | 23.7 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 23.7 | 30.5 | 33.9 | 9.0 |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 1.1 | 22.9 | 32.2 | 35.1 | 8.7 | 1.5 | 18.5 | 28.5 | 42.7 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 8.4 | 24.9 | 45.5 | 19.6 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 1.7 | 22.6 | 36.8 | 32.7 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 21.9 | 30.0 | 39.0 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 9.6 | 24.3 | 48.9 | 15.3 |
| KUSD | All Students | 2005-06 | 4.8 | 13.7 | 22.6 | 41.0 | 21.9 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 17.4 | 49.6 | 22.0 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 13.6 | 42.5 | 37.8 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.8 | 14.1 | 26.5 | 38.8 | 19.8 | 1.0 | 11.7 | 20.9 | 46.6 | 19.9 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 14.9 | 45.0 | 33.8 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 13.2 | 19.4 |  |  | 0.8 | 10.9 | 15.6 |  |  | 0.8 | 5.4 | 12.4 |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 |  | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 11.3 | 16.7 |  |  | 0.7 | 9.3 | 13.3 |  |  | 0.7 | 4.7 | 10.7 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 7.6 | 11.1 |  |  | 0.4 | 6.2 | 8.9 |  |  | 0.4 | 3.1 | 7.1 |  |  |
|  | 2009-10 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 |  |  | 0.2 | 3.1 | 4.4 |  |  | 0.2 | 1.6 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 |  |  | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Wisconsin |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2005-06 \\ 2006-07 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65.4 \\ & 62.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 74.2 \\ & 74.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^13]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category

| Grade 10 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
| KUSD | Female | 2005-06 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 18.7 | 52.6 | 18.8 | 4.4 | 24.3 | 14.8 | 33.9 | 22.6 | 4.3 | 17.2 | 10.8 | 33.6 | 34.1 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 16.2 | 57.5 | 17.2 | 4.3 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 39.4 | 22.0 | 4.8 | 12.8 | 7.0 | 37.7 | 37.8 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 4.8 | 11.2 | 22.6 | 48.3 | 13.1 | 4.7 | 17.7 | 10.8 | 34.7 | 32.1 | 5.3 | 21.0 | 6.3 | 28.2 | 39.2 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 5.1 | 12.2 | 21.3 | 51.9 | 9.5 | 5.7 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 35.3 | 29.3 | 6.0 | 18.5 | 7.6 | 30.0 | 37.9 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 18.4 | 50.0 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 15.8 | 31.6 | 34.2 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 39.5 | 39.5 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 3.7 | 14.8 | 7.4 | 40.7 | 33.3 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 29.6 | 3.7 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 48.1 |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | 6.9 | 25.6 | 30.0 | 32.5 | 5.0 | 8.1 | 53.8 | 15.0 | 15.6 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 45.0 | 11.3 | 25.6 | 10.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 10.0 | 16.2 | 33.3 | 37.1 | 3.3 | 10.0 | 40.5 | 15.2 | 25.7 | 8.6 | 10.5 | 31.0 | 13.3 | 28.6 | 16.7 |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 31.0 | 48.7 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 30.5 | 20.3 | 36.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 25.7 | 16.0 | 33.7 | 18.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 26.5 | 41.2 | 6.4 | 14.2 | 27.9 | 14.7 | 32.4 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 24.0 | 10.8 | 30.4 | 22.1 |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 9.1 | 36.4 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 54.5 |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 17.9 | 53.2 | 19.0 | 3.8 | 15.3 | 11.2 | 36.6 | 33.1 | 4.0 | 15.0 | 7.1 | 30.9 | 43.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 15.2 | 60.6 | 15.6 | 2.5 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 40.1 | 32.2 | 3.2 | 11.4 | 5.6 | 35.2 | 44.5 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | 12.3 | 17.8 | 41.1 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 43.8 | 19.2 | 21.9 | 1.4 | 8.2 | 45.2 | 19.2 | 24.7 | 2.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 19.7 | 24.6 | 41.0 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 42.6 | 14.8 | 18.0 | 1.6 | 21.3 | 44.3 | 13.1 | 21.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | 7.9 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 55.9 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 58.4 | 11.4 | 17.3 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 11.8 | 32.4 | 34.3 | 21.1 | 0.5 | 12.3 | 49.5 | 16.2 | 17.6 | 4.4 | 14.2 | 46.6 | 10.3 | 21.6 | 7.4 |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 8.1 | 16.9 | 30.9 | 38.3 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 38.5 | 18.9 | 26.4 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 35.8 | 12.2 | 31.1 | 13.5 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 8.6 | 16.3 | 29.3 | 42.1 | 3.7 | 9.5 | 33.3 | 15.6 | 32.8 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 28.0 | 11.2 | 33.0 | 18.7 |
| KUSD | All Students | 2005-06 | 4.6 | 8.3 | 20.7 | 50.4 | 16.0 | 4.5 | 21.0 | 12.8 | 34.3 | 27.3 | 4.8 | 19.1 | 8.5 | 30.9 | 36.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 5.1 | 8.5 | 19.0 | 54.4 | 13.0 | 5.1 | 18.2 | 13.6 | 37.2 | 26.0 | 5.5 | 15.9 | 7.3 | 33.4 | 37.9 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 1.6 | 7.8 | 16.3 |  |  | 2.3 | 16.3 | 9.3 |  |  | 2.3 | 14.8 | 6.2 |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 |  | na |  | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 1.3 | 6.7 | 14.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 14.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 12.7 | 5.3 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.9 | 4.4 | 9.3 |  |  | 1.3 | 9.3 | 5.3 |  |  | 1.3 | 8.4 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | 2009-10 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.4 | 2.2 | 4.7 |  |  | 0.7 | 4.7 | 2.7 |  |  | 0.7 | 4.2 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 |  |  | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Wisconsin |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2005-06 \\ 2006-07 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.5 \\ & 71.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.7 \\ & 71.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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BOSE ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "To successfully educate all students to reach their fullest intellectual, academic, social/emotional, and physical potential."

Teresa Giampietro, Principal
1900-15th Street
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 597-4044

## School Profile

| Nickname | Bose Bobcats <br> School Mascot <br> Bobcat <br> Grades |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{337}$ |
| PreSchool | 21 | 339 |
| Kindergarten | 59 | 56 |
| Grade 1 | 58 | 51 |
| Grade 2 | 51 | 52 |
| Grade 3 | 53 | 60 |
| Grade 4 | 51 | 48 |
| Grade 5 | 44 | 49 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 15.4 | 18.0 |
| Grade 4-5 | 23.8 | 24.3 |
| Grades K-5 | 17.3 | 19.6 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Houghton Mifflin, Six Traits Writing |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 45.4 | 45.4 |
| Male | 54.6 | 54.6 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| African Am | 15.7 | 16.0 |
| Hispanic | 13.9 | 13.4 |
| Native Am | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| White | 68.0 | 67.1 |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 19.0 | 17.5 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 50.1 | 51.3 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Bose Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 30.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $54.10 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $3.28 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.54 | 95.22 | 95.05 | 95.19 | 95.46 | 95.73 | 96.00 |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.35 | 97.23 | 97.36 | 97.45 | 97.63 | 97.82 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development Days | 0.67 | 0.59 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^16]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category

| Grade 4 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
| Bose | Female | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 47.1 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 41.2 | 52.9 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 22.7 | 45.5 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 54.5 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 45.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 70.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 38.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 22.2 | 33.3 | 27.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 38.9 | 44.4 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 14.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 71.4 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 52.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 52.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 28.0 | 68.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 20.7 | 41.4 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 27.6 | 44.8 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 27.6 | 58.6 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 53.3 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 46.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 26.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 46.7 | 40.0 |
| Bose | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 10.8 | 54.1 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 27.0 | 48.6 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 32.4 | 62.2 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 20.0 | 47.5 | 15.0 | 2.5 | 20.0 | 27.5 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 35.0 | 50.0 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 |  | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 2.3 | 9.2 |  | 8.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 9.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 1.9 | 7.9 |  | . 2 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 7.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na |  | na | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.2 |  | 3.5 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 5.2 |  | . 1 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  | . 4 |
|  | 2009-10 | AYP | na | na | na |  | na | na | na | na |  | a | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 |  | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.6 |  | . 1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  | . 7 |
|  | 2010-11 | $\begin{array}{r\|} \text { AYP } \\ \text { District } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | a | na 0.0 | na 0.0 | na 0.0 |  | a |
|  |  | $2005-06$$2006-07$$2005-06$$2006-07$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 77.6 \\ & 74.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \\ & 71.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 88.9 |  |
| KUSD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | VIN | 90.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  | 79.8 |  |  |  |  |  | VIIN | 78.3 |  |  | (1) | VIIIN |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  |  |  | . 4 |  |  | VIIIm |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## BROMPTON ELEMENTARY

Mission: "To provide an educational choice to parents of the District who believe and support the school philosophy."

Patricia Jones, Director
7951 36th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53142
(262) 942-2191

## School Profile

| Grades | K - 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 95 | 101 |
| Kindergarten | 18 | 17 |
| Grade 1 | 16 | 17 |
| Grade 2 | 18 | 17 |
| Grade 3 | 17 | 17 |
| Grade 4 | 16 | 17 |
| Grade 5 | 10 | 16 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 17.3 | 17.0 |
| Grade 4-5 | 13.0 | 16.5 |
| Grades K-5 | 15.8 | 16.8 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| The Brompton School |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 61.1 | 54.5 |
| Male | 38.9 | 45.5 |
| Asian | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| African Am | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| Hispanic | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| Native Am | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White | 87.4 | 87.1 |
| Special Ed | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 11.6 | 10.9 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Brompton | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 6.6 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $0.00 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 97.38 | 97.25 | 97.18 | 97.23 | 97.32 | 97.41 | 97.50 |
| Average Daily AttendanceTeachers | 98.63 | 98.04 | 98.19 | 98.20 | 98.22 | 98.23 | 98.25 |
| Average Professional Development Days | 0.0 | 0.11 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof = Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced

* To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## COLUMBUS ELEMENTARY

Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "The mission of Columbus Elementary School, an intimate neighborhood community of respectful learners, is to ensure that each child's intrinsic worth and potential is maximized by reflecting on instructional practices, creating authentic educational opportunities and embracing differences in collaboration with students, families, community and staff."

Alicia Hribal, Principal
6410 25th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6242

## School Profile

| Nickname | Columbus Crusaders |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Mascot |  |  |
| Grades | PK - 5 |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\frac{2005-06}{239}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{238}$ |
| PreSchool | 28 | 24 |
| Kindergarten | 38 | 33 |
| Grade 1 | 38 | 42 |
| Grade 2 | 35 | 28 |
| Grade 3 | 30 | 41 |
| Grade 4 | 35 | 31 |
| Grade 5 | 35 | 39 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ Grades K-3 | 17.6 | 18.0 |
| Grade 4-5 | 17.5 | 23.3 |
| Grades K-5 | 17.6 | 19.5 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| McGraw Hill |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 60.7 | 49.4 |
| Male | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian | 34.3 | 37.6 |
| African Am | 21.3 | 20.3 |
| Hispanic | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Native Am | 43.9 | 41.4 |
| White | 17.6 | 19.4 |
| Special Ed | 9.2 | 9.7 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 78.2 | 74.7 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Columbus Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 18.1 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $58.01 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.0 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 94.64 | 94.35 | 94.55 | 94.69 | 94.96 | 95.23 | 95.50 |
| Average Daily AttendanceTeachers | 96.10 | 97.06 | 97.35 | 97.45 | 97.63 | 97.82 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 2.33 | 2.07 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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| Grade 4 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof |  | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
|  | Female | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 75.0 |  | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 |  | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 33.3 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 20.0 | 53.3 |  | 3.3 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 73.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 33.3 | 53.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 50.0 |  | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 25.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 |  | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 75.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 55.0 |  | 5.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 25.0 | 65.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 55.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 72.7 |  | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 72.7 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
|  | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 17.4 | 60.9 |  | 3.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 26.1 | 65.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 39.1 | 52.2 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 68.4 |  | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 78.9 |  | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 42.1 | 47.4 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na |  | na |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.5 |  | . 5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 | AYP | na | na | na |  | a |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na |  | na |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.7 |  | 8.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |  |  |
|  | 2009-10 | AYP | na | na | na |  | na |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 |  | 4.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | na | na |  |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| KUSD | $\begin{aligned} & 2005-06 \\ & 2006-07 \\ & 2005-06 \\ & 2006-07 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 77.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.5 |  |  |  |  | 88.9 |  |
|  |  |  | 74.8 |  |  | VINS | 71.3 |  |  |  |  | 90.2 |  |
| Wisconsin |  |  | 79.8 |  | SIS | VIN ${ }_{\text {N }}$ | 78.3 |  |  |  |  | 91.5 |  |
|  |  |  | 76.9 |  |  | VIII这 |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

Proficiency Levels
NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## DIMENSIONS OF LEARNING ACADEMY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Our vision is to nurture students so that they learn to think for themselves. They will be encouraged to do their own research, analyze what they have found, and come to their own conclusions. We are committed to teaching students to think, not simply to memorize and then forget. They will learn how to learn, discovering that the process of learning can and should be as natural as breathing.

Diana Pearson, Director
6218 25th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 605-6849

| School Profile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nickname <br> School Mascot Grades | Dimensions Dolphins Dolphin |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | K-8 |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 198 | 203 |
| Kindergarten | 18 | 20 |
| Grade 1 | 19 | 19 |
| Grade 2 | 22 | 22 |
| Grade 3 | 21 | 22 |
| Grade 4 | 22 | 24 |
| Grade 5 | 25 | 24 |
| Grade 6 | 25 | 26 |
| Grade 7 | 22 | 26 |
| Grade 8 | 24 | 20 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 20.0 | 20.8 |
| Grade 4-5 | 23.5 | 24.0 |
| Grades 6-8 | 23.7 | 24.0 |
| Grades K-8 | 22.0 | 22.6 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: Dimensions of Learning |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 51.0 | 47.8 |
| Male | 4.5 | 52.2 |
| Asian | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| African Am | 14.6 | 14.8 |
| Hispanic | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Native Am | 74.7 | 72.4 |
| White | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Special Ed | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 16.7 | 16.3 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | DOLA | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 12 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $58.33 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{- 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 96.22 | 96.24 | 96.25 | 96.36 | 96.57 | 96.79 | 97.00 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.56 | 96.33 | 96.85 | 97.02 | 97.34 | 97.67 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 2.11 | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## DIMENSIONS OF LEARNING ELEMENTARY

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)
Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category

| Grade 4 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
|  | Female | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 72.7 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.4 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 35.3 | 58.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 64.7 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 76.5 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 95.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 88.9 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  |  | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 96.2 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 | District | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 97.5 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 93.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  |  | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 98.7 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 96.8 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  | 2009-10 | District | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |  |
| KUSD |  | 2005-06 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 77.6 \\ 74.8 \\ 79.8 \\ 76.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \\ & 71.3 \\ & 78.3 \\ & 77.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2006-07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin |  | 2005-06 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2006-07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced

[^28]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^29]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category

| Grade 6 | Student Group | Year | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | nT | Min | Bas | Prof |  | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
|  | Female | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 |  | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 |  | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 |  | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 42.9 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 |  | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Black | 2005-06 |  | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 |  | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 38.5 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 44.4 | 50.0 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 |  | 8.6 | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * |  | * |  |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 |  | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 47.4 | 42.1 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 9.1 |  | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 40.9 | 54.5 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na | ${ }_{96}^{67.5}$ |  |  | na | na | na3.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 \\ & 96.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 2006-07 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{aligned} & 74.0 \\ & 96.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | na | na | na3.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 2007-08 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | AYP | na | na | na | 74.097.8 |  |  | na | na | na2.2 | 58.097.8 |  |
|  | 2008-09 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | na | na | na | 74.0 |  |  | na | na | na1.1 | 58.098.9 |  |
|  | 2009-10 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | na |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.5 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 2010-11 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| KUSD |  | 2005-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2006-07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin |  | 2005-06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2006-07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced

[^30]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^31]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category

| Grade 8 | Student Group | Year | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof |  | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
|  | Female | $2005-06$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 |  | 53.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |  | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 |
|  | Male | ${ }^{2005-06}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |  | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{25.0}$ | 75.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 |  | 62.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
|  | Asian | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | $2006-07$ | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | $2006-07$ | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | ния | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | $2005-06$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 |  | 68.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 |  | 57.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | ${ }^{2006-07}$ | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 |  | 60.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 60.9 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 |  | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 44.4 |
| Target Goals |  | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} 67.5 .5 \\ 966.1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | na | na | na3.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 47.5 .5 \\ & 96.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 2006-07 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 96.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | na | na | na3.3 | 58.096.7 |  |
|  | 2007-08 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 97.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | na | na | na2.2 | 58.097.8 |  |
|  | 2008-09 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | AYP |  | na | na | 74.098.9 |  |  |  | na | na | 58.098.9 |  |
|  | 2009-10 | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |  | 8.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | na | na | na | $\begin{gathered} 68.5 \\ 100.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| KUSD <br> Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced

[^32]Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)
Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^33]

## DURKEE ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "The school will visibly demonstrate a positive learning environment formed by a partnership among staff, parents, students, and members of the community. This will contribute to our students' cognitive, social, emotional, and physical learning experiences."

## Daniel Weyrauch, Principal

839 62nd Street
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6307

## School Profile

| Nickname | Durkee Dragons <br> School Mascot <br> Braggin' Dragon <br> K-5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 158 | 160 |
| Kindergarten | 25 | 23 |
| Grade 1 | 30 | 28 |
| Grade 2 | 21 | 32 |
| Grade 3 | 28 | 27 |
| Grade 4 | 24 | 28 |
| $\quad$ Grade 5 | 30 | 22 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ Grades K-3 | 13.0 | 13.8 |
| Grade 4-5 | 18.0 | 25.0 |
| Grades K-5 | 14.4 | 16.0 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Success for All Model |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 57.0 | 50.6 |
| Male | 43.0 | 49.4 |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| African Am | 59.5 | 53.8 |
| Hispanic | 13.3 | 16.9 |
| Native Am | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| White | 27.2 | 28.8 |
| Special Ed | 5.7 | 11.9 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 84.8 | 85.0 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

| Teacher Qualifications (2006-07) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Durkee Elementary |  |  | KUSD |  |  |  |
| Number of Teachers |  | 14 |  |  | 1499.3 |  |  |  |
| \% of Teachers With Advanced Degrees |  | 85.71\% |  |  | 60.13\% |  |  |  |
| \% of Teachers With Emergency or Provisional Licenses |  | 0.00\% |  |  | 5.34\% |  |  |  |
| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 |  | 2005-06 |  | 2006-07 |
| I. Test Participation |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator (Attendance) |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| III. Reading |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: |  |  | Satisfactory |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |  | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal |  | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 94.47 | 94.67 | 94.77 | 94.87 | 95.08 |  | 95.29 | 95.50 |
| Average Daily AttendanceTeachers | 96.74 | 97.15 | 96.64 | 96.84 |  | 7.23 | 97.61 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development Days | 2.75 | 2.30 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^37]Mission:"The mission of Edward Bain School of Language and Art in partnership with family and community, is to promote high academic standards and respect for racial, cultural, creative, artistic and linguistic diversity through cooperative primary and second language acquisition, promotion of the arts and early childhood interventions. Our ultimate aim is to provide invaluable head-starts to each and every member of our learning community."

Scott Kennow, Principal
2600 50th Street
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 605-2300

## School Profile

| Nickname | EBSOLA Eagles <br> Eagle with Wagon-Wheel <br> School Mascot <br> Sun |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Grades | PK - 5 |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Preschool | 129 | 757 |
| Kindergarten | 122 | 95 |
| Grade 1 | 132 | 119 |
| Grade 2 | 101 | 124 |
| Grade 3 | 104 | 94 |
| Grade 4 | 90 | 93 |
| Grade 5 | 96 | 95 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 16.7 | 15.2 |
| Grade 4-5 | 23.4 | 22.5 |
| Grades K-5 | 18.4 | 16.7 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |

School Instructional Model: Reading First, Dual Language, Fine Arts, Early Childhood, HeadStart, Houghton Mifflin, Everyday Math

Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{46.7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 53.3 | 52.1 |
| Male | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian | 22.4 | 22.7 |
| African Am | 47.7 | 49.7 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Am | 29.9 | 27.6 |
| White | 21.2 | 18.5 |
| Special Ed | 35.6 | 37.6 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 73.7 | 72.9 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | EBSOLA | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 68 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $57.35 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $10.29 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 93.23 | 93.92 | 93.78 | 93.89 | 94.09 | 94.30 | 94.50 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.63 | 96.91 | 96.30 | 96.54 | 97.03 | 97.51 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.0 | 1.24 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^38]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^39]Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced

[^40]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^41]

FOREST PARK ELEMENTARY
Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "To successfully educate all students by establishing a strong foundation for lifelong learning, enabling them to lead a fulfilling, productive life in a rapidly changing global society."

Gary Gayan, Principal
6810 45th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53142
(262) 653-6319

## School Profile

| Nickname | Forest Park Falcons |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Mascot | Falcon |  |
| Grades | PK - 5 |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| PreSchool | 478 | 506 |
| Kindergarten | 77 | 20 |
| Grade 1 | 84 | 83 |
| Grade 2 | 75 | 74 |
| Grade 3 | 73 | 91 |
| Grade 4 | 83 | 79 |
| Grade 5 | 67 | 85 |
| Average Class Size | 22.2 | 23.4 |
| Grades K-3 | 25.0 | 22.7 |
| Grade 4-5 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
| Grades K-5 | No | No |
| Title 1 School |  |  |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| McGraw Hill, Scholastic Reader, |  |  |
| Renaissance Learning |  |  |
| Student Groups |  |  |


|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 48.1 | 50.0 |
| Male | 51.9 | 50.0 |
| Asian | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| African Am | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| Hispanic | 10.0 | 11.9 |
| Native Am | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| White | 83.3 | 81.0 |
| Special Ed | 14.2 | 13.2 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 4.2 | 3.4 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 28.2 | 30.8 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Forest Park Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 32.9 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $63.83 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $1.22 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |



|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.30 | 95.40 | 95.54 | 95.68 | 95.95 | 96.23 | 96.50 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.15 | 97.20 | 96.61 | 96.81 | 97.20 | 97.60 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.38 | 0.91 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Gordon Hess, Principal

1816 57th Street
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 653-6324

| School Profile |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nickname Frank Colonels  <br> School Mascot Colonel Frank  <br> Grades PK - 5  <br>  $\underline{2005-06}$ $\underline{2006-07}$ <br> Total Enrollment 496 464 <br> PreSchool 30 28 <br> Kindergarten 84 72 <br> Grade 1 88 81 <br> Grade 2 83 75 <br> Grade 3 71 83 <br> Grade 4 69 61 <br> Grade 5 71 64 <br> Average Class Size   <br> Grades K-3 17.0 18.1 <br> Grade 4-5 20.8 19.6 <br> Grades K-5 18.0 18.5 <br> Title 1 School Yes Yes <br> School Instructional Model:   <br> Direct Instruction, Open Court   |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 50.6 | 51.9 |
| Male | 49.4 | 48.1 |
| Asian | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| African Am | 39.1 | 42.2 |
| Hispanic | 50.2 | 48.9 |
| Native Am | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| White | 10.1 | 8.6 |
| Special Ed | 12.1 | 9.9 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 31.5 | 33.6 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 88.9 | 87.5 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

## FRANK ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Frank Elementary School promotes high levels of achievement in our diverse student population by instilling positive attitudes toward learning and living in a multicultural society."

## Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Frank Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 38.2 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $68.59 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $5.24 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
|  | 95.07 | 94.08 | 93.90 | 93.99 | 94.16 | 94.33 | 94.50 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 97.30 | 97.27 | 97.59 | 97.65 | 97.77 | 97.88 | 98.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 9.21 | 1.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.21 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## GRANT ELEMENTARY

Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "The mission of Grant Elementary School, an educational community, is to empower students, ensuring life long learning in a global society by providing a safe, nurturing environment which includes challenging opportunities that allow all children to reach their fullest potential."

## Lisa KC, Principal

1716 35th Street
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 653-6346

## School Profile

| School Mascot Grades | "Spirit" the Tiger PK - 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 324 | 329 |
| PreSchool | 15 | 10 |
| Kindergarten | 51 | 43 |
| Grade 1 | 66 | 57 |
| Grade 2 | 53 | 61 |
| Grade 3 | 49 | 61 |
| Grade 4 | 45 | 48 |
| Grade 5 | 45 | 49 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 21.3 | 19.6 |
| Grade 4-5 | 22.5 | 24.3 |
| Grades K-5 | 21.6 | 20.9 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Houghton Mifflin |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 48.8 | 47.4 |
| Male | 1.2 | 52.6 |
| Asian | 22.5 | 17.8 |
| African Am | 17.3 | 21.0 |
| Hispanic | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Native Am | 58.6 | 59.6 |
| White | 8.3 | 10.0 |
| Special Ed | 6.8 | 9.1 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 53.4 | 53.5 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Grant Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 23 | 1499.3 |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $63.04 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
|  |  | 94.88 | 94.58 | 95.14 | 95.26 | 95.51 | 95.75 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 96.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.18 | 96.56 | 97.27 | 97.37 | 97.58 | 97.79 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 2.60 | 3.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Susan Valeri, Principal

7714 20th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6362

## School Profile

| Nickname School Mascot Grades | Grewenow Dragon Didley the Dragon PK - 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 380 | 386 |
| PreSchool | 17 | 19 |
| Kindergarten | 75 | 80 |
| Grade 1 | 61 | 70 |
| Grade 2 | 54 | 57 |
| Grade 3 | 63 | 56 |
| Grade 4 | 53 | 54 |
| Grade 5 | 57 | 50 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 21.1 | 20.2 |
| Grade 4-5 | 27.5 | 26.0 |
| Grades K-5 | 22.7 | 21.6 |
| Title 1 School | No | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: Houghton Mifflin |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 52.4 | 44.6 |
| Male | 57.6 | 55.4 |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| African Am | 7.1 | 8.8 |
| Hispanic | 14.5 | 15.8 |
| Native Am | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White | 78.4 | 75.4 |
| Special Ed | 16.3 | 16.8 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 41.3 | 40.9 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Grewenow Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 27 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $44.44 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $7.41 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 94.59 | 94.55 | 94.70 | 94.81 | 95.04 | 95.27 | 95.50 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.90 | 97.03 | 96.61 | 96.81 | 97.21 | 97.60 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.03 | 0.48 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^57]HARVEY ELEMENTARY
Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "Our mission at Harvey School is to provide a safe and successful learning environment that focuses on student needs where everyone, including parents, teachers, and the educational community, encourages and challenges all students to be independent and responsible life-long learners who will positively contribute to our ever-changing society."

## Starlynn Daley, Principal

2012 19th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 597-4040

| Nickname | Harvey Lions <br> School Mascot <br> Lion <br> Grades | PK - 5 <br>  <br> Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PreSchool 440  <br> Kindergarten 45 446 <br> Grade 1 67 40 <br> Grade 2 62 67 <br> Grade 3 68 69 <br> Grade 4 65 68 <br> Grade 5 62 63 <br> Average Class Size 71 65 <br> Grades K-3 21.9 24.3 <br> Grade 4-5 24.8 22.5 <br> Grades K-5 22.7 23.8 <br> Title 1 School No No <br> School Instructional Model: Literacy Collabo-   <br> rative Model, Six Traits Writing   |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 50.5 | 50.7 |
| Male | 49.5 | 49.3 |
| Asian | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| African Am | 8.6 | 10.3 |
| Hispanic | 13.0 | 16.6 |
| Native Am | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| White | 76.4 | 71.5 |
| Special Ed | 13.0 | 11.9 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 4.3 | 6.7 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 33.2 | 35.9 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Harvey Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 28 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $67.86 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5} \mathbf{- 0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
|  |  | 95.43 | 95.85 | 95.94 | 96.13 | 96.31 | 96.50 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.93 | 95.02 | 97.48 | 96.98 | 97.12 | 97.42 | 97.71 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.08 .00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.58 | 2.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: In the Thomas Jefferson Elementary Learning community, we believe that all students will exhibit academic excellence and social responsibility."

## Pamela Whyte, Principal

1832 43rd Street
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 653-6390

## School Profile

| Nickname | Jefferson Jaguars <br> School Mascot <br> Jaguar |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | PK - 5 |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| PreSchool | 19 | 351 |
| Kindergarten | 67 | 28 |
| Grade 1 | 61 | 67 |
| Grade 2 | 50 | 59 |
| Grade 3 | 47 | 48 |
| Grade 4 | 46 | 50 |
| Grade 5 | 51 | 44 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 18.8 | 20.9 |
| Grade 4-5 | 24.3 | 23.5 |
| Grades K-5 | 20.1 | 21.6 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Different Ways of Knowing |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{50.4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 52.6 | 47.4 |
| Male | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Asian | 30.8 | 29.3 |
| African Am | 25.5 | 27.6 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Native Am | 43.1 | 42.5 |
| White | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| Special Ed | 9.1 | 10.9 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 75.1 | 75.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Jefferson Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 27 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $37.04 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{- 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
|  | 94.51 | 93.33 | 94.15 | 94.27 | 94.52 | 94.76 | 95.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 97.13 | 96.67 | 97.09 | 97.22 | 97.48 | 97.74 | 98.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.77 | 2.23 |  | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^65]
## THOMAS B. JEFFERY ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Our mission at Jeffery Elementary School is to provide a child-centered environment where all students are provided the opportunity to become responsible citizens and productive life-long learners."

Peter Pingitore, Sr., Principal
4011 87th Street
Kenosha, WI 53142
(262) 942-2100

## School Profile

| Nickname | Jeffery Gremlins <br> "Jeffy" the Gremlin |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Mascot |  |  |
| Grades | K - 5 |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Kindergarten | 389 | 400 |
| Grade 1 | 68 | 41 |
| Grade 2 | 72 | 60 |
| Grade 3 | 69 | 72 |
| Grade 4 | 69 | 77 |
| Grade 5 | 64 | 79 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 21.3 | 22.7 |
| Grade 4-5 | 22.2 | 25.0 |
| Grades K-5 | 21.6 | 23.5 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |

School Instructional Model: Six Traits Writing, Houghton Mifflin, Accelerated Reader

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 48.8 | 47.0 |
| Male | 51.2 | 53.0 |
| Asian | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| African Am | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| Hispanic | 6.2 | 8.3 |
| Native Am | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| White | 88.2 | 85.5 |
| Special Ed | 11.8 | 12.0 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 20.1 | 21.8 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Jeffery Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 25 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $80.00 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $4.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}-\mathbf{0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  2005-06 $2006-07$ 2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.81 | 95.91 | 95.70 | 95.81 | 96.04 | 96.27 | 96.50 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.38 | 96.84 | 96.86 | 97.02 | 97.35 | 97.67 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.58 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^69] LINCOLN ELEMENTARY

Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "Lincoln Elementary School is a child-centered family of learners. We are comprised of an active network of students, staff, family, and community members dedicated to preparing students for success in an ever-changing world. We are committed to creating and nurturing a culture that promises life-long learning and responsible citizenship."

## Dan Weyrauch, Principal

6811 18th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6395

## School Profile

| Nickname School Mascot Grades | Lincoln Lions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lion |  |
|  | PK - 5 |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 261 | 278 |
| PreSchool | 13 | 11 |
| Kindergarten | 43 | 42 |
| Grade 1 | 40 | 42 |
| Grade 2 | 33 | 48 |
| Grade 3 | 42 | 40 |
| Grade 4 | 45 | 50 |
| Grade 5 | 45 | 45 |
| Average Class Siz |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 14.4 | 19.1 |
| Grade 4-5 | 20.5 | 22.5 |
| Grades K-5 | 16.0 | 20.2 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 55.4 | 45.5 |
| Male | 0.4 | 54.5 |
| Asian | 48.1 | 45.1 |
| African Am | 26.9 | 31.0 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Native Am | 24.6 | 23.5 |
| White | 21.2 | 20.2 |
| Special Ed | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 76.9 | 76.5 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Lincoln Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 24 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $58.33 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $4.17 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
|  |  | 93.28 | 93.21 | 93.42 | 93.57 | 93.88 | 94.19 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 94.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.78 | 96.86 | 97.08 | 97.21 | 97.47 | 97.74 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.75 | 1.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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McKINLEY ELEMENTARY
Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "McKinley Elementary School is dedicated to the growth of each child. We invite parents to be full partners with us as we nourish your children with knowledge, skills, and concepts. We promise to tend to their education lovingly and we know that you will send them to us ready to learn and grow."

## David Newman, Principal

5520 32nd Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-6002

## School Profile

| Nickname | Jaguar Cubs <br> School Mascot <br> McCubby <br> Grades | K-5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 275 | 270 |
| PreSchool | 0 | 0 |
| Kindergarten | 44 | 34 |
| Grade 1 | 50 | 40 |
| Grade 2 | 54 | 50 |
| Grade 3 | 38 | 48 |
| Grade 4 | 49 | 45 |
| Grade 5 | 40 | 53 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 16.9 | 14.3 |
| Grade 4-5 | 22.3 | 23.3 |
| Grades K-5 | 18.3 | 16.6 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |

School Instructional Model: Direct Instruction, Corrective Reading

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 50.1 | 45.2 |
| Male | 0.4 | 54.8 |
| Asian | 18.5 | 18.7 |
| African Am | 20.4 | 21.1 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Am | 60.7 | 60.0 |
| White | 13.1 | 13.7 |
| Special Ed | 12.7 | 13.7 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 61.1 | 67.8 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | McKinley Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 24.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $55.10 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $4.08 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

## Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 94.93 | 93.27 | 95.11 | 95.23 | 95.49 | 95.74 | 96.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.46 | 97.35 | 97.06 | 97.19 | 97.46 | 97.73 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.69 | 0.88 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## PLEASANT PRAIRIE ELEMENTARY Report Card

Mission: "We exist to prepare our students to become productive citizens in an ever-changing global society. Our primary function is to teach academic skills, problem solving, social skills, communication, world citizenship, and an acceptance of individuals and their differences. We have a diverse and talented school community (students, parents, and staff) that compliment one another by providing an integrated and inclusive learning environment. Students will be challenged with a multi faceted, innovative curriculum in a positive atmosphere. Students will leave Pleasant Prairie with a continuing commitment to learn, a belief in themselves, and respect for others so that they can 'Let the Spark of Learning Glow'."

Timothy J. Elsen, Principal 9208 Wilmot Road
Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158 (262) 942-2104

## School Profile

| Nickname | Pleasant Prairie <br> Prairie Dogs <br> Prairie Dog |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Mascot | K-5 |  |
| Grades | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 694 | 726 |
| Kindergarten | 111 | 117 |
| Grade 1 | 122 | 122 |
| Grade 2 | 112 | 122 |
| Grade 3 | 93 | 114 |
| Grade 4 | 138 | 105 |
| Grade 5 | 118 | 146 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 20.9 | 23.8 |
| Grade 4-5 | 25.6 | 27.9 |
| Grades K-5 | 22.4 | 25.0 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Literacy Collaborative |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 46.8 | 46.8 |
| Male | 53.2 | 53.2 |
| Asian | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| African Am | 9.4 | 11.4 |
| Hispanic | 10.5 | 11.4 |
| Native Am | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| White | 74.5 | 71.5 |
| Special Ed | 9.1 | 10.5 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 6.3 | 5.5 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 19.3 | 19.1 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Pleasant Prairie <br> Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 39.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $73.42 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 96.45 | 96.55 | 96.59 | 96.65 | 96.76 | 96.88 | 97.00 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.66 | 96.85 | 97.15 | 97.27 | 97.51 | 97.76 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.44 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^81] PRAIRIE LANE ELEMENTARY

Mission: "Our mission at Prairie Lane is to provide a safe, positive, and nurturing environment in which individual needs and strengths are considered, recognized and respected, and everyone is encouraged, challenged, and empowered to become independent and responsible life-long learners who are able to succeed in a changing society."

## Sharon Thomas, Principal

10717 47th Avenue
Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158
(262) 925-1600

## School Profile

| School Mascot | $\begin{array}{l}\text { A Golden Eagle } \\ \text { Grades }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | K - 5 |  |$]$.

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 47.8 | 49.3 |
| Male | 52.2 | 50.7 |
| Asian | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| African Am | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| Hispanic | 7.7 | 9.3 |
| Native Am | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| White | 87.5 | 86.1 |
| Special Ed | 7.9 | 7.7 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 7.0 | 4.6 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 17.8 | 18.1 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Prairie Lane Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 25 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $64.00 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $4.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.98 | 95.91 | 96.19 | 96.31 | 96.54 | 96.77 | 97.00 |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 95.75 | 96.53 | 95.60 | 95.95 | 96.63 | 97.32 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.31 | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^85]Mission: "We are a school where everyone feels safe while working together to learn and succeed."

## Karen Davis, Principal

3322 Roosevelt Road Kenosha, WI 53142 (262) 653-6097

## School Profile

| Nickname | Home of Ted E. Bear <br> Ted E. Bear |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| School Mascot |  |  |
| Grades | K-5 <br> 2005-06 | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 396 | 370 |
| Kindergarten | 47 | 54 |
| Grade 1 | 60 | 49 |
| Grade 2 | 64 | 75 |
| Grade 3 | 68 | 62 |
| Grade 4 | 74 | 64 |
| Grade 5 | 83 | 66 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 15.1 | 17.6 |
| Grade 4-5 | 21.8 | 22.5 |
| Grades K-5 | 16.9 | 18.9 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |

School Instructional Model: Pat Cunningham's Four Block Literacy Model, Renzulli's Schoolwide Enrichment

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 59.7 | 45.9 |
| Male | 2.3 | 54.1 |
| Asian | 6.8 | 7.0 |
| African Am | 9.8 | 10.5 |
| Hispanic | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| Native Am | 80.8 | 78.4 |
| White | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Special Ed | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 29.3 | 31.1 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Roosevelt Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 24.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $71.43 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 96.39 | 96.05 | 96.69 | 96.73 | 96.82 | 96.91 | 97.00 |
| Average Daily AttendanceTeachers | 97.68 | 98.08 | 97.18 | 97.30 | 97.53 | 97.77 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.06 | 1.56 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^88]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^89]
## Debra Schaefer, Principal

1245 72nd Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 859-3200

## School Profile

| Nickname | Eagles <br> School Mascot <br> Eagle <br> K - 5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 602 | 639 |
| Kindergarten | 106 | 122 |
| Grade 1 | 116 | 107 |
| Grade 2 | 98 | 113 |
| Grade 3 | 108 | 99 |
| Grade 4 | 79 | 112 |
| Grade 5 | 95 | 86 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ Grades K-3 | 23.8 | 23.1 |
| Grade 4-5 | 21.8 | 27.9 |
| Grades K-5 | 23.2 | 24.6 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Literacy Collaborative, Houghton Mifflin |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 54.0 | 43.0 |
| Male | 1.3 | 57.0 |
| Asian | 4.3 | 6.1 |
| African Am | 7.6 | 7.0 |
| Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Native Am | 86.5 | 85.3 |
| White | 9.6 | 9.4 |
| Special Ed | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 16.9 | 15.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Somers Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 35.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $73.24 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
|  | 96.26 | 96.24 | 96.37 | 96.46 | 96.64 | 96.82 | 97.00 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 97.06 | 96.18 | 96.89 | 97.05 | 97.37 | 97.68 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 9.42 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.4 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^92]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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SOUTHPORT ELEMENTARY
Report Card
2006-07

Mission: "To teach each and every child to realize his or her full potential and become a responsible and productive citizen and a life-long learner who is able to use technology effectively and appreciate the multi-cultural society in which we live as we prepare for the global challenges of the twenty-first century."

Vicky Gabriel, Principal
723 76th Street
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6309

## School Profile

| Nickname School Mascot Grades | Southport Sabers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Saber-Toothed Tiger |  |
|  | PK - 5 |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 457 | 456 |
| PreSchool | 18 | 16 |
| Kindergarten | 72 | 70 |
| Grade 1 | 77 | 79 |
| Grade 2 | 73 | 72 |
| Grade 3 | 71 | 68 |
| Grade 4 | 77 | 71 |
| Grade 5 | 69 | 80 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 20.6 | 23.6 |
| Grade 4-5 | 20.7 | 24.8 |
| Grades K-5 | 20.7 | 24.0 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Professional Learning Community |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 50.1 | 51.4 |
| Male | 49.9 | 48.6 |
| Asian | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| African Am | 7.4 | 8.8 |
| Hispanic | 11.6 | 9.2 |
| Native Am | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| White | 79.6 | 80.4 |
| Special Ed | 17.5 | 17.4 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 29.8 | 31.0 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Southport Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 30 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $66.67 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $3.33 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{- 0 4}$ | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
|  |  | 94.88 | 95.23 | 94.78 | 94.88 | 95.09 | 95.29 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.39 | 97.25 | 96.86 | 97.02 | 97.35 | 97.67 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.94 | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced

[^94]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## STOCKER ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "To create the best possible learning environment for all focusing on communication and collaboration."

## April Nelson, Principal

6315 67th Street
Kenosha, WI 53142
(262) 942-2143

## School Profile

| Nickname | Stocker Sharks <br> School Mascot <br> Shark |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | K-5 |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\frac{2005-06}{2006-07}$ |  |
| PreSchool | 608 | 661 |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 1 | 119 | 126 |
| Grade 2 | 98 | 120 |
| Grade 3 | 99 | 97 |
| Grade 4 | 104 | 103 |
| $\quad$ Grade 5 | 90 | 107 |
| Average Class Size |  | 108 |
| Grades K-3 | 23.0 | 23.4 |
| Grade 4-5 | 24.3 | 26.9 |
| $\quad$ Grades K-5 | 23.4 | 24.4 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Houghton Mifflin |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 45.0 | 45.4 |
| Male | 55.0 | 54.6 |
| Asian | 4.5 | 5.4 |
| African Am | 9.4 | 9.8 |
| Hispanic | 12.0 | 15.4 |
| Native Am | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| White | 73.1 | 68.8 |
| Special Ed | 11.6 | 11.3 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 3.5 | 6.4 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 22.3 | 24.2 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Stocker Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 40.1 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $76.31 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.68 | 95.94 | 95.91 | 95.99 | 96.16 | 96.33 | 96.50 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 95.98 | 97.35 | 96.84 | 97.01 | 97.34 | 97.67 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.58 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^99]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^100]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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Mission: The Mission of Curtis A. Strange Elementary, A learning Family including students parents, community, and staff, which values diversity and creativity, is to build a solid foundation with students in the arts and academics by focusing on meaningful individualized instruction in math and literacy while fostering mutual respect through the collaborative efforts of our family.

## Jonathan Bar-Din, Principal

5414-49th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-6024

## School Profile

| Nickname | Curtis Strange Eagles |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| School Mascot | Eagle |  |
| Grades | PK - 5 |  |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 517 | 505 |
| PreSchool | 36 | 38 |
| Kindergarten | 83 | 92 |
| Grade 1 | 90 | 74 |
| Grade 2 | 103 | 86 |
| Grade 3 | 68 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 59 | 63 |
| Grade 5 | 78 | 52 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 22.9 | 20.7 |
| Grade 4-5 | 19.6 | 19.2 |
| Grades K-5 | 21.9 | 20.3 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: Literacy |  |  |
| Collaborative, Everyday Math |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $2005-06$ $2006-07$  <br> Female 52.0 48.1 <br> Male 48.0 51.9 <br> Asian 2.3 1.8 <br> African Am 28.4 27.1 <br> Hispanic 21.9 24.6 <br> Native Am 0.2 0.6 <br> White 47.2 45.9 <br> Special Ed 10.3 12.7 <br> Eng Lang Learn 8.9 8.7 <br> Free/Red Lunch 64.4 64.8 <br> (Source :WINSS)   |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | C. Strange Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 37.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $53.33 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $5.33 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
|  | 94.59 | 94.91 | 94.25 | 94.35 | 94.57 | 94.78 | 95.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 96.88 | 97.55 | 97.11 | 97.23 | 97.49 | 97.74 | 98.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 9.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.17 | 0.93 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

# CURTIS STRANGE ELEMENTARY 

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min $=$ Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## CURTIS STRANGE ELEMENTARY

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof = Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## CURTIS STRANGE ELEMENTARY

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## JANE VERNON ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Our mission at Jane Vernon Elementary School is to educate students in a calm, safe and nurturing environment. By encouraging respect for self and others, we believe each student can grow both academically and creatively, in order to reach his/her highest potential."

## Patricia Lockhart, Principal

8518 22nd Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 942-2114

## School Profile

| Nickname | Vernon Cougars <br> School Mascot <br> Cougar <br> Grades | PK - 5 <br>  <br> Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2005-06 | $\underline{2006-07}$ |  |
| PreSchool | 477 | 495 |
| Kindergarten | 10 | 20 |
| Grade 1 | 74 | 83 |
| Grade 2 | 71 | 80 |
| Grade 3 | 64 | 84 |
| Grade 4 | 86 | 70 |
| Grade 5 | 91 | 71 |
| Average Class Size |  | 87 |
| Grades K-3 | 16.6 | 16.1 |
| Grade 4-5 | 24.0 | 22.3 |
| Grades K-5 | 18.8 | 17.8 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Literacy Collaborative |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 50.3 | 45.9 |
| Male | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Asian | 14.0 | 14.3 |
| African Am | 25.8 | 25.3 |
| Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Native Am | 58.1 | 58.6 |
| White | 17.2 | 17.6 |
| Special Ed | 26.0 | 22.4 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 54.7 | 53.9 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Vernon Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 45.3 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $68.43 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5} \mathbf{- 0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

## Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 94.83 | 94.24 | 95.28 | 95.39 | 95.59 | 95.80 | 96.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.81 | 95.71 | 96.60 | 96.80 | 97.20 | 97.60 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.32 | 0.38 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## WHITTIER ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "We are dedicated to the successful learning, growth, and maturity of all students through the cooperation of students, staff, and parents by building a caring and challenging family and school environment."

Nancy Weirick, Principal
8542 Cooper Road
Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158
(262) 942-2110

## School Profile

| Nickname | Wildcats <br> School Mascot <br> Thunder Paw, the Wildcat |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | PK - 5 |  |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 540 | 559 |
| PreSchool | 66 | 56 |
| Kindergarten | 71 | 96 |
| Grade 1 | 64 | 75 |
| Grade 2 | 78 | 75 |
| Grade 3 | 64 | 86 |
| Grade 4 | 94 | 79 |
| Grade 5 | 103 | 92 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 19.9 | 22.1 |
| Grade 4-5 | 23.6 | 23.4 |
| Grades K-5 | 21.2 | 22.5 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Houghton Mifflin |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 56.5 | 48.5 |
| Male | 0.7 | 51.5 |
| Asian | 4.4 | 6.1 |
| African Am | 6.9 | 6.7 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Am | 88.0 | 86.1 |
| White | 19.8 | 16.6 |
| Special Ed | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 13.5 | 16.6 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Whittier Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 37.9 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $70.98 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

## Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 95.90 | 95.94 | 96.21 | 96.32 | 96.55 | 96.77 | 97.00 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.39 | 96.74 | 97.47 | 97.55 | 97.70 | 97.85 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.66 | 1.28 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## WILSON ELEMENTARY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Learning is our business. Wilson Elementary School is a safe, structured learning environment where there is a common goal of excellence on the part of parents, teachers, staff, and students. The goal of our program is to create motivated students who are self-starters and work to achieve at the highest level of their potential. Anything that distracts from the pursuit of learning is damaging to our potential, future, and destiny."

## Yolanda Jackson -Lewis, Principal

4520 33rd Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-6094

## School Profile

| Nickname School Mascot Grades | The Eagles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eagle |  |
|  | K-5 |  |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| Total Enrollment | 224 | 264 |
| Kindergarten | 51 | 53 |
| Grade 1 | 43 | 64 |
| Grade 2 | 23 | 52 |
| Grade 3 | 34 | 30 |
| Grade 4 | 33 | 36 |
| Grade 5 | 40 | 29 |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| Grades K-3 | 12.2 | 14.2 |
| Grade 4-5 | 18.3 | 16.3 |
| Grades K-5 | 13.9 | 14.8 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| Direct Instruction |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 58.2 | 53.0 |
| Male | 51.8 | 47.0 |
| Asian | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| African Am | 59.8 | 47.0 |
| Hispanic | 28.6 | 43.2 |
| Native Am | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| White | 11.6 | 9.5 |
| Special Ed | 7.1 | 11.7 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 26.8 | 34.8 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 83.0 | 86.0 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Wilson Elementary | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 23.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $59.57 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $6.38 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 93.07 | 93.87 | 94.71 | 94.82 | 95.05 | 95.27 | 95.50 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.78 | 97.13 | 96.89 | 97.05 | 97.36 | 97.68 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.10 | 1.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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JOHN R. BULLEN MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Our mission is to maintain a safe school community that encourages, promotes, and empowers lifelong learning."

Kim Fischer, Principal
Brian Geiger, Interim Assistant Principal 2804 39th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 597-4460

## School Profile

| Nickname | Bullen Bobcats <br> School Mascot <br> Bobcat <br> Grades | -8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 880 | 826 |
| Grade 6 | 308 | 264 |
| Grade 7 | 272 | 308 |
| Grade 8 | 300 | 254 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| English | 24.0 | 25.3 |
| Social St | 24.1 | 25.4 |
| Math | 24.1 | 25.1 |
| Science | 24.0 | 25.3 |
| For. Lang. | 27.9 | 26.2 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |
| $\quad$ Accelerated Schools |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 57.1 | 43.1 |
| Male | 52.9 | 56.9 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 17.6 | 1.6 |
| African Am | 18.8 | 18.2 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Native Am | 62.1 | 59.3 |
| White |  |  |
|  | 13.2 | 10.9 |
| Special Ed | 8.1 | 10.7 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 42.8 | 43.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Bullen Middle | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 63.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $55.12 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $3.15 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |
| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) |  |  |

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 94.22 | 94.69 | 93.80 | 93.90 | 94.10 | 94.30 | 94.50 |  |
| Habitual Truants | 7.05 | 8.60 | 6.82 | 6.70 | 6.46 | 6.23 | 5.99 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.80 | 96.89 | 96.60 | 96.80 | 97.20 | 97.60 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.20 | 1.37 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

## JOHN R. BULLEN MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## JOHN R. BULLEN MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## JOHN R. BULLEN MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min $=$ Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## JOHN R. BULLEN MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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Bethany Ormseth, Principal Chad Dahlk, Assistant Principal 4515 80th Street
Kenosha, WI 53142
(262) 942-2240

## School Profile

| Nickname | Lance Lightning <br> School Mascot <br> Grades <br> $6-8$ | $\underline{2005-06}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 981 | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 343 | 322 |
| Grade 6 | 320 | 344 |
| Grade 7 | 318 | 351 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ English | 24.7 | 25.5 |
| Social St | 24.7 | 25.5 |
| Math | 24.7 | 25.5 |
| Science | 24.7 | 25.6 |
| For. Lang. | 26.6 | 27.8 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |
| School Instructional Model: MCREL Effective |  |  |
| School Correlates, 9 Powerful Strategies |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |  |
| Male | 51.9 | 50.0 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| African Am | 4.5 | 5.7 |
| Hispanic | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| Native Am | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| White | 85.3 | 83.2 |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 11.3 | 11.1 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 23.7 | 24.2 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

## LANCE MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Lance Middle School seeks to provide an effective educational foundation for life-long learning, to promote positive self-awareness, and to encourage students to become socially responsible members in today's society.

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Lance Middle | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 62.6 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $60.86 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $5.59 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 94.88 | 95.00 | 95.14 | 95.26 | 95.51 | 95.75 | 96.00 |  |
| Habitual Truants | 2.66 | 2.87 | 2.13 | 2.09 | 2.02 | 1.94 | 1.87 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.88 | 96.74 | 96.97 | 97.12 | 97.41 | 97.71 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.56 | 0.94 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

## LANCE MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min $=$ Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## LANCE MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min $=$ Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## LANCE MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min $=$ Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## LANCE MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## LINCOLN MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "The mission of Lincoln Middle School, a collaborative learning community valuing diversity, is to empower all students to become lifelong learners, respectful, responsible citizens, and to demonstrate academic, social, and emotional growth, using a challenging curriculum in a safe environment, so that all students become productive members in a competitive society."

Margaret Modory, Principal Earnie Llanas Assistant Principal
6729 18th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6296

## School Profile

| Nickname | Lincoln Indians <br> School Mascot <br> Lettermark <br> Grades |  |  | $6-8$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | 806 |  |  |  |
| Grade 6 | 280 | 794 |  |  |  |
| Grade 7 | 252 | 244 |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 274 | 265 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| English | 25.1 | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| Social St | 26.5 | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| Math | 26.5 | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| Science | 26.5 | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ For. Lang. | 24.9 | 27.4 |  |  |  |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| School Instructional Model: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional Learning Communities |  |  |  |  |  |


| Student Groups |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Female | 49.3 | 47.0 |
| Male | 50.7 | 53.0 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| African Am | 23.1 | 25.7 |
| Hispanic | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| Native Am | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| White | 58.8 | 56.9 |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 15.8 | 16.5 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 51.2 | 52.6 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Lincoln Middle | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 56.1 | 1499.3 |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $61.14 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $10.70 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6} \mathbf{0 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  2005-06 2006-07  2007-08 2008-09 2009-10   <br> 2010-11         <br>  Actual Actual Goal Goal Goal Goal   <br> Goal         <br> Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students 93.21 92.70 93.11 93.23 93.49 93.74   <br> Habitual Truants 11.06 11.59 12.74 12.52 12.08 11.64   <br> Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers 97.13 97.00 97.15 97.27 97.52 97.76   <br> Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days 0.77 0.90 98.00      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^126]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^127]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^128]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category

| Grade 8 | Student Group | Year | Language |  |  |  |  | Science |  |  |  |  | Social Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv | NT | Min | Bas | Prof | Adv |
| LincolnMS | Female | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 25.0 | 37.9 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 16.1 | 51.6 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 16.1 | 45.2 | 31.5 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 28.8 | 40.4 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 26.9 | 41.3 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 24.0 | 44.2 | 26.0 |
|  | Male | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 30.7 | 28.1 | 27.2 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 48.2 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 25.4 | 36.8 | 33.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.9 | 27.2 | 30.7 | 28.9 | 12.3 | 0.9 | 16.7 | 23.7 | 43.0 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 19.3 | 49.1 | 27.2 |
|  | Asian | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 2005-06 \\ 2006-07 \end{array}\right\|$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | Black | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 40.4 | 29.8 | 21.1 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 22.8 | 35.1 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 29.8 | 36.8 | 19.3 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 | 48.0 | 12.0 |
|  | Hispanic | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 40.5 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 27.0 | 48.6 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 45.9 | 27.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 2.8 | 33.3 | 36.1 | 22.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 30.6 | 25.0 | 36.1 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 30.6 | 50.0 | 11.1 |
|  | Native Am | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | White | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 25.2 | 35.3 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 13.7 | 55.4 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 15.8 | 41.0 | 39.6 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 27.7 | 39.2 | 18.5 | 0.8 | 12.3 | 20.8 | 45.4 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 35.4 |
|  | ELL | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
|  | Migrant | 2005-06 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  |  | 2006-07 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | SwDis | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 27.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 50.0 | 19.4 | 2.8 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 3.0 | 39.4 | 36.4 | 18.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 27.3 | 21.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 39.4 | 30.3 | 12.1 |
|  | Econ Dis | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 32.7 | 31.9 | 25.7 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 25.7 | 39.8 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 31.0 | 40.7 | 19.5 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 1.0 | 28.2 | 32.0 | 34.0 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 27.2 | 29.1 | 36.9 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 29.1 | 44.7 | 17.5 |
| LincolnMS | All Students | 2005-06 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 26.5 | 32.8 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 50.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 20.6 | 41.2 | 32.4 |
|  |  | 2006-07 | 0.5 | 21.1 | 29.8 | 34.4 | 14.2 | 0.5 | 17.4 | 25.2 | 42.2 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 21.6 | 46.8 | 26.6 |
| Target Goals | 2006-07 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 12.1 | 20.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 11.0 | 18.4 |  |  | 0.3 | 4.7 | 13.7 |  |  |
|  | 2007-08 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 10.3 | 17.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 9.5 | 15.7 |  |  | 0.3 | 4.0 | 11.7 |  |  |
|  | 2008-09 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 6.9 | 11.8 |  |  | 0.0 | 6.3 | 10.5 |  |  | 0.2 | 2.7 | 7.8 |  |  |
|  | 2009-10 | AYP | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 3.4 | 5.9 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 0.1 | 1.3 | 3.9 |  |  |
|  | 2010-11 | AYP |  | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  | na | na | na |  |  |
|  |  | District | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| KUSD |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2005-06 \\ & 2006-07 \\ & 2005-06 \\ & 2006-07 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 62.8 \\ 58.6 \end{gathered}$ |  | TITM |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71.6 \\ & 66.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 80.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | v l | vilux |  |  |  | 78.8 |  |
| Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65.4 \\ & 62.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | V l | V IN | $\begin{aligned} & 74.2 \\ & 74.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vlla | VIIIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## MAHONE MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Brian Edwards, Principal Shane Gayle, Assistant Principal 6900 60th Street
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 605-8100

| School Profile |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Nickname   <br> School Mascot   <br> Grades Mahone Mustangs <br> Mustangs <br> $6-8$  <br> Total Enrollment $\underline{2005-06}$ $\underline{2006-07}$ <br> Grade 6 280 906 <br> Grade 7 291 298 <br> Grade 8 309 296 <br>   312 <br> Average Class Size 24.4 23.0 <br> English 24.4 22.9 <br> Social St 24.4 23.1 <br> Math 24.4 23.0 <br> Science 21.9 24.0 <br> For. Lang. No No <br> Title 1 School   |  |  |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 57.4 | 49.1 |
| Male |  | 50.9 |
|  | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Asian | 15.4 | 15.2 |
| 0.2African Am | 14.1 | 14.5 |
| Hispanic | 6.2 | 0.1 |
| Native Am | 66.5 |  |
| White |  |  |
|  | 11.6 | 11.4 |
| Special Ed | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 31.7 | 33.1 |
| $\quad$ Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

| Teacher Qualifications (2006-07) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mahone Middle | KUSD |
| Number of Teachers | 59.5 | 1499.3 |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $46.22 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| $\%$ of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $5.04 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |
| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) |  |  |

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 93.82 | 93.57 | 95.07 | 95.21 | 95.47 | 95.74 | 96.00 |  |
| Habitual Truants | $5.82 \%$ | 7.29 | 6.34 | 6.23 | 6.01 | 5.79 | 5.58 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.89 | 96.88 | 97.09 | 97.22 | 97.48 | 97.74 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.81 | 0.96 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^130]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^131]Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## McKINLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "The mission of McKinley Middle School, a diverse learning community with high expectations and ethical values, is to educate and empower all individuals to achieve their unique potential and become life-long learners by providing exploratory and challenging experiences through the shared responsibility of staff, students, family and community."

> Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

Sharon Miller, Principal
Kathy Walsh, Assistant Principal
5710 32nd Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-6367
School Profile

| Nickname | McKinley Jaguars <br> School Mascot <br> Jaguar <br> Grades | $6-8$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{2006-07}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 609 | 587 |
| Grade 6 | 201 | 201 |
| Grade 7 | 196 | 194 |
| Grade 8 | 212 | 192 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| English | 24.3 | 27.3 |
| Social St | 24.3 | 27.3 |
| Math | 24.3 | 27.3 |
| Science | 24.3 | 27.3 |
| For. Lang. | 21.1 | 22.0 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 59.9 | 50.9 |
| Male |  | 49.1 |
|  | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Asian | 13.5 | 15.2 |
| African Am | 16.9 | 19.8 |
| Hispanic | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Native Am |  | 62.8 |
| White |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 12.6 | 12.3 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 50.4 | 51.4 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

|  | McKinley Middle | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 39.9 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $56.14 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $11.78 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

## Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 93.08 | 92.07 | 92.68 | 92.79 | 93.03 | 93.26 | 93.50 |  |
| Habitual Truants | 5.82 | 13.65 | 6.34 | 6.23 | 6.01 | 5.79 | 5.58 |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.24 | 96.75 | 96.97 | 97.11 | 97.41 | 97.70 | 98.00 |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 1.05 | 1.37 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## PAIDEIA ACADEMY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: ""Paideia Academy is a learning community dedicated to drawing upon and further developing the curiosity in each member of the school - learning, sharing, caring, and growing in the process. We embrace learning as a partnership among students, parents, staff and the community. We believe in cooperation, creating teams of learners and individual lifelong knowledge seekers through vigorous education using the Paideia Principles of Learning."

Ellen Becker, Director
5821 10th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 658-4540

| School Profile |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nickname Paideians <br> School Mascot <br> Grades <br>  <br> World/Globe <br> Total Enrollment <br> G-8 $\underline{2005-06}$ <br> Grade 6 67 $\underline{2006-07}$ <br> Grade 7 24 25 <br> Grade 8 23 23 <br>  20 19 <br> Average Class Sizes 22.3 22.3 <br> Title 1 School No No |  |  |


| Student Groups |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ |
| Female | $\frac{2006-07}{61.2}$ |
| Male | 38.8 |
|  |  |
| Asian | 1.5 |
| African Am | 14.9 |
| Hispanic | 16.4 |
| Native Am | 1.5 |
| White | 65.7 |
| Special Ed |  |
| Eng Lang Learn | 3.0 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 37.2 |
| Eource :WINSS) |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Paideia | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 3 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $66.67 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


|  | Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | 2010-11 |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | $95.34 \%$ | $95.18 \%$ | $96.33 \%$ | $96.43 \%$ | $96.62 \%$ | $96.81 \%$ | $97.00 \%$ |
| Habitual Truants | $2.99 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ | $1.42 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | $95.45 \%$ | $98.73 \%$ | $96.02 \%$ | $96.30 \%$ | $96.87 \%$ | $97.43 \%$ | $98.00 \%$ |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 2.00 | 2.13 |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)
Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^138]Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## PAIDEIA ACADEMY Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof = Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## PAIDEIA ACADEMY Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)
Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "To challenge minds, foster compassion and pursue excellence."

Elizabeth Sabo, Principal
Gay Voelz, Interim Assistant Principal 811 Washington Road
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 653-6291

## School Profile

| Nickname | Washington Wildcats <br> School Mascot <br> Grades |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $6-8$ |  |
| Total Enrollment | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\underline{604}$ |
| Grade 6 | 180 | 611 |
| Grade 7 | 207 | 190 |
| Grade 8 | 217 | 209 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| English | 19.0 | 20.5 |
| Social St | 22.2 | 23.9 |
| Math | 22.2 | 23.9 |
| Science | 22.2 | 23.9 |
| For. Lang. | 19.4 | 27.3 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 54.0 | 48.5 |
| Male |  | 51.5 |
|  | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Asian | 20.3 | 24.3 |
| African Am | 24.8 | 25.0 |
| Hispanic | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Native Am |  | 49.2 |
| White |  |  |
|  | 13.6 | 13.7 |
| Special Ed | 15.4 | 15.8 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 64.1 | 64.5 |
| Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Washington Middle | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 49.5 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $43.84 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $16.16 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

Attendance

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | $93.23 \%$ | $92.51 \%$ | $92.54 \%$ | $92.67 \%$ | $92.95 \%$ | $93.22 \%$ | $93.50 \%$ |  |
| Habitual Truants | $12.62 \%$ | $9.70 \%$ | $14.78 \%$ | $14.53 \%$ | $14.02 \%$ | $13.51 \%$ | $13.00 \%$ |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | $97.35 \%$ | $97.23 \%$ | $97.26 \%$ | $97.37 \%$ | $97.58 \%$ | $97.79 \%$ | $98.00 \%$ |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.99 | 0.92 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^142]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^143]Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## MARY D. BRADFORD HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "The Mission of Bradford High School, the model of a diverse, caring community of learners, is to assure that each student graduates with the capability and character to achieve maximum potential while contributing to our community and global society by engaging every student in a wealth of academic and extra-curricular experiences focused on personal interests and aspirations."

Jean Schlais, Interim Principal Geraldine Fair, Assistant Principal Marsha Nelson, Assistant Principal Brian Shimon, Interim Assistant Principal 3700 Washington Road
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-6200

## School Profile

| Nickname <br> School Mascot <br> Grades | Bradford Red Devils <br> Red Devil (Rowdy) <br> $9-12$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | $\frac{2005-06}{2270}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{2448}$ |
| $\quad$ Grade 9 | 695 | 785 |
| Grade 10 | 632 | 582 |
| Grade 11 | 562 | 628 |
| Grade 12 | 381 | 453 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ English | 24.8 | 25.0 |
| U.S. History | 29.2 | 28.6 |
| Social St | 26.0 | 25.9 |
| Math | 26.0 | 25.3 |
| Science | 26.7 | 25.9 |
| For. Lang. | 26.5 | 28.6 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 49.8 | 50.0 |
| Male | 50.0 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| African Am | 14.5 | 17.2 |
| Hispanic | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Native Am | 67.4 | 65.5 |
| White |  |  |
|  | 14.5 | 15.7 |
| Special Ed | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 35.9 | 34.8 |
| $\quad$ Free/Red Lunch |  |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may
be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Bradford High | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 136.9 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $56.17 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $8.77 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | No | No | No |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | No | No | No | No |
| School Improvement Status: | Level 1 | Level 1- <br> Improved | Level 1 | Level 2 |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 86.64 | 85.88 | 88.57 | 88.91 | 89.61 | 90.30 | 91.00 |
| Habitual Truants | 44.89 | 51.33 | 36.39 | 34.12 | 29.57 | 25.02 | 20.47 |
| Average Daily AttendanceTeachers | 97.47 | 97.30 | 97.15 | 97.27 | 97.52 | 97.76 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development Days | 0.49 | 0.54 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

## MARY D. BRADFORD HIGH SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## MARY D. BRADFORD HIGH SCHOOL Report Card

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Graduation Rates

|  | Year | All Students | Male | Female | Asian Pacific | Black | Hispanic | Native American | White | ELL | Migrant | SwDis | Econ Dis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford | 2005-06 | 83.33\% | 77.96\% | 88.94\% | 100.00\% | 62.34\% | 67.69\% | * | 90.83\% | 69.70\% | * | 56.52\% | 65.35\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 86.84\% | 81.42\% | 92.53\% | 91.67\% | 73.24\% | 72.06\% | * | 92.69\% | 82.35\% | * | 69.62\% | 76.32\% |
| KUSD | 2005-06 | 88.31\% | 86.71\% | 90.05\% | 96.43\% | 70.90\% | 79.79\% | * | 92.26\% | 86.21\% | * | 68.24\% | 78.53\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 88.49\% | 85.46\% | 91.85\% | 96.67\% | 73.37\% | 78.65\% | * | 92.21\% | 68.85\% | * | 78.61\% | 82.95\% |
| Wisconsin | 2005-06 | 89.3\% | 87.4\% | 91.3\% | 89.3\% | 65.0\% | 76.2\% | 74.6\% | 92.9\% | N/A | N/A | 81.4\% | N/A |
|  | 2006-07 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | Graduation Rates-Including ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduation Rates-Excluding ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 <br> Goal | $\begin{gathered} 2008-09 \\ \hline \text { Goal } \end{gathered}$ | 2009-10 <br> Goal | $\begin{array}{r} 2010-11 \\ \hline \text { Goal } \end{array}$ | $\frac{2005-06}{\text { Actual }}$ | 2006-07 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007-08 \\ \text { Goal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008-09 \\ \text { Goal } \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{2009-10}{\text { Goal }}$ | $\frac{2010-11}{\text { Goal }}$ |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal |  |  |  |  |  | Actual | Goal |  |  |  |  |
| All Students | 83.33\% | 86.84\% | 91.02\% | 92.31\% | 94.87\% | 97.44\% | 100.0\% | 81.57\% | N/A | 90.08\% | 91.50\% | 94.33\% | 97.17\% | 100.0\% |
| Minority Students | 67.32\% | 73.68\% | 81.58\% | 84.21\% | 89.48\% | 94.74\% | 100.0\% | 63.77\% | N/A | 77.78\% | 80.95\% | 87.30\% | 93.65\% | 100.0\% |

Graduation rates for 2006-07 are pending verification by the Wisconsin DPI and the release of the School Performance Report (SPR).

## Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work

|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Students Taking At Least 1 AP Class <br> (Grades 11 \& 12 only) | $226 / 23.97 \%$ | $300 / 27.75 \%$ | $23.90 \%$ | $24.20 \%$ | $24.80 \%$ | $25.40 \%$ | $26.00 \%$ |
| AP Classes Attended (All Students) | 374 | 502 | 294 | 295 | 296 | 298 | 300 |
| AP Tests Taken (All Students) | $176 / 47.06 \%$ | $296 / 58.96 \%$ | $44.90 \%$ | $49.20 \%$ | $57.80 \%$ | $66.40 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |
| AP Tests Passed (All Students) | $110 / 62.50 \%$ | $132 / 44.59 \%$ | $65.09 \%$ | $66.51 \%$ | $69.34 \%$ | $72.17 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |

## College Placement Examinations ( $\mathrm{N} /$ Verbal/Math Score)

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| SAT | $16 / 1173$ | $10 / 1225$ | 1225 | 1226 | 1227 | 1229 | 1230 |
| ACT | $241 / 21.4$ | $289 / 21.4$ | 21.5 | 21.6 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 22.3 |

## ACT EXPLORE Examination

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| English | 14.5 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 16.2 |
| Math | 15.3 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| Reading | 14.8 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 15.9 |
| Science | 16.5 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 18.2 | 18.4 |
| Composite | 15.4 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 16.8 |

Youth Options/Post Secondary Classes

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged

AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

[^148] are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

## INDIAN TRAIL ACADEMY Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "To successfully educate all students to reach their fullest intellectual, academic, social/emotional and physical potential."

Richard J. Aiello, Principal Robert Neu, Assistant Principal
6800-60th Street
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-0317

## School Profile

| Nickname | Indian Trail Academy <br> Tigers <br> Tiger |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Mascot | $9-12$ |  |
| Grades | $\frac{2005-06}{1154}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{1111}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 414 | 367 |
| $\quad$ Grade 9 | 298 | 287 |
| Grade 10 | 246 | 286 |
| Grade 11 | 196 | 171 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ English | 25.0 | 23.7 |
| U.S. History | 22.2 | 22.9 |
| Social St | 25.8 | 23.7 |
| Math | 26.6 | 22.7 |
| Science | 25.8 | 24.9 |
| For. Lang. | 24.3 | 23.8 |
| Title 1 School | No | Yes |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 49.1 | 50.1 |
| Male | 50.9 | 49.9 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| African Am | 11.7 | 13.7 |
| Hispanic | 19.9 | 21.2 |
| Native Am | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| White | 64.6 | 61.6 |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 14.0 | 13.6 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 6.9 | 9.3 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 38.1 | 41.0 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Indian Trail | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 67.4 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $60.39 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $10.39 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{- 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}-\mathbf{0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}-\mathbf{0 6}$ | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


|  | Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 88.19 | 88.22 | 89.92 | 90.21 | 90.81 | 91.40 | 92.00 |
| Habitual Truants | 36.34 | 41.93 | 34.21 | 32.08 | 27.80 | 23.52 | 19.25 |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 97.08 | 97.41 | 97.01 | 97.15 | 97.43 | 97.72 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.53 | 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced

[^149]Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## Graduation Rates

|  | Year | All Students | Male | Female | Asian Pacific | Black | Hispanic | Native American | White | ELL | Migrant | SwDis | Econ Dis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITA | 2005-06 | 93.03\% | 93.00\% | 93.07\% | 100.00\% | 94.74\% | 91.43\% | * | 92.70\% | 94.44\% | * | 88.89\% | 89.58\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 90.32\% | 88.89\% | 91.67\% | * | 80.00\% | 93.10\% | * | 90.71\% | 63.16\% | * | 93.33\% | 85.19\% |
| KUSD | 2005-06 | 88.31\% | 86.71\% | 90.05\% | 96.43\% | 70.90\% | 79.79\% | * | 92.26\% | 86.21\% | * | 68.24\% | 78.53\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 88.49\% | 85.46\% | 91.85\% | 96.67\% | 73.37\% | 78.65\% | * | 92.21\% | 68.85\% | * | 78.61\% | 82.95\% |
| Wisconsin | 2005-06 | 89.3\% | 87.4\% | 91.3\% | 89.3\% | 65.0\% | 76.2\% | 74.6\% | 92.9\% | N/A | N/A | 81.4\% | N/A |
|  | 2006-07 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | Graduation Rates-Including ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduation Rates-Excluding ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| All Students | 93.03\% | 90.32\% | 96.15\% | 96.70\% | 97.80\% | 98.90\% | 100.0\% | 92.39\% | N/A | 95.79\% | 96.39\% | 97.60\% | 98.80\% | 100.0\% |
| Minority Students | 93.75\% | 89.13\% | 93.23\% | 94.20\% | 96.13\% | 98.07\% | 100.0\% | 93.10\% | N/A | 92.41\% | 93.49\% | 95.66\% | 97.83\% | 100.0\% |

Graduation rates for 2006-07 pending verification by the Wisconsin DPI and the release of the School Performance Report (SPR).

## Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Students Taking At Least 1 AP Class <br> (Grades 11 \& 12 only) | $81 / 18.33 \%$ | $69 / 15.10 \%$ | $16.78 \%$ | $17.24 \%$ | $18.16 \%$ | $19.08 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ |
| AP Classes Attended (All Students) | 101 | 82 | 82 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |
| AP Tests Taken (All Students) | $74 / 73.27 \%$ | 71 | $74.25 \%$ | $74.35 \%$ | $74.57 \%$ | $74.78 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |
| AP Tests Passed (All Students) | $33 / 44.6 \%$ | $46.48 \%$ | $60.33 \%$ | $62.43 \%$ | $66.62 \%$ | $70.81 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |

## College Placement Examinations ( $\mathrm{N} /$ Verbal/Math Score)

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| SAT | $2 /$ N/A | $2 /$ N/A | 1044 | 1045 | 1048 | 1050 | 1052 |
| ACT | 20.7 | $99 / 20.5$ | 20.6 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 21.4 |

## ACT EXPLORE Examination

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| English | 14.6 | 14.6 | $14 . .9$ | 15.0 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.7 |
| Math | 15.1 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| Reading | 14.6 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 16.1 |
| Science | 16.6 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 17.9 |
| Composite | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 |

Youth Options/Post Secondary Classes

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Secondary <br> Classes Attended <br> Outside KUSD <br> (Youth Options) | 49 | 40 |  |
| A's | $20 / 40.8 \%$ | $18 / 45.0 \%$ | No Future <br> Goals Set |
| B's | $20 / 40.8 \%$ | $14 / 35.0 \%$ |  |
| C's | $7 / 14.3 \%$ | $5 / 12.5 \%$ |  |
| D's | $0 / 0.0 \%$ | $1 / 2.5 \%$ |  |
| F's, N's, I's | $2 / 4.1 \%$ | $2 / 5.0 \%$ |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD $=$ Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

[^151] are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

## LAKEVIEW TECH Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "To successfully educate all students to reach their fullest intellectual, academic, social/emotional and physical potential."

William Hittman, Principal
9449-88th Avenue
Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158
(262) 947-8155

## School Profile

| Nickname | LTA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| School Mascot | Wolf |  |
| Grades | $9-12$ |  |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{311}$ |
| Total Enrollment | 110 | 119 |
| $\quad$ Grade 9 | 80 | 94 |
| Grade 10 | 74 | 74 |
| Grade 11 | 47 | 60 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  | 27.8 |
| English | 24.1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| U.S. History | 29.5 | 29.7 |
| Social St | 26.7 | 26.6 |
| Math | 22.7 | 20.7 |
| Science | 20.1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| For. Lang. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | No |
| Title 1 School | No |  |


| Student Groups |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2005-06}$ |
| Female | $\frac{2006-07}{16.4}$ |
| Male | 83.6 |
|  |  |
|  | 82.1 |
| Asian | 1.6 |
| African Am | 4.2 |
| Hispanic | 10.3 |
| Native Am | 0.0 |
| White | 83.9 |
|  | 8.1 |
| Special Ed | 9.3 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 2.6 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 27.3 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | LakeView Tech | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 16 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $56.25 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily AttendanceStudents | 93.90 | 94.20 | 93.54 | 93.75 | 94.16 | 94.58 | 95.00 |
| Habitual Truants | 21.54 | 19.02 | 20.77 | 19.48 | 16.88 | 14.28 | 11.69 |
| Average Daily AttendanceTeachers | 97.54 | 97.52 | 98.18 | 98.19 | 98.21 | 98.23 | 98.25 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development Days | 1.04 | 0.87 | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv $=$ Advanced
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## LAKEVIEW TECH ACADEMY Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

## Graduation Rates

|  | Year | All <br> Students | Male | Female | Asian <br> Pacific | Black | Hispanic | Native American | White | ELL | Migrant | SwDis | Econ Dis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LakeView | 2005-06 | 93.62\% | 91.67\% | 100.00\% | * | * | * | * | 94.87\% | * | * | * | 100.00\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 93.10\% | 91.49\% | 100.00\% | * | * | * | * | 93.62\% | * | * | * | 83.33\% |
| KUSD | 2005-06 | 88.31\% | 86.71\% | 90.05\% | 96.43\% | 70.90\% | 79.79\% | * | 92.26\% | 86.21\% | * | 68.24\% | 78.53\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 88.49\% | 85.46\% | 91.85\% | 96.67\% | 73.37\% | 78.65\% | * | 92.21\% | 68.85\% | * | 78.61\% | 82.95\% |
| Wisconsin | 2005-06 | 89.3\% | 87.4\% | 91.3\% | 89.3\% | 65.0\% | 76.2\% | 74.6\% | 92.9\% | N/A | N/A | 81.4\% | N/A |
|  | 2006-07 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | Graduation Rates-Including ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduation Rates-Excluding ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| All Students | 93.62\% | 93.10\% | 96.46\% | 96.97\% | 97.98\% | 98.99\% | 100.0\% | 93.33\% | N/A | 96.38\% | 96.90\% | 97.93\% | 98.97\% | 100.0\% |
| Minority Students | 87.50 | 90.91\% | 90.28\% | 91.67\% | 94.44\% | 97.22\% | 100.0\% | 85.71\% | N/A | 90.28\% | 91.67\% | 94.44\% | 97.22\% | 100.0\% |

Graduation rates for 2006-07 have not been verified by the Wisconsin DPI and are estimates pending the release of the School Performance Report (SPR).

## Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Students Taking At Least 1 AP Class <br> (Grades $11 \& 12$ only) | 0 | 9 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AP Classes Attended (All Students) | 0 | 16 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AP Tests Taken (All Students) | 0 | 13 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AP Tests Passed (All Students) | 0 | $10 / 76.92 \%$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

College Placement Examinations ( $\mathrm{N} /$ Verbal/Math Score)

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| SAT | $4 / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $1 / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| ACT | $25 / 21.5$ | $35 / 23.2$ | 21.4 | 21.5 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 22.2 |

## ACT EXPLORE Examination

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| English | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 |
| Math | 16.8 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 17.4 |
| Reading | 15.1 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| Science | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 18.6 |
| Composite | 16.5 | 16.8 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 17.4 |

Youth Options/Post Secondary Classes

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Secondary <br> Classes Attended <br> Outside KUSD <br> (Youth Options) | 295 | 379 |  |
| A's | $73 / 24.7 \%$ | $68 / 17.9 \%$ | No Future <br> Goals Set |
| B's | $101 / 34.2 \%$ | $125 / 33.0 \%$ |  |
| C's | $65 / 22.0 \%$ | $86 / 22.7 \%$ |  |
| D's | $45 / 15.3 \%$ | $67 / 17.7 \%$ |  |
| F's, N's, I's | $11 / 3.7 \%$ | $33 / 8.7 \%$ |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged

AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

[^154] are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.


REUTHER CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "The mission of Reuther Central High School is to provide a comprehensive educational opportunity for students who voluntarily seek a more personal and individualized approach to earning a high school diploma. The focus for Reuther Central High School educational programs and services will be to prepare students for employment and/or higher education by: providing instruction which develops competency in mathematical applications, a knowledge and appreciation of the world's cultural history, and effective communication skills which include reading, listening, writing, and speaking; increasing students' abilities to think clearly and critically; assisting students' personal development by helping them to understand themselves, their attributes, and their relationship to others; providing students with career exploration, an assessment of their interests and abilities, and an individualized education-for-employment plan; assisting students in developing their civic and social obligations through school and community activities."

Daniel Tenuta, Principal
Karen Walters, Assistant Principal
913 57th Street
Kenosha, WI 53140
(262) 653-6160

## School Profile

| Nickname <br> School Mascot <br> Grades | Reuther Bulldogs <br> Bulldog <br> $9-12$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | $\frac{2005-06}{678}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{619}$ |
| Grade 9 | 136 | 106 |
| Grade 10 | 130 | 129 |
| Grade 11 | 239 | 244 |
| Grade 12 | 173 | 140 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| English | 17.7 | 21.0 |
| U.S. History | 25.0 | 19.0 |
| Social St | 9.5 | 17.3 |
| Math | 13.8 | 17.3 |
| Science | 19.0 | 16.4 |
| Title 1 School | Yes | Yes |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 58.5 | 52.8 |
| Male | 51.5 | 47.2 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| African Am | 20.0 | 20.7 |
| Hispanic | 14.9 | 14.4 |
| Native Am | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| White | 64.2 | 63.3 |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 8.0 | 7.6 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 50.6 | 54.8 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

| Teacher Qualifications (2006-07) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reuther Central High |  |  | KUSD |  |
| Number of Teachers | 44.5 |  | 1499.3 |  |  |
| \% of Teachers With Advanced Degrees | 55.06\% |  | 60.13\% |  |  |
| \% of Teachers With Emergency or Provisional Licenses | 0.00\% |  | 5.34\% |  |  |
| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| I. Test Participation |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Ind (Attendance) |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: |  | Satisfactory |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 83.69 | 83.00 | 80.64 | 81.26 | 82.51 | 83.75 | 85.00 |  |  |
| Habitual Truants | 44.80 | 44.48 | 50.87 | 47.69 | 41.33 | 34.97 | 28.62 |  |  |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | 96.32 | 96.13 | 95.26 | 95.65 | 96.43 | 97.22 | 98.00 |  |  |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.48 | 1.01 |  | No Goal Set |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## REUTHER CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL <br> Report Card <br> 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT = Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## REUTHER CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

## Graduation Rates

|  | Year | All Students | Male | Female | Asian Pacific | Black | Hispanic | Native American | White | ELL | Migrant | SwDis | Econ Dis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reuther | 2005-06 | 86.39\% | 89.47\% | 83.23\% | * | 81.48\% | 78.95\% | * | 89.43\% | 100.00\% | * | 100.00\% | 85.00\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 84.05\% | 82.89\% | 85.23\% | * | 72.22\% | 78.05\% | * | 88.50\% | 75.00\% | * | 95.00\% | 93.18\% |
| KUSD | 2005-06 | 88.31\% | 86.71\% | 90.05\% | 96.43\% | 70.90\% | 79.79\% | * | 92.26\% | 86.21\% | * | 68.24\% | 78.53\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 88.49\% | 85.46\% | 91.85\% | 96.67\% | 73.37\% | 78.65\% | * | 92.21\% | 68.85\% | * | 78.61\% | 82.95\% |
| Wisconsin | 2005-06 | 89.3\% | 87.4\% | 91.3\% | 89.3\% | 65.0\% | 76.2\% | 74.6\% | 92.9\% | N/A | N/A | 81.4\% | N/A |
|  | 2006-07 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | Graduation Rates-Including ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduation Rates-Excluding ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| All Students | 86.39\% | 84.05\% | 94.97\% | 95.69\% | 97.12\% | 98.56\% | 100.0\% | 79.46\% | N/A | 91.69\% | 92.88\% | 95.25\% | 97.63\% | 100.0\% |
| Minority Students | 80.18\% | 75.25\% | 91.72\% | 92.91\% | 95.27\% | 97.64\% | 100.0\% | 72.15\% | N/A | 87.66\% | 89.42\% | 92.95\% | 96.47\% | 100.0\% |

Graduation rates for 2006-07 have not been verified by the Wisconsin DPI and are estimates pending the release of the School Performance Report (SPR).

## Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Students Taking At Least 1 AP Class <br> (Grades 11 \& 12 only) | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AP Classes Attended (All Students) | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AP Tests Taken (All Students) | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| AP Tests Passed (All Students) | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

College Placement Examinations ( $\mathrm{N} /$ Verbal/Math Score)

|  | 2005-06 | $2006-07$ |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| SAT | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ACT | $34 / 17.1$ | $38 / 17.1$ | 18.0 | 18.1 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.8 |

ACT EXPLORE Examination

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| English | 12.4 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 14.1 |
| Math | 13.9 | 14.6 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 14.9 |
| Reading | 13.7 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 14.7 |
| Science | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 16.5 |
| Composite | 14.0 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 15.2 |

Youth Options/Post Secondary Classes

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Secondary <br> Classes Attended <br> Outside KUSD <br> (Youth Options) | 68 | 58 |  |
| A's | $22 / 32.4 \%$ | $12 / 20.7 \%$ | No Future <br> Goals Set |
| B's | $22 / 32.4 \%$ | $24 / 41.4 \%$ |  |
| C's | $11 / 16.2 \%$ | $13 / 22.4 \%$ |  |
| D's | $2 / 2.9 \%$ | $2 / 3.4 \%$ |  |
| F's, N's, I's | $11 / 16.2 \%$ | $7 / 12.1 \%$ |  |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP = NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

* To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups. TREMPER HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07

Mission: "Tremper High School is a comprehensive high school in a diversely supportive and respectful environment that encourages students to succeed in core and elective subjects while developing life skills and experiences through intense study, exploration of personal talents, and a commitment to lifelong learning."

Edward Kupka Principal Kenith Dopke, Assistant Principal Jody Bloyer, Assistant Principal Sue Savaglio, Assistant Principal
8560 26th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 942-2200

## School Profile

| Nickname | Tremper Trojans <br> School Mascot <br> Trojan <br> Grades | $9-12$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | $\frac{2005-06}{2402}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{2439}$ |
| $\quad$ Grade 9 | 716 | 725 |
| Grade 10 | 598 | 584 |
| Grade 11 | 593 | 624 |
| Grade 12 | 495 | 506 |
|  |  |  |
| Average Class Size |  |  |
| $\quad$ English | 25.2 | 25.4 |
| U.S. History | 25.1 | 29.3 |
| $\quad$ Social St | 24.3 | 27.8 |
| Math | 23.4 | 27.6 |
| Science | 25.0 | 26.2 |
| For. Lang. | 22.6 | 24.6 |
| Title 1 School | No | No |

## Student Groups

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 58.6 | 48.8 |
| Male | 51.4 | 51.2 |
|  |  |  |
| Asian | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| African Am | 8.3 | 8.6 |
| Hispanic | 7.5 | 8.7 |
| Native Am | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| White | 82.5 | 80.6 |
|  |  |  |
| Special Ed | 12.4 | 13.2 |
| Eng Lang Learn | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Free/Red Lunch | 23.0 | 23.4 |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Tremper High | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 131.6 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $63.53 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $5.93 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| III. Reading | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| School Improvement Status: | Satisfactory |  |  |  |


| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ | $2007-08$ | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |  |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | 90.12 | 87.94 | 91.16 | 91.43 | 91.95 | 92.48 | 93.00 |
| Habitual Truants | 33.95 | 41.97 | 26.18 | 24.54 | 21.27 | 18.00 | 14.73 |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | $97 . .20$ | 96.79 | 97.20 | 97.32 | 97.54 | 97.77 | 98.00 |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.58 | 0.80 |  |  |  |  |  |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html

## TREMPER HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min $=$ Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## TREMPER HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment (WAA)

Percent of FAY (Full Academic Year) Students in Each Proficiency Category


## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities
Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged
AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

## Proficiency Levels

NT $=$ Not Tested
Min = Minimal
Bas $=$ Basic
Prof $=$ Proficient
Adv = Advanced
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## TREMPER HIGH SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (cont.)

## Graduation Rates

|  | Year | All Students | Male | Female | Asian <br> Pacific | Black | Hispanic | Native American | White | ELL | Migrant | SwDis | Econ Dis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tremper | 2005-06 | 94.75\% | 95.26\% | 94.21\% | 87.50\% | 80.77\% | 90.91\% | * | 96.10\% | 93.33\% | * | 76.92\% | 86.67\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 94.01\% | 93.06\% | 95.06\% | 100.00\% | 78.38\% | 90.32\% | * | 95.32\% | 100.00\% | * | 80.60\% | 83.75\% |
| KUSD | 2005-06 | 88.31\% | 86.71\% | 90.05\% | 96.43\% | 70.90\% | 79.79\% | * | 92.26\% | 86.21\% | * | 68.24\% | 78.53\% |
|  | 2006-07 | 88.49\% | 85.46\% | 91.85\% | 96.67\% | 73.37\% | 78.65\% | * | 92.21\% | 68.85\% | * | 78.61\% | 82.95\% |
| Wisconsin | 2005-06 | 89.3\% | 87.4\% | 91.3\% | 89.3\% | 65.0\% | 76.2\% | 74.6\% | 92.9\% | N/A | N/A | 81.4\% | N/A |
|  | 2006-07 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | Graduation Rates-Including ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  | Graduation Rates-Excluding ITED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| All Students | 94.75\% | 94.01\% | 96.34\% | 96.87\% | 97.91\% | 98.96\% | 100.0\% | 94.37\% | N/A | 95.99\% | 96.56\% | 97.71\% | 98.85\% | 100.0\% |
| Minority Students | 85.92\% | 86.42\% | 92.47\% | 93.55\% | 95.70\% | 97.85\% | 100.0\% | 83.05\% | N/A | 90.85\% | 92.16\% | 94.77\% | 97.39\% | 100.0\% |

Graduation rates for 2006-07 are pending verification by the Wisconsin DPI release of the School Performance Report (SPR).

## Advanced Placement (AP) Course Work

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Students Taking At Least 1 AP Class <br> (Grades 11 \& 12 only) | $255 / 23.44 \%$ | $259 / 22.92 \%$ | $23.88 \%$ | $24.18 \%$ | $24.79 \%$ | $25.39 \%$ | $26.00 \%$ |
| AP Classes Attended (All Students) | 399 | 408 | 477 | 480 | 487 | 493 | 500 |
| AP Tests Taken (All Students) | $279 / 69.92 \%$ | $367 / 89.95 \%$ | $57.03 \%$ | $59.60 \%$ | $64.73 \%$ | $69.87 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |
| AP Tests Passed (All Students) | $184 / 65.9 \%$ | $205 / 55.86 \%$ | $68.62 \%$ | $69.53 \%$ | $71.36 \%$ | $73.18 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |

College Placement Examinations ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{V}$ erbal/Math Score)

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| SAT | $27 / 1161$ | $11 / 1119$ | 1207 | 1208 | 1211 | 1213 | 1215 |
| ACT | $368 / 22.2$ | $357 / 22.0$ | 22.4 | 22.5 | 22.8 | 23.0 | 23.2 |

## ACT EXPLORE Examination

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| English | 15.6 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.9 |
| Math | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 16.7 |
| Reading | 15.4 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 16.7 |
| Science | 17.3 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 18.3 | 18.5 |
| Composite | 16.0 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 17.3 |

Youth Options/Post Secondary Classes

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Student Groups

KUSD = Kenosha Unified School District
ELL= English Language Learners
SwDis = Students with disabilities Econ Dis = Economically Disadvantaged

AYP $=$ NCLB Adequate Yearly Progress Objective

[^159] are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.


Mission: "Kenosha Unified School District Preschool Programming values developing relationships among school, home and community. Our programs provide opportunities for preschool children to experience independent, active learning."

Belinda Grantham, Administrator
Chavez Learning Station
6300 27th Avenue
Kenosha, WI 53143
(262) 653-6078

| Program Profile |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Mascot | HeadStart Blocks <br> Grades <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> PreKindergarten <br> Ages 3, 4, 5 <br> $2005-06$ |
| Total Enrollment | 381 |


| Head Start Services |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Centered-Based <br> Program | Students attend 4 days <br> per week either AM or <br> PM |
| Wrap-Around <br> Program | All day option for par- <br> ents or legal guardians <br> who must work or attend <br> school full time |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

Teacher Qualifications (2006-07)

|  | Head Start | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 12.6 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $76.2 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $0.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

## Major Components of Head Start

| Education | Each child receives a variety of learning experiences <br> designed to meet each child's individual needs and the <br> needs of the community. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Disabilities <br> Services | Every child enrolled in the Head Start Program partici- <br> pates in a developmental, vision, hearing, and speech <br> screening to assist parents and teachers in determining <br> whether children are in need of additional services. Chil- <br> dren with identified special needs are fully included in all <br> aspects of the program. |
| Health | Children benefit from a comprehensive health program <br> promoting preventive health care. |
| Family <br> Services | Student enrollment, community outreach, referrals to <br> community resources and crisis intervention is provided <br> to Head Start families. |
| Parent <br> Involvement | Parents/guardians are encouraged to be involved in the <br> Head Start program through participation in classroom <br> activities, program activities and working with their child <br> at home. |

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html


Mission (T.I.M.E.): "The Hillcrest School T.I.M.E. Program is a collaborative effort between Kenosha Unified School District No. 1, Community Impact Programs, and the Kenosha County Division of Children and Family Services. While embracing the philosophy of the Circle of Courage, our integrated team is committed to fostering an environment where students develop an internal focus of control while reaching their fullest academic potential."
Mission (Bridges): "The mission of the Bridge Center is to re-engage students and facilitate academic and social achievement for students who have been unsuccessful in school. By offering instruction and services that are strength-based, collaborative, and comprehensive, the Bridges Program provides a supportive, caring, and challenging learning environment."

## Timothy Miller, Administrator

David Naylor, Lead Teacher of the T.I.M.E program

Kathleen Belshaw, Lead Teacher of the Bridges Program
4616-24th Street
Kenosha, WI 53144
(262) 653-6118

School Profile

| Grades | 6-12 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005-06 |  | 2006-07 |  |
|  | T.I.M.E. Bridges T.I.M.E. Bridges |  |  |  |
| Total Enrollment | 19 | 38 | 33 | 26 |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 |
| Grade 9 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 8 |
| Grade 10 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 5 |
| Grade 11 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| Grade 12 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Average Class Sizes |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.8 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 6.5 |
| \% Free/ |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced | 70.4\% |  | 67.9\% |  |
| Lunch |  |  |  |  |
| Title 1 School | No |  | No |  |


|  | Hillcrest | KUSD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Teachers | 10 | 1499.3 |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Advanced Degrees | $60.00 \%$ | $60.13 \%$ |
| \% of Teachers With <br> Emergency or Provi- <br> sional Licenses | $20.00 \%$ | $5.34 \%$ |

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)
(Under the Federal No Child Left Behind Act)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Test Participation | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| II. Other Academic Indicator <br> (Attendance) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |  |
| III. Reading | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes |  |
| IV. Mathematics | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes |  |
| Met Adequate Yearly Progress? | Yes | N/A | No | Yes |  |
| School Improvement Status: | Level 1 | Satisfactory |  |  |  |

## Instructional Programs

| T.I.M.E. | The T.I.M.E. Program provides educational opportunities to students who have experienced significant <br> behavioral difficulties in traditional academic or community settings or who are transitioning back into the <br> community following a residential or correctional placement outside of the Kenosha community. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Bridges | The Bridges Program provides educational programming for students who have been expelled from traditional <br> school settings or who are significantly behind academically due to chronic truancy, credit deficiency, or <br> behavioral difficulties within the school setting. |

The KUSD District Report Card may be found at: www.kusd.edu

For additional information please visit the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction WINSS (Wisconsin Information Network for Successful Schools) at: www.dpi.state.wi.us/sig/index.html


## HILLCREST SCHOOL Report Card 2006-07 (Con't)

## STUDENT GROUPS

|  | $\frac{2005-06}{}$ | $\frac{2006-07}{}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Female | 18.5 | 26.4 |
| Male |  | 73.6 |
|  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian | 38.9 | 43.4 |
| African Am | 0.4 | 20.8 |
| Hispanic | 40.7 | 35.8 |
| Native Am |  |  |
| White | 25.9 | 47.2 |
|  | 8.2 | 1.9 |
| Special Ed |  | 67.9 |
| Eng Lang Learn |  |  |
| $\quad$ Free/Red Lunch | 70.4 |  |
| (Source :WINSS) |  |  |

## ATTENDANCE

|  | $2005-06$ | $2006-07$ |  | $2007-08$ | $2008-09$ | $2009-10$ | $2010-11$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Students | $70.58 \%$ | $74.13 \%$ | $71.80 \%$ | $73.40 \%$ | $76.60 \%$ | $79.80 \%$ | $83.00 \%$ |
| Habitual Truants | $53 /$ <br> $98.15 \%$ | $96.23 \%$ | $76.59 \%$ | $76.37 \%$ | $75.91 \%$ | $75.46 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |
| Average Daily <br> Attendance- <br> Teachers | $97.21 \%$ | $96.43 \%$ | $97.37 \%$ | $97.46 \%$ | $97.64 \%$ | $97.82 \%$ | $98.00 \%$ |
| Average <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Days | 0.14 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grades-All <br> Classes | $64.97 \%$ | $61.55 \%$ | $79.30 \%$ | $79.54 \%$ | $80.03 \%$ | $80.51 \%$ | $81.00 \%$ |
| Bridges Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grades-English <br> Classes | $89.57 \%$ | $90.32 \%$ | $94.89 \%$ | $95.05 \%$ | $95.36 \%$ | $95.68 \%$ | $96.00 \%$ |

## ACADEMIC GOALS

|  | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Actual | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal | Goal |
| Bridges Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grade-All <br> Classes | $84.77 \%$ | $86.14 \%$ | $90.21 \%$ | $90.47 \%$ | $90.98 \%$ | $91.49 \%$ | $92.00 \%$ |
| Time Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grades-Math <br> Classes | $64.52 \%$ | $58.09 \%$ | $82.07 \%$ | $82.35 \%$ | $82.90 \%$ | $83.45 \%$ | $84.00 \%$ |
| Bridges Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grades-Math <br> Classes | $93.10 \%$ | $91.01 \%$ | $98.78 \%$ | $98.81 \%$ | $98.88 \%$ | $98.94 \%$ | $99.00 \%$ |
| Time Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grades-English <br> Classes | $64.80 \%$ | $64.54 \%$ | $77.73 \%$ | $78.05 \%$ | $78.70 \%$ | $79.35 \%$ | $80.00 \%$ |
| Bridges Program <br> $\%$ of Passing <br> Grades-English <br> Classes | $89.57 \%$ | $90.32 \%$ | $94.89 \%$ | $95.05 \%$ | $95.36 \%$ | $95.68 \%$ | $96.00 \%$ |

APPENDIX C

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

READING - GRADE 3

|  |  | 「ełol IIIYS 'boy-2ld \% |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{y} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 53 | 35 | 88 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 75 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 50 | 23 | 73 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 75 | 90 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 40 | 33 | 73 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 36 | 33 | 16 | 49 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 40 | 48 | 87 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 40 | 19 | 60 |
| Grant | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 42 | 35 | 79 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 52 | 33 | 85 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 39 | 48 | 88 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 44 | 31 | 75 |
| Jeffery | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 63 | 86 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 44 | 33 | 78 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 40 | 35 | 75 |
| Pl. Prairie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 34 | 55 | 89 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 57 | 89 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 22 | 64 | 86 |
| Somers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 35 | 52 | 87 |
| Southport | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 40 | 43 | 83 |
| Stocker | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 49 | 38 | 88 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 33 | 29 | 63 |
| Vernon | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 34 | 36 | 72 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 36 | 52 | 88 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 67 | 22 | 89 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 37 | 41 | 79 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 36 | 44 | 81 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

MATH - GRADE 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 들 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { do } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 19 | 37 | 21 | 58 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 69 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 57 | 13 | 70 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 50 | 90 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 13 | 47 | 7 | 53 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 12 | 38 | 7 | 45 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 54 | 27 | 81 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 12 | 35 | 10 | 44 |
| Grant | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 42 | 27 | 71 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 37 | 43 | 80 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 34 | 41 | 75 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 25 | 34 | 28 | 63 |
| Jeffery | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 35 | 46 | 83 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 63 | 11 | 74 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 10 | 40 | 15 | 55 |
| PI. Prairie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 36 | 46 | 82 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 35 | 51 | 86 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 26 | 60 | 86 |
| Somers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 42 | 36 | 79 |
| Southport | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 40 | 40 | 79 |
| Stocker | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 47 | 28 | 77 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 9 | 42 | 15 | 58 |
| Vernon | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 12 | 33 | 31 | 66 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 38 | 51 | 89 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 56 | 11 | 67 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 40 | 31 | 71 |
| STATE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 38 | 35 | 74 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

READING - GRADE 4

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 들 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { bỉ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A for } \\ & \text { Req } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | WKC | CRT |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 43 | 25 | 68 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 86 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 58 | 21 | 79 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 59 | 100 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 40 | 15 | 55 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 29 | 38 | 22 | 59 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 46 | 46 | 92 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 52 | 17 | 70 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 62 | 24 | 86 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 49 | 41 | 90 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 38 | 50 | 88 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 47 | 25 | 72 |
| Jeffery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 29 | 59 | 87 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 58 | 12 | 70 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 59 | 24 | 83 |
| PI. Prairie | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 29 | 59 | 88 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 34 | 57 | 91 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 27 | 49 | 76 |
| Somers | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 51 | 34 | 87 |
| Southport | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 45 | 92 |
| Stocker | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 42 | 47 | 89 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 47 | 30 | 77 |
| Vernon | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 23 | 38 | 32 | 71 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 29 | 61 | 90 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 42 | 17 | 58 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 42 | 39 | 81 |
| STATE | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 40 | 41 | 82 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

LANGUAGE ARTS - GRADE 4

|  |  | 「ełol IIIYS 'boy-2ld \% |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 48 | 15 | 63 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 86 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 68 | 16 | 84 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 35 | 59 | 94 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 55 | 15 | 70 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 46 | 18 | 63 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 54 | 31 | 85 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 28 | 52 | 9 | 61 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 41 | 27 | 68 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 51 | 27 | 78 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 41 | 34 | 76 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 56 | 16 | 72 |
| Jeffery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 37 | 41 | 78 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 58 | 12 | 70 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 41 | 10 | 52 |
| Pl. Prairie | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 44 | 44 | 87 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 30 | 52 | 82 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 33 | 44 | 76 |
| Somers | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 49 | 29 | 81 |
| Southport | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 50 | 28 | 82 |
| Stocker | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 48 | 29 | 78 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 53 | 17 | 70 |
| Vernon | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 34 | 34 | 68 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 52 | 35 | 87 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 38 | 21 | 58 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 46 | 28 | 75 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 44 | 32 | 77 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)
Results by Building and Proficiency Category
MATH - GRADE 4

|  |  | Ieło 1 II!YS'bay-əld \% |  |  |  |  | 들 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { do } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 15 | 28 | 20 | 48 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 86 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 37 | 37 | 74 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 41 | 47 | 88 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 65 | 5 | 70 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 47 | 14 | 61 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 51 | 34 | 85 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 48 | 26 | 74 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 49 | 30 | 78 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 45 | 37 | 82 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 38 | 36 | 74 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 50 | 9 | 59 |
| Jeffery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 51 | 33 | 84 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 21 | 52 | 15 | 67 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 41 | 34 | 76 |
| PI. Prairie | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 47 | 42 | 89 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 34 | 52 | 86 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 44 | 44 | 87 |
| Somers | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 52 | 24 | 80 |
| Southport | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 47 | 35 | 85 |
| Stocker | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 47 | 42 | 89 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 49 | 15 | 64 |
| Vernon | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 43 | 29 | 73 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 40 | 52 | 92 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 46 | 31 | 77 |
| STATE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 42 | 35 | 78 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category SCIENCE - GRADE 4

|  |  | 「ełol IIIYS 'boy-2ld \% |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 28 | 38 | 13 | 50 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 57 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 79 | 5 | 84 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 65 | 24 | 88 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 55 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| Edw. Bain | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 43 | 5 | 48 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 65 | 20 | 85 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 50 | 7 | 57 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 62 | 8 | 70 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 67 | 16 | 84 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 62 | 16 | 78 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 25 | 47 | 6 | 53 |
| Jeffery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 60 | 17 | 78 |
| Lincoln | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 55 | 0 | 55 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 62 | 3 | 66 |
| Pl. Prairie | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 61 | 24 | 85 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 59 | 25 | 84 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 49 | 33 | 82 |
| Somers | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 69 | 9 | 81 |
| Southport | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 60 | 25 | 85 |
| Stocker | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 65 | 15 | 81 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 38 | 45 | 4 | 49 |
| Vernon | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 50 | 9 | 61 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 55 | 31 | 85 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 38 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 57 | 14 | 71 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 55 | 22 | 77 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)
Results by Building and Proficiency Category
SOCIAL STUDIES - GRADE 4

|  |  | 「ełol IIIYS 'boy-2ld \% |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 35 | 50 | 85 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 42 | 47 | 89 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 76 | 100 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 45 | 95 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 42 | 41 | 82 |
| Forest Park | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 78 | 95 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 52 | 33 | 85 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 38 | 59 | 97 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 67 | 96 |
| Harvey | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 71 | 91 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 34 | 44 | 78 |
| Jeffery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 73 | 87 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 61 | 94 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 66 | 97 |
| Pl. Prairie | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 75 | 92 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 80 | 95 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 27 | 64 | 91 |
| Somers | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 30 | 62 | 93 |
| Southport | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 77 | 90 |
| Stocker | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 73 | 96 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 43 | 45 | 87 |
| Vernon | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 55 | 79 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 77 | 97 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 46 | 29 | 75 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 28 | 62 | 90 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 66 | 91 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

READING - GRADE 5

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{y} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & \frac{2}{c} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{a} \\ & \frac{1}{\mathbf{0}} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { do } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A for } \\ & \text { Req } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | WKC | -CRT |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 48 | 43 | 90 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 100 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 43 | 30 | 74 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 67 | 94 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 58 | 21 | 79 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 24 | 38 | 22 | 59 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 29 | 66 | 95 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 36 | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 45 | 39 | 84 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 58 | 30 | 88 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 41 | 41 | 82 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 47 | 22 | 69 |
| Jeffery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 40 | 54 | 94 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 50 | 13 | 63 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 61 | 24 | 84 |
| Pl. Prairie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 38 | 53 | 90 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 36 | 56 | 93 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 28 | 61 | 89 |
| Somers | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 42 | 51 | 94 |
| Southport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 41 | 51 | 92 |
| Stocker | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 42 | 51 | 94 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 43 | 48 | 90 |
| Vernon | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 49 | 18 | 72 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 60 | 27 | 87 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 70 | 13 | 83 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 44 | 39 | 83 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 41 | 42 | 84 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

MATH - GRADE 5

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 들 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { bỉ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Bose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 18 | 35 | 25 | 60 |
| Brompton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 57 | 86 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 61 | 17 | 78 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 67 | 94 |
| Durkee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 32 | 32 | 11 | 42 |
| Edw. Bain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 43 |
| Forest Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 45 | 40 | 85 |
| Frank | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| Grant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 32 | 32 | 63 |
| Grewenow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 16 | 53 | 19 | 72 |
| Harvey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 38 | 34 | 71 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 25 | 16 | 22 | 38 |
| Jeffery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 40 | 41 | 81 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 17 | 40 | 7 | 47 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 55 | 29 | 84 |
| PI. Prairie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 43 | 38 | 82 |
| Prairie Lane | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 64 | 95 |
| Roosevelt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 32 | 54 | 86 |
| Somers | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 36 | 49 | 86 |
| Southport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 46 | 37 | 83 |
| Stocker | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 44 | 36 | 81 |
| Strange | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 43 | 38 | 81 |
| Vernon | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 32 | 21 | 58 |
| Whittier | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 44 | 31 | 76 |
| Wilson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 70 | 4 | 74 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 39 | 32 | 71 |
| STATE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 38 | 36 | 75 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year. District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)
Results by Building and Proficiency Category
READING - MIDDLE SCHOOLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 49 | 31 | 79 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 86 | 95 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 37 | 56 | 94 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 52 | 27 | 79 |
| Mahone | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 40 | 46 | 88 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 43 | 28 | 72 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 30 | 96 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 49 | 30 | 79 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 44 | 39 | 83 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 41 | 43 | 85 |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 45 | 32 | 77 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 55 | 100 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 35 | 55 | 91 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 45 | 36 | 81 |
| Mahone | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 42 | 39 | 82 |
| McKinley | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 55 | 26 | 81 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 53 | 94 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 49 | 31 | 80 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 44 | 38 | 82 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 40 | 44 | 85 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 44 | 39 | 85 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 61 | 100 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 52 | 92 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 48 | 26 | 74 |
| Mahone | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 42 | 34 | 76 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 46 | 35 | 81 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 47 | 100 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 52 | 28 | 79 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 45 | 36 | 82 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 41 | 42 | 84 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=5$ or less students

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)
Results by Building and Proficiency Category MATH - MIDDLE SCHOOLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \text { © } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 42 | 33 | 76 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 41 | 55 | 95 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 49 | 42 | 92 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 43 | 22 | 66 |
| Mahone | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 43 | 41 | 86 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 16 | 48 | 23 | 72 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 70 | 22 | 91 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 50 | 20 | 70 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 46 | 32 | 79 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 43 | 32 | 76 |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 51 | 24 | 75 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 41 | 50 | 91 |
| Hillcrest** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 38 | 45 | 85 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 52 | 20 | 72 |
| Mahone | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 47 | 31 | 80 |
| McKinley | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 55 | 17 | 72 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 53 | 35 | 88 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 53 | 28 | 81 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 48 | 29 | 77 |
| STATE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 46 | 32 | 78 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 49 | 30 | 79 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 44 | 100 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 49 | 36 | 85 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 45 | 12 | 57 |
| Mahone | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 48 | 21 | 70 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 48 | 24 | 72 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 58 | 37 | 95 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 49 | 19 | 68 |
| DISTRICT | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 48 | 24 | 72 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 48 | 26 | 74 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=5$ or less students.

WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category LANGUAGE ARTS - GRADE 8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 42 | 19 | 62 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 28 | 50 | 78 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 41 | 29 | 70 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 30 | 34 | 14 | 49 |
| Mahone | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 21 | 45 | 20 | 65 |
| McKinley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 22 | 36 | 22 | 58 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 42 | 37 | 79 |
| Washington | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 39 | 32 | 11 | 44 |
| DISTRICT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 26 | 39 | 20 | 59 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 38 | 23 | 62 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=5$ or less students.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

SCIENCE - GRADE 8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 45 | 24 | 69 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 56 | 39 | 94 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 55 | 27 | 82 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 42 | 15 | 57 |
| Mahone | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 44 | 21 | 65 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 48 | 20 | 69 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 26 | 53 | 79 |
| Washington | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 26 | 48 | 9 | 58 |
| DISTRICT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 46 | 20 | 66 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 46 | 28 | 74 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=5$ or less students.

WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category SOCIAL STUDIES - GRADE 8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis <br> Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 42 | 40 | 82 |
| DOL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 56 | 100 |
| Hillcrest ** | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lance | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 41 | 46 | 87 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 47 | 27 | 73 |
| Mahone | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 43 | 33 | 76 |
| McKinley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 48 | 32 | 81 |
| Paideia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 37 | 58 | 95 |
| Washington | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 52 | 22 | 74 |
| DISTRICT | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 45 | 34 | 79 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 39 | 43 | 82 |

Notes: School scores include only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}=5$ or less students.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

READING - GRADE 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | H 0.0 0.0 0.0 0. 0. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { bỉ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  |  <br> WAA |
| Grade: 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bradford | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 34 | 35 | 69 |
| Hillcrest** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 27 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| Indian Trail | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 36 | 41 | 77 |
| LakeView Tech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 44 | 39 | 83 |
| Reuther Central | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 34 | 29 | 14 | 43 |
| Tremper | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 31 | 44 | 75 |
| DISTRICT | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 34 | 37 | 70 |
| STATE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 32 | 42 | 74 |

Notes: School scores includes only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)
Results by Building and Proficiency Category
LANGUAGE ARTS - GRADE 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { U } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for SIDis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> WAA |
| Grade: 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bradford | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 53 | 12 | 65 |
| Hillcrest** | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| Indian Trail | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 59 | 12 | 71 |
| LakeView Tech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 75 | 7 | 82 |
| Reuther Central | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 33 | 43 | 1 | 44 |
| Tremper | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 54 | 19 | 74 |
| DISTRICT | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 54 | 13 | 67 |
| STATE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 54 | 17 | 71 |

Notes: School scores includes only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE) Results by Building and Proficiency Category

MATH - GRADE 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \tilde{n} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { bī } \\ & \text { do } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade: 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bradford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 19 | 40 | 20 | 60 |
| Hillcrest** | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 27 | 9 | 36 |
| Indian Trail | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 52 | 11 | 63 |
| LakeView Tech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 57 | 30 | 87 |
| Reuther Central | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 24 | 32 |  | 33 |
| Tremper | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 44 | 24 | 69 |
| DISTRICT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 18 | 43 | 19 | 62 |
| STATE | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 45 | 25 | 70 |

Notes: School scores includes only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

2006-07 Results
WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)
Results by Building and Proficiency Category

## SCIENCE - GRADE 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \tilde{n} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { WKCE \& } \\ \text { WAA } \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade: 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bradford | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 37 | 23 | 60 |
| Hillcrest** | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| Indian Trail | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 15 | 44 | 26 | 70 |
| LakeView Tech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 42 | 42 | 83 |
| Reuther Central | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 23 | 27 | 10 | 37 |
| Tremper | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 37 | 32 | 69 |
| DISTRICT | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 37 | 26 | 63 |
| STATE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 35 | 37 | 72 |

Notes: School scores includes only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

WI Knowledge and Concepts Exam (WKCE)

## Results by Building and Proficiency Category

SOCIAL STUDIES - GRADE 10

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mid} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \\ & \text { bỉ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL |  | WAA for S/Dis Pre-Req Skill |  |  |  |  | WKCE-CRT |  |  |  | WKCE \& WAA |
| Grade: 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bradford | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 35 | 34 | 69 |
| Hillcrest** | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 27 | 9 | 36 |
| Indian Trail | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 34 | 43 | 77 |
| LakeView Tech | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 37 | 51 | 88 |
| Reuther Central | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 19 | 27 | 16 | 43 |
| Tremper | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 32 | 45 | 77 |
| DISTRICT | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 33 | 38 | 71 |
| STATE | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 31 | 45 | 76 |

Notes: School scores includes only students in the school for a full academic year (**except Hillcrest includes all students). District scores include only students in the District for a full academic year. State scores include all students. Row percentages may not total to $100 \%$ due to rounding.


ACT EXPLORE Assessment - 2006-07
Grade 9

| SCHOOL | Number <br> Tested |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford HS | 654 | Mean <br> English | Mean <br> Math | Mean <br> Reading | Mean <br> Science | Mean <br> Composite |
| Hillcrest | 11 | 14.2 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 17.2 | 15.9 |
| Indian Trail | 303 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 15.4 |
| LakeView Tech | 115 | 15.8 | 17.0 | 15.7 | 18.0 | 16.8 |
| Reuther Central | 85 | 13.2 | 14.6 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 14.4 |
| Tremper HS | 625 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 17.7 | 16.4 |
| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{1 7 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0}$ |
| NATIONAL | $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ |

Maximum score $=25$

* Number Tested = number of students who took all 4 subtests


## ACT PLAN Assessment - 2006-07 <br> Grade 10

| SCHOOL | Number <br> Tested | Mean <br> English | Mean <br> Math | Mean <br> Reading | Mean <br> Science | Mean <br> Composite |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford HS | 52 | 18.8 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 19.9 | 20.2 |
| Hillcrest | 0 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Indian Trail | 44 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 19.5 | 18.5 |
| LakeView Tech | 10 | 20.1 | 22.7 | 19.4 | 21.8 | 21.1 |
| Reuther Central | 14 | 14.1 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 14.9 |
| Tremper HS | 72 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 20.2 |
| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 5}$ |
| NATIONAL | NA | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ |

Maximum score $=32$

* Number Tested = number of students who took all 4 subtests


## APPENDIX E

## ACT

2007 High School Graduating Class

| SCHOOL | Number <br> Tested | Mean <br> English | Mean <br> Math | Mean <br> Reading | Mean <br> Science <br> Reasoning | Mean <br> Composite |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford HS | 289 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Indian Trail | 99 | 20.5 | 19.0 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 20.5 |
| LakeView Tech | 35 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 23.2 |
| Reuther Central | 38 | 15.8 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 17.1 |
| Tremper HS | 357 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 22.0 |
| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{8 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4}$ |
| STATE | $\mathbf{4 6 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 3}$ |
| NATIONAL | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0 , 5 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2}$ |

The College Board (SAT) Results
2007 High School Graduating Class

| SCHOOL | \# Tested | Mean <br> Verbal | Mean <br> Mathematics | Mean <br> Combined | Mean <br> Writing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bradford HS | 10 | 601 | 624 | 1225 | 544 |
| Indian Trail | 2 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| LakeView <br> Tech | 1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Reuther <br> Central | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Tremper | 11 | 554 | 565 | 1119 | 536 |
| DISTRICT | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 0}$ | 537 |
| STATE | $\mathbf{3 , 8 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 5}$ |
| NATIONAL | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 4 , 5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 4}$ |

2007 - Advanced Placement (AP) Tests Results

| CLASS | Percent of Students Passing With a Score of 5, 4, or 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bradford HS |  | Indian Trail |  | LakeView Tech |  | Tremper HS |  | District |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Tested } \end{gathered}$ | Passing | $\begin{gathered} \hline \# \\ \text { Tested } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Passing | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Tested } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Tested } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Passing | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Tested } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Biology | 36 | 75.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 75.0\% |
| Calculus $\mathrm{AB}$ | 41 | 34.1\% |  |  | 7 | 85.7\% | 47 | 38.3\% | 95 | 40.0\% |
| Computer Science A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | * | 1 | * |
| English <br> Lang/Comp |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 72.9\% | 59 | 72.9\% |
| English <br> Lit/Comp | 73 | 58.9\% | 22 | 63.6\% |  |  | 19 | 78.9\% | 114 | 63.2\% |
| French <br> Language | 1 | * | 1 | * |  |  | 12 | 25.0\% | 14 | 28.6\% |
| Govern \& Pol US | 50 | 6.0\% | 19 | 36.8\% |  |  | 89 | 25.8\% | 158 | 20.9\% |
| Macro- <br> Economics | 17 | 17.6\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | * | 18 | 22.2\% |
| Micro- <br> Economics | 8 | 37.5\% |  |  |  |  | 1 | * | 9 | 44.4\% |
| Physics B | 14 | 85.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 85.7\% |
| Physics C |  |  |  |  | 6 | 66.7\% |  |  | 6 | 66.7\% |
| Psychology | 33 | 30.3\% |  |  |  |  | 106 | 71.7\% | 139 | 61.9\% |
| Spanish <br> Language | 1 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | * |
| Spanish Literature |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | * | 1 | * |
| Studio Art 2D Design | 5 | * |  |  |  |  | 6 | 83.3\% | 11 | 81.8\% |
| Studio Art <br> Drawing | 9 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  | 5 | * | 14 | 92.9\% |
| US History | 8 | 50.0\% | 29 | 37.9\% |  |  |  |  | 37 | 40.5\% |
| World <br> History |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 75.0\% | 20 | 75.0\% |
| TOTAL | 296 | 44.6\% | 71 | 46.5\% | 13 | 76.9\% | 367 | 55.9\% | 747 | 50.9\% |

APPENDIX F

## Attendance, Dropout, Expulsion, Habitual Truant, Retention, Suspension, and Graduation Rates - 2006-07

| School | Attendance | Dropout | Expulsion | Habitual Truant | Retention | Suspension | Graduation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bose | 95.22\% | NA | 0.00\% | 2.22\% | 0.31\% | 4.75\% | NA |
| Brompton | 97.25\% | NA | 0.00\% | 3.96\% | 0.99\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| Chavez Lrn Stn | 88.19\% | NA | 0.00\% | NA | NA | 0.00\% | NA |
| Columbus | 94.35\% | NA | 0.00\% | 17.29\% | 0.00\% | 13.50\% | NA |
| DOLA | 96.24\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.49\% | NA |
| Durkee | 94.67\% | NA | 0.00\% | 5.00\% | 0.60\% | 6.25\% | NA |
| EBSOLA | 93.92\% | NA | 0.00\% | 13.48\% | 0.62\% | 7.97\% | NA |
| Forest Park | 95.40\% | NA | 0.00\% | 2.88\% | 1.44\% | 0.99\% | NA |
| Frank | 94.09\% | NA | 0.00\% | 17.89\% | 1.68\% | 8.19\% | NA |
| Grant | 94.58\% | NA | 0.00\% | 8.15\% | 0.92\% | 8.51\% | NA |
| Grewenow | 94.55\% | NA | 0.00\% | 9.26\% | 0.00\% | 5.18\% | NA |
| Harvey | 95.43\% | NA | 0.00\% | 3.45\% | 0.50\% | 2.91\% | NA |
| Jefferson | 93.33\% | NA | 0.00\% | 13.44\% | 0.62\% | 18.97\% | NA |
| Jeffery | 95.91\% | NA | 0.00\% | 1.50\% | 1.54\% | 2.00\% | NA |
| Lincoln El | 93.21\% | NA | 0.00\% | 22.18\% | 0.71\% | 13.36\% | NA |
| McKinley El | 93.27\% | NA | 0.00\% | 10.74\% | 0.71\% | 10.00\% | NA |
| Pleasant Prairie | 96.55\% | NA | 0.00\% | 1.65\% | 0.55\% | 2.48\% | NA |
| Prairie Lane | 95.91\% | NA | 0.00\% | 1.54\% | 0.86\% | 0.44\% | NA |
| Roosevelt | 96.05\% | NA | 0.00\% | 1.35\% | 0.00\% | 2.43\% | NA |
| Somers | 96.24\% | NA | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.63\% | 0.63\% | NA |
| Southport | 95.23\% | NA | 0.00\% | 2.28\% | 0.69\% | 1.32\% | NA |
| Stocker | 95.94\% | NA | 0.00\% | 0.76\% | 0.30\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| Strange | 94.91\% | NA | 0.00\% | 4.93\% | 1.73\% | 5.94\% | NA |
| Vernon | 94.24\% | NA | 0.00\% | 7.37\% | 2.64\% | 8.48\% | NA |
| Whittier | 95.94\% | NA | 0.00\% | 1.20\% | 0.40\% | 0.72\% | NA |
| Wilson | 93.87\% | NA | 0.00\% | 22.73\% | 0.76\% | 6.82\% | NA |
| Elementary | 94.92\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 6.16\% | 0.81\% | 4.61\% | NA |
| Bullen MS | 94.69\% | 0.18\% | 0.48\% | 8.60\% | 0.36\% | 16.83\% | NA |
| Lance MS | 95.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.20\% | 2.87\% | 0.20\% | 12.06\% | NA |
| Lincoln MS | 92.71\% | 0.00\% | 2.02\% | 11.59\% | 0.00\% | 26.32\% | NA |
| Mahone MS | 93.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.22\% | 7.29\% | 0.00\% | 21.44\% | NA |
| McKinley MS | 92.07\% | 0.52\% | 1.19\% | 13.65\% | 0.17\% | 27.65\% | NA |
| Paideia | 95.18\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | NA |
| Washington MS | 92.50\% | 0.78\% | 1.64\% | 9.70\% | 0.51\% | 24.84\% | NA |
| Middle | 93.63\% | 0.22\% | 0.85\% | 8.28\% | 0.19\% | 20.60\% | NA |
| Bradford High | 85.88\% | 3.59\% | 0.86\% | 51.33\% | 8.18\% | 17.08\% | 86.84\% |
| Hillcrest | 74.13\% | 10.84\% | 0.00\% | 96.23\% | 12.82\% | 103.77\% | NA |
| ITA | 88.22\% | 1.58\% | 0.81\% | 41.93\% | 11.61\% | 19.03\% | 90.32\% |
| Ken. House of Cor | 100.00\% | 42.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| Kenosha e-School | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 0.00\% | NA |
| LakeView | 94.20\% | 0.90\% | 0.29\% | 19.02\% | 4.23\% | 6.63\% | 93.10\% |
| Reuther Central | 82.99\% | 7.46\% | 1.70\% | 44.48\% | 15.67\% | 31.75\% | 84.05\% |
| Tremper High | 87.96\% | 1.31\% | 0.25\% | 41.97\% | 5.92\% | 11.01\% | 94.01\% |
| High | 87.03\% | 3.13\% | 0.67\% | 44.59\% | 8.49\% | 16.61\% | 88.49\% |
| District | 92.25\% | 2.21\% | 0.39\% | 18.98\% | 3.13\% | 11.74\% | 88.49\% |
| State | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NOTE: The rates reported above have not yet been verified by DPI. Therefore, there may be slight differences in student achievement data when the School Performance Report is returned in its final form.
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[^2]:    ** Appendix D illustrates the results of the 2006-07 ACT EXPLORE and ACT PLAN assessments by high school building.

[^3]:    ** Appendix E illustrates the results of the ACT Assessment, the College Board (SAT), and the Advanced Placement (AP) tests results by high school building.

[^4]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^5]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^6]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^7]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^8]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^9]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^10]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^11]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^12]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^13]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^14]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^15]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^16]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^17]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^18]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^19]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^20]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^21]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^22]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^23]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^24]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^25]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^26]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^27]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^28]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^29]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^30]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^31]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^32]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^33]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^34]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^35]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^36]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^37]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^38]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^39]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^40]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^41]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^42]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^43]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^44]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^45]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^46]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^47]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^48]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^49]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^50]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^51]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^52]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^53]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^54]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^55]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^56]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^57]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^58]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^59]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^60]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^61]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^62]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^63]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^64]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^65]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^66]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^67]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^68]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^69]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^70]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^71]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^72]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^73]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^74]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^75]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^76]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^77]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^78]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^79]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^80]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^81]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^82]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^83]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^84]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^85]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^86]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^87]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^88]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^89]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^90]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^91]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^92]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^93]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^94]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^95]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^96]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^97]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^98]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^99]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^100]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^101]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^102]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^103]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^104]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^105]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^106]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^107]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^108]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^109]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^110]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^111]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^112]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^113]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^114]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^115]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^116]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^117]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^118]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^119]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^120]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^121]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^122]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^123]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^124]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^125]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^126]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^127]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^128]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^129]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^130]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^131]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^132]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^133]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^134]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^135]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^136]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^137]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^138]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^139]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^140]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^141]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^142]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^143]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^144]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^145]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^146]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^147]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^148]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students

[^149]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^150]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^151]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students

[^152]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^153]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^154]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students

[^155]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^156]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^157]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^158]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students are not reported to avoid possible indirect disclosure of confidential information about smaller groups.

[^159]:    * To protect student privacy, data of subgroups with 5 or less students are not reported. Additionally, some subgroups with more than 5 students

